

**ASSIGNMENT PROBLEMS**

**for**

**ELEMENTARY NUMERICAL METHODS**

**with Solutions**

## PROBLEM 1 :

Let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$  be given by  $\mathbf{x} = (3, 4, -5)^T$ .

What is the value of  $\|\mathbf{x}\|_2$  ?

**SOLUTION :**  $\sqrt{50}$  .

## PROBLEM 2 :

Use the Banach Lemma (with the matrix infinity norm) to prove that the  $n$  by  $n$  matrix  $\mathbf{T}_n$  given below is invertible for all positive integers  $n$  :

$$\mathbf{T}_n = \text{diag}[1, 1, 5, 1, 1] \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 1 & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & 5 & 1 & 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 1 & 5 & 1 & 1 & \\ & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ & & & 1 & 1 & 5 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 1 & 5 \end{pmatrix} .$$

Hint: First rewrite  $\mathbf{T}_n$  as  $\mathbf{T}_n = c \tilde{\mathbf{T}}_n$ , where  $c$  is a constant, chosen so that the Banach Lemma can be applied to  $\tilde{\mathbf{T}}_n$ .

Also use the Banach Lemma to derive an upper bound on the infinity norm of the inverse matrix  $\mathbf{T}_n^{-1}$ , and on the condition number of  $\mathbf{T}_n$ .



From

$$\mathbf{T}_n = 5 (\mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{B}_n) ,$$

it also follows that

$$\mathbf{T}_n^{-1} = \frac{1}{5} (\mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{B}_n)^{-1} ,$$

so that

$$\| \mathbf{T}_n^{-1} \|_\infty = \frac{1}{5} \| (\mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{B}_n)^{-1} \|_\infty \leq \frac{1}{5} \cdot 5 = 1 ,$$

and

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{T}_n) \equiv \| \mathbf{T}_n \|_\infty \| \mathbf{T}_n^{-1} \|_\infty \leq 9 \cdot 1 = 9 .$$



## **SOLUTION :**

The solution of this problem is actually given on the page that follows Page 68 of the "slides-with-solutions".



## PROBLEM 4 :

Use Gauss elimination to compute (pencil and paper) the **LU**-decomposition of the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix} .$$

After having computed **L** and **U**, use them to solve for **x** in

$$\mathbf{Ax} = \mathbf{f} ,$$

where  $\mathbf{f} = (2, 2, 1)^T$  .

Check your answer !

**SOLUTION :**

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From  $\mathbf{Lg} = \mathbf{f}$  we get

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and backsubstitution in  $\mathbf{Ux} = \mathbf{g}$  gives

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The purpose of this exercise is to understand use of the *LU*-decomposition algorithm.

## PROBLEM 5 :

Give an example of a *singular*  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  :

that *has* an **LU**-decomposition.

Show **L** and **U**.

## SOLUTION :

One of infinitely many possible examples of a *singular*  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  that *has* an **LU**-decomposition:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

for which

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## PROBLEM 6 :

Give an example of a *nonsingular*  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  :

that does *not have* an **LU**-decomposition.

Explain why not.

## SOLUTION :

One of infinitely many possible examples of a *nonsingular*  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  that does *not have* an **LU**-decomposition:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} .$$

The reason is that a *zero-division* occurs right at the first elimination step.

This problem can be fixed by using *pivoting*, *i.e.*, interchanging rows.

## PROBLEM 7 :

A square matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  is said to be *ill-conditioned* if the *condition number*

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \equiv \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| ,$$

is large.

Give an example of a *nonsingular*  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  that is *ill-conditioned*, with

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \geq 10^6 ,$$

but where the multiplier that arises in the **LU**-decomposition is not big in absolute value.

## SOLUTION :

An example of a *nonsingular*  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  that is *ill-conditioned*, where the multiplier that arises in the **LU**-decomposition is not big in absolute value:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 10^4 & 0 \\ 0 & 10^{-4} \end{pmatrix},$$

with inverse

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 10^{-4} & 0 \\ 0 & 10^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \equiv \|\mathbf{A}\|_{\infty} \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\|_{\infty} = 10^8,$$

and the sole multiplier that arises is zero !



## PROBLEM 8 :

Give an example of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  that is *not ill-conditioned*, with

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \leq 10 ,$$

but where the multiplier that arises in the **LU** -decomposition is of very large magnitude, *i.e.*, is big in absolute value.

## SOLUTION :

An example of a  $2 \times 2$  matrix  $\mathbf{A}$  that is *not ill-conditioned*, where the multiplier that arises in the **LU**-decomposition is of large magnitude:

Consider the matrix

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} c & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which has inverse

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -c \end{pmatrix}.$$

Take  $c$  to be small, for example,  $c = 10^{-9}$ . Then

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{A}) \equiv \|\mathbf{A}\| \|\mathbf{A}^{-1}\| = (c+1)(1+c) = (1+c)^2 \approx 1,$$

whereas the sole multiplier that arises is  $1/c = 10^9$ .

## PROBLEM 9 :

Compute (pencil and paper) the **LU**-decomposition of the  $n \times n$  *Hilbert matrix*  $\mathbf{H}_n$  whose entries in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column are

$$h_{i,j} = 1/(i + j - 1), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n ,$$

for the case  $n = 2$ .

What can you say about the size of the multiplier?

Use the **LU**-decomposition to compute the *inverse* of  $\mathbf{H}_2$  .

Verify your answer.

What is the condition number of  $\mathbf{H}_2$  ?

**SOLUTION** : Here

$$\mathbf{H}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \end{pmatrix},$$

for which

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{12} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{H}_2^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & -6 \\ -6 & 12 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The sole multiplier that arises in the **LU**-decomposition has value  $\frac{1}{2}$ , and

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{H}_2) = \|\mathbf{H}_2\|_\infty \|\mathbf{H}_2^{-1}\|_\infty = \frac{3}{2} \cdot 18 = 27.$$

## PROBLEM 10 :

Compute (pencil and paper) the **LU**-decomposition of the  $n \times n$  *Hilbert matrix*  $\mathbf{H}_n$  whose entries in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column are

$$h_{i,j} = 1/(i + j - 1), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n ,$$

for the case  $n = 3$ .

Use the **LU**-decomposition to solve

$$\mathbf{H}_3 \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f} ,$$

for  $\mathbf{x}$  , when  $\mathbf{f} = (0, 0, 1)^T$  , *i.e.*, first solve

$$\mathbf{L} \mathbf{g} = \mathbf{f} ,$$

followed by solving  $\mathbf{U} \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{g}$ .

**SOLUTION** : Here

$$\mathbf{H}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{5} \end{pmatrix},$$

for which

$$\mathbf{L} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{3} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{U} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{12} & \frac{1}{12} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{180} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The solution of  $\mathbf{H}_3 \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{f}$ , when  $\mathbf{f} = (0, 0, 1)^T$ , is  $\mathbf{x} = (30, 180, 180)^T$ .

The matrix  $\mathbf{H}_n$  becomes very *ill-conditioned* as its dimension  $n$  increases.

## PROBLEM 11 :

Suppose that *solving a general linear system* of equations of dimension  $n$  requires 0.1 second on a given computer when  $n = 10^2$ .

Based on the number of operations (multiplications and divisions only) estimate how much time it will take to *multiply* two general square matrices of dimension  $10^3$  on this computer.

## SOLUTION :

The *leading term* of the number of "operations" (multiplications and divisions) for solving a system of linear equations is  $n^3/3$ .

Solving a linear system of dimension  $n = 100$  takes 0.1 second.

Thus each operation takes  $0.1/(10^6/3) = 3 \cdot 10^{-7}$  seconds.

Multiplying two  $n$  by  $n$  matrices takes  $n^3$  operations (multiplications).

Multiplying two matrices of dimension  $n = 1000$  takes  $10^9$  operations.

The estimated time to multiply two matrices of dimension  $n = 1000$  is

$$10^9 \cdot 3 \cdot 10^{-7} = 300 \text{ seconds.}$$



## PROBLEM 12 :

Let  $\mathbf{T}_n$  be the specific  $n$  by  $n$  *tridiagonal matrix*  $\mathbf{T}_n = \text{diag}[2, 5, 2]$ .

What upper bound on  $\text{cond}(\mathbf{T}_n)$  is obtained,  
when making use of the Banach Lemma?

**SOLUTION :**

$$\text{cond}(\mathbf{T}_n) \leq 9 .$$

### PROBLEM 13 :

Let  $\mathbf{T}_n$  be *any general  $n$  by  $n$  tridiagonal matrix*.

What is the total of the number of multiplications and divisions needed to determine the **LU**-decomposition of  $\mathbf{T}_n$  ?

( Note that there is no right-hand side vector  $\mathbf{f}$  .)

## **SOLUTION :**

The total number of "operations" (multiplications and divisions) is  $2n-2$ .

## PROBLEM 14 :

If Newton's method is used to compute *the cube root of 3*,  
with initial guess  $x^{(0)} = 1$ , then what will be the value of  $x^{(1)}$  ?

**SOLUTION :**  $x^{(1)} = \frac{5}{3}.$

## PROBLEM 15 :

Use the methods below to compute  $\sqrt{2}$ , *i.e.*, solve the equation

$$x^2 - 2 = 0 ,$$

for its positive root.

In particular, determine the number of iterations  $k$  needed so that the *residual*  $| (x^{(k)})^2 - 2 |$  is less than  $10^{-5}$ .

- *Newton's method* with  $x^{(0)} = 1$  .
- The *Chord method* with  $x^{(0)} = 1$  .

## SOLUTION :

For Newton's Method:

k	x	residual
1	0.15000000D+01	0.25000000D+00
2	0.14166667D+01	0.69444444D-02
3	0.14142157D+01	0.60073049D-05

For the Chord Method:

k	x	residual
1	0.15000000D+01	0.25000000D+00
2	0.13750000D+01	-0.10937500D+00
3	0.14296875D+01	0.44006348D-01
4	0.14076843D+01	-0.18424838D-01
5	0.14168967D+01	0.75963863D-02
.	...	...
.	...	...
12	0.14142079D+01	-0.15913179D-04
13	0.14142159D+01	0.65914283D-05



## PROBLEM 16 :

Given  $x^{(0)}$ , say,  $x^{(0)} = 2.0$ , compute the sequence

$$x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, \dots, x^{(N)},$$

up to a large value of  $N$ , *e.g.*,  $N = 10$ , using the recurrence relation

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{x^2 + 5}{2x}.$$

Describe in a few words the observed behavior of the sequence.

In particular, does the sequence approach a limiting value?

If yes, then do you recognize what this limiting value is?

Does the limiting value depend on  $x^{(0)}$  ?

**SOLUTION** : The sequence quickly *converges* :

k	x
1	0.30000000D+01
2	0.23333333D+01
3	0.22380952D+01
4	0.22360689D+01
5	0.22360680D+01
6	0.22360680D+01
7	0.22360680D+01

The limiting value  $x^* \approx 2.2360680$  is a *fixed point*, *i.e.*,  $f(x^*) = x^*$ .

Thus  $x^*$  is a solution of

$$x = \frac{x^2 + 5}{2x},$$

from which we find that  $x^2 = 5$ .

Indeed the limiting value 2.2360680 is the positive square root of 5, and we recognize the iteration as Newton's method for the root of 5.

## PROBLEM 17 :

Consider the recurrence relation

$$x^{(k+1)} = cx^{(k)}(1 - x^{(k)}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots .$$

Prove that if  $c \in [0, 4]$  and  $x^{(0)} \in [0, 1]$  then  $x^{(k)} \in [0, 1]$  for all  $k$ .

## SOLUTION :

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}) , \quad \text{where} \quad f(x) = cx(1-x) .$$

The curve  $y = f(x)$  is a *parabola* , with  $f(x) = 0$  at  $x = 0$  and  $x = 1$ .

Furthermore,  $f'(x) = 0$  at  $x = \frac{1}{2}$ , where  $f(x)$  reaches its maximum value, namely,  $f(\frac{1}{2}) = \frac{c}{4}$  .

( Draw the graph of  $y = f(x)$  in the  $x$ - $y$ -plane ! )

Thus if  $c$  has value in the interval  $[0, 4]$ , and if  $x^{(k)}$  is in the interval  $[0, 1]$ , then  $x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)})$  also is in the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

Since  $x^{(0)}$  is taken in the interval  $[0, 1]$  it follows by induction that  $x^{(k)}$  lies in the interval  $[0, 1]$ , for all  $k$ .

## PROBLEM 18 :

Consider the recurrence relation

$$x^{(k+1)} = cx^{(k)}(1 - x^{(k)}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots .$$

For each of these values of  $c$  :

$$c = 0.5, 1.5, 3.5,$$

- analytically determine all fixed points.
- analytically determine whether or not they are attracting.

## SOLUTION :

The fixed points are the solutions of  $x = f(x)$

*i.e.*, of

$$x = c x (1 - x) ,$$

namely,

$$x^* = 0 , \quad \text{and} \quad x^* = 1 - \frac{1}{c} .$$

A fixed point  $x^*$  is "*attracting*" (or "*stable*") if  $|f'(x^*)| < 1$  .

Here

$$f(x) = c x (1 - x), \quad \text{and} \quad f'(x) = c (1 - 2x) ,$$

The conclusions are given in the Table on the following page.

c	$x^*$	$ f'(x^*) $	stable?	$x^*$	$ f'(x^*) $	stable?
0.5	0	0.5	Yes			
1.5	0	1.5	No	$\frac{1}{3}$	0.5	Yes
3.5	0	3.5	No	$\frac{5}{7}$	1.5	No

## PROBLEM 19 :

For given  $x^{(0)}$ , say,  $x^{(0)} = 3.10$ , compute

$$x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}, \dots, x^{(N)},$$

up to a suitably large value of  $N$ , using the recurrence relation

$$x^{(k+1)} = \tan(x^{(k)}), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$

Does this sequence have a limit?

Can you explain the observed behavior?

Do the same for  $x^{(0)} = 6.2828$ .



## SOLUTION :

A reasonably accurate graph will explain the seemingly *complex behaviour* of this fixed point iteration:

- Draw a graph that includes several of the "*branches*" of the tangent function  $f(x) = \tan(x)$  .
- Note the *zero intercepts* of the branches, namely at  $x = k \pi$  , for  $k = \dots - 3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots$  .
- Also note the *vertical asymptotes* at  $x = (2k + 1) \pi/2$  .
- Include the line  $\ell(x) = x$  in the graph, and observe its *intersects* with each of the infinitely many branches of  $f(x) = \tan(x)$  .
- Thus there are *infinitely many fixed points*, including  $x = 0$  .

- Note that  $f'(x) = 1$  at the fixed point  $x = 0$ , *i.e.*, the derivative test is *inconclusive* for  $x = 0$ .
- However, graphical interpretation of the iteration shows that the fixed point  $x = 0$  is repelling, albeit *weakly repelling*.
- Note that all of the other infinitely many fixed points are *repelling*.
- Thus *all* infinitely many fixed points are *repelling*, which explains the complex behavior.
- Finally note that if  $x^{(k)}$  is close to  $x = 0$  for some  $k$ , then it can take many more iterations before they leave the neighborhood of  $x = 0$ .

## PROBLEM 20 :

Determine all fixed points of this iteration:

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}) ,$$

where

$$f(x) = e^x .$$

## SOLUTION :

A graph of  $f(x) = e^x$  and  $l(x) = x$ , shows that there is *no fixed point*.

## PROBLEM 21 :

Determine all fixed points of this iteration:

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}) ,$$

where

$$f(x) = e^{-x} .$$

## SOLUTION :

A graph of  $f(x) = e^{-x}$  and  $\ell(x) = x$  shows that there is one fixed point, namely,

$$x^* \approx 0.56714329 ,$$

and that  $x^*$  is attracting, with

$$| f'(x^*) | < 1 ,$$

but

$$f'(x^*) \neq 0 .$$

Thus for sufficiently close  $x^{(0)}$  there will be *linear convergence* .

## PROBLEM 22 :

Determine all fixed points of this iteration:

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}) ,$$

where

$$f(x) = \frac{1 + x^2}{1 + x} .$$

For each fixed point determine whether it is attracting.

**SOLUTION** : A fixed point of

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}), \quad \text{with} \quad f(x) = \frac{1+x^2}{1+x},$$

satisfies

$$x = \frac{1+x^2}{1+x},$$

which can be rewritten as

$$x + x^2 = 1 + x^2,$$

from which it follows that  $x^* = 1$  is the only fixed point.

The derivative is seen to be

$$f'(x) = \frac{x^2 + 2x - 1}{(x+1)^2}, \quad \text{with} \quad |f'(x^*)| = \frac{1}{2} < 1.$$

Thus  $x^* = 1$  is *attracting* for sufficiently close  $x^{(0)}$ .



### PROBLEM 23 :

Consider the Chord method for solving the equation  $x^2 - 2 = 0$  :

$$x^{(k+1)} = x^{(k)} - \frac{(x^{(k)})^2 - 2}{2x_c} ,$$

Here  $x_c$  is a constant,  $x_c \neq 0$  .

( Normally one chooses  $x_c$  close to the square root of 2 , and  $x^{(0)} = x_c$  . )

What are the fixed points of this iteration ?

For each fixed point determine all values of  $x_c$  for which the fixed point is attracting.

## SOLUTION :

Here

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}), \quad \text{with} \quad f(x) = x - \frac{x^2 - 2}{2x_c},$$

and

$$f'(x) = 1 - \frac{x}{x_c}.$$

We see that for  $x^* = +\sqrt{2}$  :

$$|f'(x^*)| < 1 \quad \text{if} \quad x_c > \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2},$$

while for  $x^* = -\sqrt{2}$  :

$$|f'(x^*)| < 1 \quad \text{if} \quad x_c < -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}.$$

## PROBLEM 24 :

Consider the function  $g(x) = x^2 - 3$ .

- Write down Newton's method for finding a zero of  $g(x)$  .
- Draw the “ $x^{(k+1)}$  versus  $x^{(k)}$  diagram” for Newton's method.
- Will Newton's method converge for all initial points ?
- To which zero does it converge (as dependent on the initial guess) ?

## SOLUTION :

For  $g(x) = x^2 - 3$  :

- Write down Newton's method for finding a zero of  $g(x)$  :

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}) \quad \text{where} \quad f(x) = x - \frac{x^2 - 3}{2x} = \frac{x^2 + 3}{2x} .$$

- Draw the “ $x^{(k+1)}$  versus  $x^{(k)}$  diagram” for Newton's method :

TO BE DONE !

- Will Newton's method converge for all initial points ?

All initial points, except  $x = 0$  which give a division by zero.

- To which zero does it converge (as dependent on the initial guess) ?

To  $+\sqrt{2}$  for positive  $x^{(0)}$ , and to  $-\sqrt{2}$  for negative  $x^{(0)}$  .

## PROBLEM 25 :

Now consider the function  $g(x) = x^3 - 3$  :

- Write down Newton's method for finding a zero of  $g(x)$  .
- Draw the “ $x^{(k+1)}$  versus  $x^{(k)}$  diagram” for Newton's method.
- Will Newton's method converge for all initial points ?

(Hint: *This is a little more difficult to answer here !*)

## SOLUTION :

For  $g(x) = x^3 - 3$  (which has only one root) :

- Write down Newton's method for finding a zero of  $g(x)$  :

$$x^{(k+1)} = f(x^{(k)}) ,$$

where

$$f(x) = x - \frac{x^3 - 3}{3x^2} = \frac{2x^3 + 3}{3x^2} .$$

- Draw the “ $x^{(k+1)}$  versus  $x^{(k)}$  diagram” for Newton's method :

TO BE DONE !

- From the “ $x^{(k+1)}$  versus  $x^{(k)}$  diagram” we see that :
  - Newton’s method converges for all positive  $x^{(0)}$  .
  - The initial point  $x^{(0)} = 0$  gives a *division by zero* .
  - Newton’s method converges for *almost all* negative  $x^{(0)}$  .
  - A countably infinite number of negative  $x^{(0)}$  result in *division by zero* .  
(These reach  $x = 0$  after a finite number of iterations.)

## PROBLEM 26 :

Consider Newton's method for solving the *system of equations*

$$x_1 - e^{-x_2} = 0 ,$$

$$2 e^{-x_1} - x_2 = 0 .$$

Use  $x_1^{(0)} = 0$  and  $x_2^{(0)} = 0$  as initial guesses.

Determine  $x_1^{(1)}$  and  $x_2^{(1)}$  .



## SOLUTION :

For the first iteration of Newton's Method we have :

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & e^{-x_2^{(0)}} \\ -2e^{-x_1^{(0)}} & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1^{(0)} \\ \Delta x_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = - \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(0)} - e^{-x_2^{(0)}} \\ 2e^{-x_1^{(0)}} - x_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} ,$$

which, with  $x_1^{(0)} = 0$  and  $x_2^{(0)} = 0$  , becomes

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1^{(0)} \\ \Delta x_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

from which

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1^{(0)} \\ \Delta x_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

so that

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(1)} \\ x_2^{(1)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^{(0)} \\ x_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta x_1^{(0)} \\ \Delta x_2^{(0)} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} .$$

## PROBLEM 27 : Lagrange Interpolation

Suppose that  $f(x) = e^x$ , and that the interpolation points  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^n$  are distinct, but otherwise arbitrary in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

How big must  $n$  be, so that

$$|p_n(x) - e^x| \leq 10^{-6} \text{ for all } x \in [-1, 1] ?$$

**SOLUTION** : The question is for what value of  $n$  is  $\frac{2^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \cdot e < 10^{-6}$  ?

Here is a simple fortran code that determines the answer:

```
IMPLICIT NONE
DOUBLE PRECISION e, r, bound
INTEGER k, n

n = 14
e = DEXP(1.0D0)

! The ratio when n=0:
r = 2.d0

DO k = 1,n
  r = 2*r/(k+1)
  WRITE(6,101) k, r
ENDDO

bound = e * r
WRITE(6,102)n, bound

101 FORMAT(1X," k =",I3,3X,"ratio =",D12.5)
102 FORMAT("/" n =",I3,3X,"bound =",D12.5)
STOP
END
```

**SOLUTION** (continued) : The output is:

k = 1	ratio = 0.20000D+01
k = 2	ratio = 0.13333D+01
k = 3	ratio = 0.66667D+00
k = 4	ratio = 0.26667D+00
k = 5	ratio = 0.88889D-01
k = 6	ratio = 0.25397D-01
k = 7	ratio = 0.63492D-02
k = 8	ratio = 0.14109D-02
k = 9	ratio = 0.28219D-03
k = 10	ratio = 0.51307D-04
k = 11	ratio = 0.85511D-05
k = 12	ratio = 0.13156D-05
k = 13	ratio = 0.18794D-06
k = 14	ratio = 0.25058D-07
n = 14	bound = 0.68115D-07

## PROBLEM 28 :

Consider the unique interpolating polynomial  $p_4$  of degree 4 or less that interpolates the function  $f(x) = \sin(x)$  at five distinct points  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

Use the Lagrange Interpolation Theorem to derive an upper bound on

$$\|f - p_4\|_\infty ,$$

for the case where:

The points  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^4$  are distinct in  $[-1, 1]$ , but they are otherwise arbitrary.

( Use a tight bound on  $\| \prod_{k=0}^4 (x - x_k) \|_\infty$  in your derivation.)

## SOLUTION :

$$\| f - p_4 \|_{\infty} \leq \frac{2^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} .$$

n =	1	bound =	2.000000D+00
n =	2	bound =	1.333333D+00
n =	3	bound =	6.666667D-01
n =	4	bound =	2.666667D-01
n =	5	bound =	8.888889D-02
n =	6	bound =	2.539683D-02
n =	7	bound =	6.349206D-03
n =	8	bound =	1.410935D-03
n =	9	bound =	2.821869D-04
n =	10	bound =	5.130672D-05
n =	11	bound =	8.551120D-06

## PROBLEM 29 :

Again consider the unique interpolating polynomial  $p_4$  of degree 4 or less that interpolates the function  $f(x) = \sin(x)$  at five distinct points  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

Use the Lagrange Interpolation Theorem to derive an upper bound on

$$\| f - p_4 \|_{\infty} ,$$

for the case where:

$$x_0 = -1 , \quad x_1 = -0.5 , \quad x_2 = 0 , \quad x_3 = 0.5 , \quad x_4 = 1 .$$

( Use a tight bound on  $\| \prod_{k=0}^4 (x - x_k) \|_{\infty}$  in your derivation.)

**SOLUTION** : By the Lagrange Interpolation Theorem, with  $n = 4$ ,

$$f(x) - p_4(x) = \frac{f^{(5)}(\xi)}{5!} w_5(x) ,$$

for some point  $\xi(x) \in [-1, 1]$ .

Here the local maxima and minima of

$$w_5(x) = (x + 1) \left(x + \frac{1}{2}\right) x \left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right) (x - 1)$$

can be found analytically, namely at

$x = -0.822216$	$w(x) = 0.113482$
$x = -0.271956$	$w(x) = -0.044334$
$x = 0.271956$	$w(x) = 0.044334$
$x = 0.822216$	$w(x) = -0.113482$

Thus

$$| f(x) - p_4(x) | \leq \frac{0.113482}{120} = 9.45683 \cdot 10^{-4} .$$



### PROBLEM 30 :

Once more consider the interpolating polynomial  $p_4$  of degree 4 or less that interpolates  $f(x) = \sin(x)$  at five distinct points  $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4\}$  in the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

Use the Lagrange Interpolation Theorem to derive an upper bound on

$$\|f - p_4\|_\infty ,$$

when the points  $\{x_k\}_{k=0}^4$  are the roots of  $T_5(x)$  (Chebyshev points).

( Use a tight bound on  $\| \prod_{k=0}^4 (x - x_k) \|_\infty$  in your derivation.)

**SOLUTION :**

$$| f(x) - p_4(x) | \leq \frac{2^{-n}}{(n+1)!} = \frac{2^{-4}}{5!} = \frac{1}{1920} \approx 5.20833 \cdot 10^{-4} .$$

## PROBLEM 31 : Taylor's Theorem

If  $f \in C^{n+1}[a, b]$  and  $x \in [a, b]$ , then  $f(x) = p_n(x) + R_n(x)$ , where

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f^{(k)}(x_0)}{k!} (x - x_0)^k$$

is the *Taylor polynomial*, and

$$R_n(x) = \frac{f^{(n+1)}(\xi(x))}{(n+1)!} (x - x_0)^{n+1}, \quad \text{for some } \xi(x) \in [a, b]$$

is the *Taylor Remainder* (or "error term").

What are  $p_n(x)$  and  $R_n(x)$ , when  $f(x) = e^x$ , and  $x_0 = 0$  ?

**SOLUTION :**

$$p_n(x) = 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^3}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{x^n}{n!} ,$$

and

$$R_n(x) = \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} e^{\xi(x)} ,$$

where

$\xi(x)$  lies between 0 and  $x$  .

(Here  $x$  can be taken to be positive or negative.)

### PROBLEM 32 :

For the *Taylor polynomial*  $p_n(x)$  of degree  $n$  for  $f(x) = e^x$  about  $x_0 = 0$ , what is the smallest value of  $n$ , so that

$$| e^x - p_n(x) | < 10^{-3} ,$$

everywhere in the interval  $[-1, 1]$  ?

## SOLUTION :

Here

$$| e^x - p_n(x) | = | R_n(x) | = \left| \frac{x^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \right| e^{\xi(x)} \leq \frac{e}{(n+1)!},$$

which is seen to be less than  $10^{-3}$  when  $n \geq 6$  :

n = 1:	b = 1.359141D+00
n = 2:	b = 4.530470D-01
n = 3:	b = 1.132617D-01
n = 4:	b = 2.265235D-02
n = 5:	b = 3.775391D-03
n = 6:	b = 5.393416D-04

### PROBLEM 33 :

Derive the Taylor polynomial  $p_n$  of degree  $n$  for  $f(x) = \sin(x)$  about the point  $x_0 = 0$ , for the case  $n = 5$ .

Draw a reasonably accurate graph of  $p_5(x)$ , together with  $f(x)$ .

Use the Taylor Theorem to derive an upper bound on

$$\| f - p_5 \|_{\infty} ,$$

for the interval  $[-1, 1]$ .

## SOLUTION :

Here

$$p_5(x) = x - \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^5}{120},$$

and

$$| p_5(x) - \sin(x) | = \left| \frac{x^7}{7!} \cos(\xi(x)) \right| \leq \frac{1}{5040} < 2 \cdot 10^{-4}.$$

Why is using the error term

$$\left| \frac{x^7}{7!} \cos(\xi(x)) \right|$$

correct here ?