Telecommunication Services Engineering Lab



CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY Concordia Institute for Information Systems Engineering

Chapter IV SIP Session Signaling And SIP Specific Value Added Service Technologies

Telecommunication Services Engineering Lab



CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY Concordia Institute for Information Systems Engineering

Part I SIP Session Signaling







Introduction: Signaling vs Media

Signaling:

- Session establishment
- Session tear down
- Changes to the session
- Supplementary services

Media:

Actual communication data: encoded voice stream, video stream,...



Introduction: SIP

Signaling Protocols:

- SIP and H.323

Media transport protocol:

- RTP
- Why SIP?
- SIP: Prime signaling system because adopted by all key next generation networks:
 - 3GPP
 - 3GPP2
 - PacketCable:



SIP: Introduction

A set of IETF specifications including:

- SIP core signalling:
 - RFC 2543, March 1999
 - RFC 3261, June 2002 (Obsoletes RFC 2543)
- SIP extensions (e.g. RFC 3265, June 2002 Event notification)
 - May have nothing to do with signalling
- IMS related extensions.
- Used in conjunction with other IETF protocols
 - QOS related protocol (e.g. RSVP)
 - Media transportation related protocol (e.g. RTP RFC 1889)
 - Others (e.g. SDP RFC 2327)



Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) - Core



- **1. Introduction**
- 2. Functional entities
- 3. Messages
- 4. SDP
- **5. Examples**



SIP: Introduction

SIP core Signaling

- A signalling protocol for the establishment, modification and tear down of multimedia sessions
- Based on HTTP

A few key features

- Text based protocol
- Client/server protocol (request/response protocol)



SIP: The Request

- **Request messages**
 - Methods for setting up and changing sessions
 - . INVITE
 - . ACK
 - . CANCEL
 - . BYE
 - Others
 - . REGISTER (Registration of contact information)
 - . OPTIONS (Querying servers about their capabilities)



SIP: The Response

Response message

- Provisional
- Final

Examples of status code 1xx: Provisional 2xx: Success 6xx: Global failure



SIP: A basic peer to peer call scenario





SIP: The functional entities

User agents

- End points, can act as both user agent client and as user agent server
 - User Agent Client: Create new SIP requests
 - User Agent Server: Generate responses to SIP requests

Proxy servers

- Application level routers

Redirect servers

- Redirect clients to alternate servers

Registrars

- Keep tracks of users



SIP: The functional entities

State-full proxy

- Keep track of all transactions between the initiation and the end of a transaction
- Transactions:
 - Requests sent by a client along with all the responses sent back by the server to the client

Stateless proxy

- Fire and forget



SIP: A call scenario





SIP: The messages

Generic structure

- Start-line
- Header field(s)
- Optional message body

Request message

- Request line as start line
 - . Method name
 - . Request URI
 - . Protocol version

Response message

- Status line as start line
 - . Protocol version
 - . Status code
 - . Reason phrase (Textual description of the code)



SIP: Examples of messages from the RFC

An example of an INVITE

INVITE sip:bob@biloxi.com SIP/2.0 Via: SIP/2.0/UDP pc33.atlanta.com;branch=z9hG4bK776asdhds Max-Forwards: 70 To: Bob <sip:bob@biloxi.com> From: Alice <sip:alice@atlanta.com>;tag=1928301774 Call-ID: a84b4c76e66710@pc33.atlanta.com CSeq: 314159 INVITE Contact: <sip:alice@pc33.atlanta.com> Content-Type: application/sdp Content-Length: 142



SIP: Examples of messages from the RFC

An example of RESPONSE to the OPTIONS request SIP/2.0 200 OK Via: SIP/2.0/UDP pc33.atlanta.com;branch=z9hG4bKhjhs8ass877 :received=192.0.2.4 To: <sip:carol@chicago.com>;tag=93810874 From: Alice <sip:alice@atlanta.com>;tag=1928301774 Call-ID: a84b4c76e66710 CSeq: 63104 OPTIONS Contact: <sip:carol@chicago.com> Contact: <mailto:carol@chicago.com> Allow: INVITE, ACK, CANCEL, OPTIONS, BYE Accept: application/sdp Accept-Encoding: gzip Accept-Language: en Supported: foo Content-Type: application/sdp



SDP

Session Description Protocol

- Convey the information necessary to allow a party to join a multimedia session
 - Session related information
 - Media related information
 - Text based protocol
 - No specified transport
 - Messages are embedded in the messages of the protocol used for the session
 - Session Announcement Protocol (SAP)
 - Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)



SDP

Session Description Protocol Use with SIP

- Negotiation follows offer / response model
- Message put in the body of pertinent SIP messages INVITE Request / response
 OPTIONS Request / response



SDP

Session Description Protocol

- <Type> = <Value>
- Some examples
 - Session related
 - v= (protocol version)
 - s= (Session name)
 - Media related
 - m= (media name and transport address)
 - b= (bandwidth information)



SDP: Examples of messages from the RFC ...

Session Description Protocol

- An example from the RFC ...
- v=0

o=mhandley 2890844526 2890842807 IN IP4 126.16.64.4

s=SDP Seminar

i=A Seminar on the session description protocol

u=http://www.cs.ucl.ac.uk/staff/M.Handley/sdp.03.ps

e=mjh@isi.edu (Mark Handley)

c=IN IP4 224.2.17.12/127

t=2873397496 2873404696

a=recvonly

m=audio 49170 RTP/AVP 0

m=video 51372 RTP/AVP 31

m=application 32416 udp wb

a=orient:portrait



SIP – Selected Extensions



- 1. Event framework
- 2. INFO method



Event Notification

Motivation

- Necessity for a node to be asynchronously notified of happening (s) in other nodes
 - Busy / not busy (SIP phones)
 - A client A can call again a client B when notified that B is now not busy
 - On-line / Off-line
 - Buddy list



Event Notification

Conceptual framework





Event Notification

The SIP Event Notification Framework

- Terminology
 - Event package:
 - Events a node can report
 - Not part of the framework Part of other RFCs
 - Subscriber
 - Notifier
- New Messages
 - Subscribe
 - Need to be refreshed
 - Used as well for un-subscribing (expiry value put to zero)
 - Notify



Event Notification

The SIP Event Notification Framework

- More on the methods
 - New headers
 - Event

.

- Allow-Events
- Subscription state



Event Notification

An example of use: REFER Method

- Recipient should contact a third party using the URI provided in the CONTACT field
 - Call transfer
 - Third party call control
- Handled as Subscribe / notify
 - REFER request is considered an implicit subscription to REFER event
 - Refer-TO: URI to be contacted
 - Expiry determined by recipient and communicated to sender in the first NOTIFY
 - Recipient needs to inform sender of the success / failure in contacting the third party



Event Notification

Another example of use: Presence

- Dissemination/consumption of presence information (e.g. on/off, willingness to communicate, device capabilities, preferences)
 - Numerous applications
 - Multiparty sessions initiated when a quorum is on-line
 - News adapted to device capabilities
- Several standards including SIMPLE (SIP based)
 - Handled as Subscribe / notify in SIMPLE
 - Watchers / presentities
 - Explicit subscriptions
 - Explicit notifications



INFO Method

Allow the exchange of non signalling related information during a SIP dialog

- Semantic defined at application level
- Mid-call signalling information
 - DTMF digits with SIP phones
- Info carried as
 - Headers and/or
 - Message body



References

Core SIP

- SIP core signalling:
- H. Schulzrinne, an J. Rosenberg, SIP: Internet Centric Signaling, IEEE Communications Magazine, October 2000
- RFC 3261, June 2002 (Obsoletes RFC 2543)
- RFC 2327 (SDP)

SIP extensions

No overview paper

- RFC 3265, 3515 (Event framework)
- RFC 2976 (INFO Method)



Part II SIP Specific Value-Added Service Technologies By Dr Hechmi Khiifi



SIP Specific Value Added Service Technologies



- 1. Introduction: SIP specific architectures vs protocol neutral architectures
- 2. SIP CGI
- 3. SIP servlet API



Introduction: SIP specific architectures

- Servers built using SIP specific architectures act as redirect servers, proxy servers, originating user agents, terminating user agents, or back-to-back user agents.
- They have SIP signaling capabilities and are directly involved in the call's signaling flow.
- Implementation techniques: SIP CGI, SIP Servlet



Introduction: Protocol neutral architectures

- Servers built using protocol neutral architectures can provide the same services as the SIP application server, but are:
 - signaling independent (i.e. could be used with any signaling protocol).
 - Are not directly involved in the SIP calls' signaling flow.
- Examples of APIs: TAPI, TSAPI, JTAPI, Parlay and Web services/Parlay X
 - Focus of this lecture: SIP specific value added services technologies (i.e. SIP application servers)
 - Web services / Parlay-X will be discussed in another lecture



SIP CGI



Key features

- Inspired by HTTP CGI
- The server passes the message body to the script through its standard input
- Services are written as CGI scripts



SIP CGI : shortcomings

- Difficult to program
- Require a deep understanding of SIP protocol



SIP Servlet: Introduction

Key features

- Signalling protocol specific (I.e. applicable to SIP only)
- Prime target: trusted parties
 - Service providers
 - Third party developers
- Very few constraints on what can be done
- Reliance on HTTP servlet API
 - HTTP servlet API is widely used in the Internet world
 - A tool which relies on it should attract many users including Web masters.
 - A wide range of developers should favour the development of cool and brand new services



HTTP servlet API ...

Creation of dynamic Web content

- Servlet
 - Java component
 - Generate content on the fly, just like HTTP CGI
 - interface between HTTP request and data bases
 - Forms
 - Dynamic information (e.g. date, number of visitors)



HTTP servlet API ...

Servlet container (also know as servlet engine)

- Servlet container (or servlet engine)
 - Contains the servlets
 - Manage the servlets through their life cycle
 - Creation
 - Initialisation
 - Destruction
 - Receives and decodes of HTTP requests
 - Encodes and sends of HTTP responses



HTTP servlet API ...

Pros

Address most HTTP CGI shortcomings

- Performance
 - Can keep data base connections open
- Scalability
 - Servlet containers can be accessed remotely

Cons

Language dependence



SIP servlet API...

Adjustments made to HTTP servlet:

- Initiate requests
 - Needed for some services
 - wake up call
- Receive both requests and responses
 - Needed for some services
 - Terminating services (e.g. call forward on busy)
- Possibility to generate multiple responses
 - Intermediary responses, then final response
- Proxying requests, possibly to multiple destinations
 - Needed for applications such as intelligent routing



SIP Servlet container ...

A container collocated with a proxy server





SIP servlet Request interface ...

SIP specific Request handling methods (Based on both core SIP and SIP extensions)[.]

- doInvite
- doAck
- doOptions
- doBye
- doCancel
- doRegister
- doSubscribe
- doNotify
- doMessage
- doInfo





SIP servlet Response interface ...

SIP specific Response handling methods (Based on both core SIP and SIP extensions):

- doProvisionalResponse
- doSuccessResponse
- doRedirectResponse
- doErrorResponse



An example of service:

Algorithm for call forward

- Get the destination from the SIP request
 - Done by retrieving the To_Field by using the GetHeaders
- Obtain the forwarding address from a data base
- Forward the call
 - Done by setting the Request_URI (and not the To_field) using the setHeader



Another example:

Algorithm for a centralized dial-out conference

Assumptions

- INVITE is used
- URIs of participants are put in the INVITE body

Agorithm used in servlet:

- Use GetContent to get the participant's URIs from INVITE Request
- Use doINVITE to generate and send an INVITE to each participant.



Example

}

```
public class RegistrarServlet extends SipServlet{
```

```
protected void doRegister(SipServletRequest request) throws ServletException, IOException {
```

```
SipServletResponse response = request.createResponse(200);
```

```
response.send();
logger.log(Level.FINE, "Sent 200 response.");
} catch(Exception e) {
```

```
response.setStatus(500);
response.send();
```



Pros and cons

Pros

- Possibility of creating a wide range of services due to the full access to all the fields from the SIP Request
- More performance and more scalability
- Possibility to create services that combine both HTTP and SIP

Cons:

- SIP Servlet is not exactly the same thing as HTTP Servlet
- Language dependence



References

- R.H. Glitho, "Advanced Services Architectures for Internet Telephony: A Critical Overview," IEEE Network, July 2000, pp. 38–44.
- Hechmi Khlifi, Jean-Charles Grégoire: IMS Application Servers: Roles, Requirements, and Implementation Technologies. IEEE Internet Computing 12(3): 40-51 (2008)