Signaling Protocol Neutral Architectures
Definition ...

**Signaling protocol neutral architecture**

- Service architecture applicable to networks using any signalling protocol
  - Circuit switched telephony signalling protocol (i.e. SS7/ISUP/INAP)
  - Next Generation signalling protocols
    - H.323
    - SIP
    - Megaco
- Applicable to both circuit switched telephony and next generation networks
Today’s signaling protocol neutral architectures ... 

**JAIN JCC/JCAT**
- JAIN community product
  - Applicable to SS7/ISUP/TCAP, SIP and H.323
  - Has lost momentum to Parlay

**Parlay**
- Discussed in this course

**Call Processing Language (CPL)**
- Discussed in this course
Signaling protocol neutral architectures ...
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Introduction

PARLAY forum

– Created in 1998 as close forum
– Open since 2000
– Include most major players from telecommunications and computer industries (e.g. Ericsson, Lucent, Siemens, IBM)
– Fourth release of specifications recently released

Relationship of Parlay specifications to 3GPP specifications

– API called Open Service Access (OSA) in 3GPP
  • Thus Parlay/OSA
  • Joint development
Introduction

OSA a tool kit of Virtual Home Environment (VHE)

– VHE
  • 3GPP concept for service mobility
    – Access to services from any location and with any terminal (within the limit of the terminal capabilities)
    – Include several tool kits:

OSA allow third party to access 3GPP next generation networks

- OSA application servers
  - reside in third party domains
  - Access 3GPP network functionality via service capability servers (SCS) (i.e. gateways)
Introduction

**PARLAY main goal: Open up telecommunication networks**

- Enable new business models
- Use open information technology middleware
- Make telecommunication network capabilities available for application development
  - Two types of APIs
  - Services APIs
    - Expose the network capabilities (e.g. call control, presence)
  - Framework APIs
    - Make the use of the service APIs secure, accountable and resilient (e.g. security, registration, authentication)
The business model

• Introduction
  – TINA-C inspired business model
  – Terminology: Services mean network capabilities

• Roles
  – Client application
    • Consume/use the services (e.g. network capabilities)
    • Equivalent to end users in TINA-C.
  – Enterprise operator
    • The entity that subscribes to the services
    • Subscriber in TINA-C
  – Framework operator
    • Entity that handles the subscriptions
    • Equivalent to the retailer in TINA-C
General model

Enterprise Operator
(Subscriber)

(2) Service Subscription

Framework Operator
(Retailer)

(1) Service Registration

(3) Service Usage

Client Application
(End User)
Commonly deployed model ...
Interactions

Application and framework

Authentication
- Peer to peer model
- Allow framework to check that application is “who” it claims to be and application to check that framework is “who” it claims to be
- Usually used in only one direction (i.e. framework checking).

Authorisation
- Determination of what the application can do once authenticated

Discovery
- Once authenticated applications can get info on available APIs

Establishment of service level agreement
- Usually done off-line
Interactions

Services/APIs and framework
Registration / De-registration

- Allow services to register/de-register to/from the framework
Interactions (Taken from reference [2])

1: authentication
2: request Registration interface
3: register factory
4: authentication
5: request Discovery interface
6: discover Service
7: Select Service + sign SLA
8: create Service Manager
9: return Service Manager
10: return Service Manager
11: Use service

1 – 3 registration/discovery, 4-11 run time communications establishment ….
The APIs
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Figure 2 Parlay APIs interfaces
The APIs

Some common characteristics

Specifications include

- High level specification in UML (Universal Modelling Language)
- API specifications for several IT technologies
  - CORBA IDL
  - WSDL
  - Java

Two modes of communications

- Synchronous
- Asynchronous
Framework API: Make the use of the service APIs secure and resilient

Trust and security management

Event notification

Service discovery

Service registration

Integrity management (e.g. load management)

Service agreement
Framework API: Make the use of the service APIs secure and resilient

Trust and security management – Examples of method
AbortAuthentication ()
AuthenticationSucceeded ()
Challenge ()
TerminateAccess ()
InitiateAuthenticationWithVersion ()
Service API: Give access to network capabilities

- Call control
- User interactions
- Generic messaging
- Mobility
- Terminal capabilities
- Connectivity management
- Account management
- Charging service
- Data session control
- Presence and availability management
An example of Service API: Call control

- Generic call control service
- Multiparty call control service
- Multimedia call control service
- Conference call control service
The call control API

Call model

- Terminal
  - End point (Not covered in the current specifications)
- Address
  - Represents a party in a call (E.164 number, IP address)
- Call
  - Abstraction of the physical call that occurs in a network
- Call leg
  - Logical association between a call and a party involved in a call
The call control API

**Generic call control**
- Two party voice call only
- Remain in Parlay for historical reasons

**Multiparty call control**
- Establishment of calls with any given number of users
- Root of the inheritance tree

**Multimedia call control**
- Add multimedia (e.g. media negotiation) capabilities

**Conference call control**
- Add conferencing capabilities
Conferencing / multiparty sessions

Basis of a wide range of applications
- Voice/videoconferencing
- Multiparty gaming
- Distance learning
- And more …

Categorization schemes
- With / without sub-conferences
- Pre-arranged vs. ad hoc
- With / without floor control
  - Floor control: Who can be heard / seen
- Where the media is mixed (e.g Centralized vs. decentralized)
- Dial-in (Meet-me) vs. dial-out
Conferencing with Parlay ….

Examples of methods …
- CreateConference ()
  - Parameters include the number of sub-conferences
- CheckResource ()
- ReserveResources ()
- FreeResources ()
- PartyJoined ()
- SplitSubconference ()
- MergeSubconference ()
- FloorRequest ()
A case study on PARLAY/OSA and SIP: Run For Your Life game (Described in detail in reference [3])

• 1 - Introduction
• 2 - Game
• 3 - Architecture
• 4 - Mapping
Introduction

Run-For-Your-Life

- Built from scratch in Ericsson Research lab in Montreal Canada
- Demonstrated at several trade shows (e.g. ICIN 2001, Parlay Munich meeting, Parlay Hong Kong meeting)
- Objectives assigned to the game design
  - Extensive usage of call control capabilities
  - Have fun ...
Objective of the case study ...

Aim at helping in tackling two issues:

1. **PARLAY Call Control APIs that cannot be mapped onto SIP**
   - What are they?
   - What is the impact on service creation?

2. **SIP semantics that are not visible in PARLAY APIs as per today’s specification**
   - What are they?
   - What is the impact on service creation?
The game ...

A multiparty cooperative game

- Group of people trapped in a house with several rooms set to burn/explode in a given time
- Can escape only if password is found
- Letters making the password scattered in selected rooms of the house
- People ending up in the same room can exchange hints about the password via audio and chat
- Game can be assimilated to a conference with as sub-conference people ending up in a same room

Requiring a set of well defined conferencing functionality

- Conferencing
- Sub-conferencing
The game ...
Figure 5 - Mapping for dial-in
Dial out ...
The mapping ...

PARLAY Call Control Services that cannot be mapped onto SIP
- There seems to be none
- However the mapping can be done in several ways in some cases

SIP semantics that are not visible in PARLAY APIs as per today’s specification
- There exist a few (e.g. Possibility of a caller to state for instance that the call should not forwarded)
- PARLAY may be extended to cater to these features
Pros and cons ...

Pros

- PARLAY/OSA allows the creation of a wide range of services including services that combine different types of network capabilities (e.g. call control, mobility, presence)
- Parlay allow the creation of services that span several network technologies (e.g. Sip, H.323, 3GPP, soft-switches)

Cons

- The level of abstraction is still low
  - 3N+1 calls were required to create a conference call in older versions of Parlay – The number is now N+1
- Parlay is not easy to grasp by people with no circuit switched telephony/IN background
  - Call leg concept
The Call Processing Language

1. Introduction
2. Requirements
3. Constructs
4. Example
5. Pros and cons
Introduction ...

Specificities:
- Only architecture that aims at service creation by end-users

Prime target: Un-trusted parties
- Direct use
- Use via a graphical user interface
  • Higher level of abstraction
  • Mapping done by middle ware
Introduction ...

Targeting end-users has a few consequences:
  - Stringent language requirements
  - Need to upload scripts to servers
    • REGISTER has been proposed for SIP
    • No mechanism has been proposed for H.323
Requirements on language (From the RFC).

- Lightweight, efficient easy to implement
- Easily verifiable for correctness
- Executable in a safe manner
- Easily writeable and parsable
- Extensible
- Signaling protocol independence
Constructs for an XML Based CPL ...

**Switches**
- Choices the script can make
  - Address, string, time, priority

**Signaling operation**
- Cause signalling events in underlying protocol
  - Proxy, redirect, reject

**Location modifier**
- Add/remove location
Simplified example from the RFC ...

- Location: sip:john@example.com
- Proxy

- Time switch:
  - Mon. – Fri
    - From 9am to 5pm
  - Sat-Sun
    - From 9am to 10pm
  - 01.01.03-15.01.03
  - Otherwise

- Location: secretary@office.com
- Location: private@home.com
- Location: voicemail@office.com
- Redirect
Pros and Cons ...

Highly suitable for service creation by end-users
- End-users familiar with scripts / XML
- End-users unfamiliar with scripts / XML (via GUI)
- Offer required security

However:
- Very few end-users are interested in creating service
- CPL is highly unsuitable for service creation by providers / third parties
  • Range of services that can be created is limited
  • More powerful tools exist
- Service logic and service data need to reside in the same script
To probe further ...
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