

x Lecture 6, Oct. 13, 2010

Performance Evaluation of different detection schemes:

The performance of a detection scheme  $\tilde{\delta}$  can be judged by its probability of miss

$$P_M(\tilde{\delta}) = P_1(\tilde{\delta} \text{ chooses } H_0)$$

and the probability of false alarm

$$P_F(\tilde{\delta}) = P_0(\tilde{\delta} \text{ choose } H_1).$$

For a typical likelihood ratio test

$$\tilde{\delta}_T(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & T(y) > \tau \\ \gamma & T(y) = \tau \\ 0 & T(y) < \tau \end{cases}$$

we have

$$P_F(\tilde{\delta}_T) = \int \dots \int_{\{T(y) > \tau\}} p(y_1, \dots, y_n | H_0) dy_1 \dots dy_n \\ + \gamma \int \dots \int_{\{T(y) = \tau\}} p(y_1, \dots, y_n | H_0) dy_1 \dots dy_n$$

$$P_F(\tilde{\delta}_T) = P[T(Y) > \tau | H_0] + \gamma P[T(Y) = \tau | H_0]$$

$$= [1 - F_{T,0}(\tau)] + \gamma [F_{T,0}(\tau) - \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau^-} F_{T,0}(\sigma)]$$

and

$$P_M(\tilde{\delta}_T) = P(T(Y) < \tau | H_1) + (1 - \gamma) P(T(Y) = \tau | H_1)$$

$$= P(T(Y) \leq \tau | H_1) - \gamma P(T(Y) = \tau | H_1)$$

$$= F_{T,1}(\tau) - \gamma [F_{T,1}(\tau) - \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \tau^-} F_{T,1}(\sigma)]$$

where  $F_{T,0}(\tau)$  and  $F_{T,1}(\tau)$  are the CDF of  $T$  under  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ , respectively.

### Chernoff bound

Finding exact value of  $P_F(\tilde{\delta})$  and  $P_M(\tilde{\delta})$  is not easy, if possible at all, for most cases of interest. The alternative is to use bounds to assess the performance of detection schemes. Chernoff bound is one such bound.

Let's first prove a useful inequality that we use to derive the Chernoff bound.

## Markov inequality

For a <sup>positive</sup> random variable, i.e., one such that

$$P(X=x) = 0 \quad \forall x < 0$$

we have

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{1}{a} E[X]$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} a P(X \geq a) &= a \int_a^{\infty} P(x) dx \leq \int_a^{\infty} x P(x) dx \\ &\leq \int_0^{\infty} x P(x) dx = E[X] \end{aligned}$$

So

$$P(X \geq a) \leq \frac{1}{a} E[X]$$

Now, let's apply the Markov inequality

to  $P_F(\tilde{\delta}_T)$ :

$$P_F(\tilde{\delta}_T) \leq P(T(Y) \geq \tau | H_0) = P(e^{sT(Y)} \geq e^{s\tau} | H_0)$$

(since  $\delta < 1$ ), for all  $s > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} P_F(\tilde{\delta}_T) &\leq P(e^{sT(Y)} \geq e^{s\tau} | H_0) \\ &\leq e^{-s\tau} E[e^{sT(Y)} | H_0] \end{aligned}$$

or

$$P_F(\tilde{\delta}_T) \leq \exp[-s\tau + \mu_{T,0}(s)] \quad (A)$$

where  $\mu_{T,0}(s)$  is the cumulant generating function of  $T(Y)$  under  $H_0$ , defined as

$$\mu_{T,0}(s) = \log(\bar{E}\{e^{sT(Y)} | H_0\}).$$

Similarly, since  $\delta \geq 0$

$$P_m(\tilde{\delta}_T) \leq P[T(Y) \leq \tau | H_1] = P[e^{tT(Y)} \geq e^{t\tau} | H_1] \\ \leq \exp[-t\tau + \mu_{T,1}(t)] \quad (B)$$

for all  $t < 0$  where  $\mu_{T,1}(t)$  is the cumulant generating function of  $T(Y)$  under  $H_1$ ,

$$\mu_{T,1}(t) = \log(\bar{E}\{e^{tT(Y)} | H_1\})$$

The bounds found (A & B) can be made tighter by minimizing over  $s > 0$  and  $t < 0$ , respectively.

For a likelihood ratio test, we write:

$$T(Y) = \log L(Y) = \log \frac{p(Y|H_1)}{p(Y|H_0)}$$

So, we have:

$$\mu_{T,0}(s) = \log \left( \int_{\Gamma} e^{sL(y)} p(y|H_0) dy \right)$$

$$= \log \left( \int_{\Gamma} (L(y))^s p(y|H_0) dy \right)$$

and

$$\mu_{T,1}(t) = \log \left( \int_{\Gamma} (L(y))^t p(y|H_1) dy \right)$$

$$= \log \left( \int_{\Gamma} (L(y))^{t+1} p(y|H_0) dy \right)$$

$$= \mu_{T,0}(t+1)$$

Thus,

$$P_n(\tilde{\delta}_T) \leq \exp \left\{ (1-s)\tau + \mu_{T,0}(s) \right\} \quad s < 1$$

So, we need to minimize  $[\mu_{T,0}(s) - s\tau]$  over  $s > 0$  and also over  $s < 1$ . If these two minima fall in the range  $0 < s < 1$  then we have a sing  $s$  making the bound tight i.e., if

$$\arg \left\{ \min_{s < 1} [\mu_{T,0}(s) - s\tau] \right\} > 0$$

and

$$\arg \left\{ \min_{s > 0} [\mu_{T,0}(s) - s\tau] \right\} < 1$$

It can be shown that  $[\mu_{T,0}(s) - s\tau]$  is a convex function of  $s$ . It is also easy to show that

$$\mu'_{T,0}(j) = E[\log L(y) | H_j] \quad j=0,1$$

where

$$\mu'_{T,0}(j) = \mu'_{T,0}(s) \Big|_{s=j}$$

and

$$\mu'_{T,0}(s) = \frac{d}{ds} \mu_{T,0}(s).$$

Since minimum occurs when

$$\mu'_{T,0}(s) = \tau$$

and  $\mu'_{T,0}(s)$  is an increasing function of  $s$  (due to the convexity of  $[\mu_{T,0}(s) - s\tau]$ ) then a minimum point in  $s \in (0,1)$  exists if:

$$\mu_0 \triangleq E[\log L(y) | H_0] < \tau < E[\log L(y) | H_1] \triangleq \mu_1$$

In such a case:

$$P_F(\tilde{\delta}_T) \leq \exp[\mu_{T,0}(s_0) - s_0 \mu'_{T,0}(s_0)]$$

and

$$P_M(\tilde{\delta}_T) \leq \exp[\mu_{T,0}(s_0) + (1-s_0) \mu'_{T,0}(s_0)]$$

with

$$\mu_0 < \mu'_{T,0}(s_0) = \tau < \mu_1.$$

The above equations are called the

Chernoff bound.