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#### Abstract

The question of compatibility of differential paths plays a central role in second order collision attacks on hash functions. In this context, attacks typically proceed by starting from the middle and constructing the middle-steps quartet in which the two paths are enforced on the respective faces of the quartet structure. Finding paths that can fit in such a quartet structure has been a major challenge and the currently known compatible paths extend over a suboptimal number of steps for hash functions such as SHA-2 and HAS-160. In this paper, we investigate a heuristic that searches for compatible differential paths. The application of the heuristic in case of HAS-160 yields a practical second order collision over all of the function steps, which is the first practical result that covers all of the HAS-160 steps. An example of a colliding quartet is provided.


## 1 Introduction

Whenever two probabilistic patterns are combined for the purpose of passing through maximal number of rounds of a cryptographic primitive, a natural question that arises is the question of compatibility of the two patterns. A notable example is the question of compatibility of differential paths in the context of boomerang attacks. In 2011, Murphy [25] has shown that care should be exercised when estimating the boomerang attack success probability, since there may exist dependency between the events that the two paths behave as required by the boomerang setting. The extreme case is the impossibility of combining the two paths, where the corresponding probability is equal to 0 .

In the context of constructing second order collisions for compression functions using the start-from-the-middle technique, due to availability of message modification in the steps where the primitive follows the two paths, the above mentioned probability plays less of a role as long as it is strictly greater than 0 . In that case, the two paths are said to be compatible. Several paths that were previously believed to be compatible have been shown to be incompatible in the previously described sense, e.g., by Leurent [15] and Sasaki [29] for BLAKE and RIPEMD-160 hash functions, respectively.

The compatibility requirement in this context can be stated with more precision as follows. Let $\phi$ and $\omega$ be two differential paths over some number of steps of an iterative function $f=f_{j+n} \circ \ldots \circ f_{j}$. If there exists a quartet of $f$
inputs $x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}$ and $x_{3}$ such that computations $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ follow $\phi$ whereas $\left(x_{0}, x_{2}\right)$ and $\left(x_{1}, x_{3}\right)$ follow $\omega$, we say that $\phi$ and $\omega$ are compatible. Usually the path $\phi$ is left unspecified over the last $k$ steps (backward path) and $\omega$ is unspecified over the remaining steps (forward path). Such paths have also been previously called independent [4]. Another closely related notion is the concept of non-interleaving paths in the context of biclique attacks [9].

Our Contributions. In this paper, we present a heuristic that allows us to search for compatible differential paths. The heuristic builds on the previous de Cannière and Rechberger automatic differential path search method. Instead of working with pairs, our proposed heuristic operates on quartets of hash executions and includes cross-path propagations. We present detailed examples of particular propagations applied during the search. As an application of our proposed heuristic, a second order collision for the full HAS-160 compression function is found. The best previous practical distinguisher for this function covered steps 5 to 80 [30]. This is the first practical distinguisher for the full HAS-160. This particular hash function is relevant as it is standardized by the Korean government (TTAS.KO-12.0011/R1) [1].

Related Work. The differential paths used in groundbreaking attacks on MD4, MD5 and SHA-1 36|35 were found manually. Subsequently, several techniques for automatic differential path search have been studied 31/7/32|5. The de Cannière and Rechberger heuristic [5] was subsequently applied to many MDx/SHA-x based hash functions, such as RIPEMD-128, HAS-160, SHA-2 and SM3 [21|19|20|22]. To keep track of the current information in the system, the heuristic relies on 1-bit constraints that express the relations between pairs of bits in the differential setting. This was generalized to multi-bit constraints by Leurent [15], where finite state machine approach allowed uniform representation of different constraint types. Multi-bit constraints have been used in the context of differential path search in [16].

The boomerang attack [33], originally applied to block ciphers, has been adapted to the hash function setting independently by Biryukov et al. (4) and by Lamberger and Mendel [13. In particular, in [4, a distinguisher for the 7round BLAKE-32 was provided, whereas in [13] a distinguisher for the 46-step reduced SHA-2 compression function was provided. The latter SHA-2 result was extended to 47 steps [3. Subsequently, boomerang distinguishers have been applied to many hash functions, such as HAVAL, RIPEMD-160, SIMD, HAS-160, SM3 and Skein [27|29|30|18|11|37|17]. Outside of the boomerang context, zerosum property as a distinguishing property was first used by Aumasson [2].

As for the previous HAS-160 analysis, in 2005, Yun et al. 38 found a practical collision for the 45 -step (out of 80) reduced hash function. Their attack was extended in 2006 to 53 steps by Cho et al. [6], however, with computational complexity of $2^{55} 53$-step compression function computations. In 2007, Mendel and Rijmen [23] improved the latter attack complexity to $2^{35}$, providing a practical two-block message collision for the 53 -step compression function. Preimage attacks on 52-step HAS-160 with complexity of $2^{152}$ was provided in

2008 by Sasaki and Aoki [28. Subsequently, in 2009, this result was extended by Hong et al. to 68 steps [8] where the attack required a complexity of $2^{156.3}$. In 2011, Mendel et al. provided a practical semi-free-start collision for 65 -step reduced compression function [19]. Finally, in 2012, Sasaki et al. [30] provided a theoretical boomerang distinguisher for the full HAS-160 compression function, requiring $2^{76.6}$ steps function computations. In the same work, a practical second order collision was given for steps 5 to 80 of the function.

Paper Outline. In the next section, we provide the review of boomerang distingiushers and the recapitulation of the de Cannière and Rechberger search heuristic, along with the HAS-160 specification. In Section 3, the general form of the our search heuristic is provided and its application to HAS-160 is discussed. The three propagation types used in the heuristic are explained in Section 4 Concluding remarks are given in Section 5 .

## 2 Review of Related Work and the Specification of HAS-160

In the following subsections, we provide a description of a commonly used strategy to construct second order collisions, an overview of the de Cannière and Rechberger path search heuristic and finally the specification of HAS-160 hash function.

### 2.1 Review of Boomerang Distinguishers for Hash Functions

First, we provide a generic definition of the property used for building compression function distinguishers. Let $h$ be a function with $n$-bit output. A second order collision for $h$ is a set $\{x, \Delta, \nabla\}$ consisting of an input for $h$ and two differences, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x+\Delta+\nabla)-h(x+\Delta)-h(x+\nabla)+h(x)=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

As explained in [3], the query complexity for finding a second order collision is $3 \cdot 2^{n / 3}$ where $n$ denotes the bit-size of the output of the function $f$. By the query complexity, the number of queries required to be made to $h$ function is considered. On the other hand, for the computational complexity, which would include evaluating $h$ around $3 \cdot 2^{n / 3}$ times and finding a quartet that sums to 0 , the best currently known algorithm runs in complexity no better than $2^{n / 2}$. If for a particular function a second order collision is obtained with a complexity lower than $2^{n / 2}$, then this hash function deviates from the random function oracle.

Next, we explain the strategy to construct quartets satisfying (11) for DaviesMeyer based functions, as commonly applied in the previous literature. An overview of the strategy is provided in Fig. 11 We write $h(x)=e(x)+x$, where $e$ is an iterative function consisting of $n$ steps. The goal is to find four inputs


Fig. 1. Start-from-the-middle approach for constructing second-order collisions
$x_{A}, x_{B}, x_{C}$ and $x_{D}$ that constitute the inputs in (11) according to Fig. 1 (c). In particular, the goal is to have

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{A}-x_{D} & =x_{B}-x_{C} \\
e\left(x_{A}\right)-e\left(x_{B}\right) & =e\left(x_{D}\right)-e\left(x_{C}\right) \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where the two values specified by (21) are denoted respectively by $\alpha$ and $\beta$ in Fig. 1 (c). In this case, we have $h\left(x_{A}\right)-h\left(x_{B}\right)+h\left(x_{C}\right)-h\left(x_{D}\right)=e\left(x_{A}\right)+$ $x_{A}-e\left(x_{B}\right)-x_{B}+e\left(x_{C}\right)+x_{C}-e\left(x_{D}\right)-x_{D}=0$. Now, one can put $x_{A}=x$, $\Delta=x_{D}-x_{A}$ and $\nabla=x_{B}-x_{A}$ and (11) is satisfied.

A preliminary step is to decide on two paths, called the forward path and the backward path. As shown on Fig. These paths are chosen so that for some $n_{0}<n_{1}<n_{2}<n_{3}<n_{4}<n_{5}$, the forward path has no active bits between steps $n_{3}$ and $n_{4}$ and the backward path has no active bits between steps $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$. The forward path is enforced on faces $\left(x_{A}, x_{B}\right)$ and ( $x_{D}, x_{C}$ ) (front and back) whereas the backward differential is enforced on faces $\left(x_{A}, x_{D}\right)$ and $\left(x_{B}, x_{C}\right)$ (left and right). In the case of MDx-based designs, the particular $n$ values depend mostly on the message schedule specification.

The procedure can be summarized as follows:
(a) The first step is to construct the middle part of the quartet structure, as shown in Fig. 1 (a). The forward and backward paths end at steps $n_{3}$ and $n_{2}$, respectively. On steps $n_{2}$ to $n_{3}$, the two paths need to be compatible for this stage to succeed.
(b) Following Fig. 1 (b), the paths are extended to steps $n_{1}$ backward and to $n_{4}$ forward with probability 1 , due to the absence of disturbances in the corresponding steps.
(c) Some of the middle-step words are randomized and the quartet is recomputed backward and forward, verifying if (2) is satisfied. If yes (see Fig. 11 (c)), return the quartet, otherwise, repeat this step.

This strategy, with variations, has been applied in several previous works, such as 330|2927. In Table 1. we provide the forward/backward path parameters for the previous boomerang distinguishers on some of the MDx/SHA-x based compression functions following the single-pipe design strategy.

Table 1. Overview of some of the previously used boomerang paths

| Compression function | $n_{0}$ | $n_{1}$ | $n_{2}$ | $n_{3}$ | $n_{4}$ | $n_{5}$ | Reference | Message block size |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| SHA-2 | 0 | 6 | 22 | 31 | 47 | 47 | $\underline{3}$ | $16 \times 32$ |  |
| HAVAL | 0 | 2 | 61 | 97 | 157 | 160 | $[27$ | $32 \times 32$ |  |
| HAS-160 | 5 | 13 | 38 | 53 | 78 | 80 | $[30$ |  | $16 \times 32$ |

In [3|30, the number of steps in the middle was 9 and 16 steps, respectively. It can be observed that these number of middle steps are suboptimal, since the simple message modification allows trivially satisfying 16 steps in case of SHA-2 and HAS-160. Since the forward and the backward paths are sparse towards steps $n_{3}$ and $n_{2}$, one can easily imagine satisfying more than 16 steps, while there remains enough freedom to randomize the inner state although some penalty in probability has to be paid. In case of HAVAL [27, the simple message modification allows passing through 32 steps and the middle part consists of as many as 36 steps. However, it should be noted that this is due to the particular property of HAVAL which allows narrow paths [10].

### 2.2 Review of de Cannière and Rechberger Search Heuristic

This search heuristic is used to find differential paths that describe pairs of compression function executions. The symbols used for expressing differential paths are provided in Table 2, For example, when we write $-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{u}$, we mean a set of 4 -bit pairs

$$
-\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{u}=\left\{T, T^{\prime} \in F_{2}^{4} \mid T_{3}=T_{3}^{\prime}, T_{2} \neq T_{2}^{\prime}, T_{1}=T_{1}^{\prime}, T_{0}=0, T_{0}^{\prime}=1\right\}
$$

where $T_{i}$ denotes $i$-th bit in word $T$.

Table 2. Symbols used to express 1-bit conditions 5]

| $\delta\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ | meaning | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $?$ | anything | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| - | $x=x^{\prime}$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| x | $x \neq x^{\prime}$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - |
| 0 | $x=x^{\prime}=0$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | - | - |
| u | $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=(0,1)$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | - |
| n | $\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=(1,0)$ | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | - |
| 1 | $x=x^{\prime}=1$ | - | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| $\#$ |  | - | - | - | - |


| $\delta\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)$ | meaning | $(0,0)$ | $(0,1)$ | $(1,0)$ | $(1,1)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | $x=0$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | - |
| 5 | $x^{\prime}=0$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | - |
| 7 |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - |
| A | $x^{\prime}=1$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| B |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| C | $x=1$ | - | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| D |  | $\sqrt{ }$ | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |
| E |  | - | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ | $\sqrt{ }$ |

Next, an example of condition propagation is provided. Suppose that a small differential path over one modular addition is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
----+---x=---x \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here (3) describes a pair of additions: $x+y=z$ and $x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}=z^{\prime}$, and from this "path" we have that $x=x^{\prime}$ and also that $y$ and $y^{\prime}$ are different only on the least significant bit (same for $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ ). However, this can happen only if $x_{0}=x_{0}^{\prime}=0$, i.e. if the lsb of $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ is equal to 0 . We thus propagate a condition by substituting (3) with

$$
---0+---x=---x
$$

The de Cannière and Rechberger heuristic [5] searches for differential paths over some number of compression function steps. It starts from a partially specified path which typically means that the path is fully specified at some steps (i.e., consisting of symbols $\{-, u, n\}$ ) and unspecified at other steps (i.e., symbol '?'). The heuristic attempts to complete the path, so that the final result is noncontradictory by proceeding as follows:

- Guess: select randomly a bit position containing '?' or 'x'. Substitute the symbol in the chosen bit position by ' - ' and $\{u, n\}$, respectively.
- Propagate: deduce new information introduced by the Guess step.

When a contradiction is detected, the search backtracks by jumping back to one of the guesses and attempts different choices.

### 2.3 HAS-160 Specification

The HAS-160 hash function follows the MDx/SHA-x hash function design strategy. Its compression function can be seen as a block cipher in Davies-Meyer mode, mapping 160-bit chaining values and 512 -bit messages into 160 -bit digests. To process arbitrary-length messages, the compression function is plugged in the Merkle-Damgård mode.

Before hashing, the message is padded so that its length becomes multiple of 512 bits. Since padding is not relevant for this paper, we refer the reader to [1] for further details. The underlying HAS-160 block cipher consists of two parts: message expansion and state update transformation.

Message Expansion: The input to the compression function is a message $m=\left(m_{0}, \ldots m_{15}\right)$ represented as 1632 -bit words. The output of the message expansion is a sequence of 32 -bit words $W_{0}, \ldots W_{79}$. The expansion is specified in Table 3. For example, $W_{26}=m_{15}$.

State Update: One compression function step is schematically described by Fig. 2 (a). The Boolean functions $f$ used in each step are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{0}(x, y, z)=(x \wedge y) \oplus(\neg x \wedge z) \\
& f_{1}(x, y, z)=x \oplus y \oplus z \\
& f_{2}(x, y, z)=(x \vee \neg z) \oplus y
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 3. Message expansion in HAS-160

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline m_{8} \oplus m_{9} \\ \oplus m_{10} \oplus m_{11} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $m_{0}$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline m_{12} \oplus m_{13} \\ \oplus m_{14} \oplus m_{15} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $m_{4}$ | $m_{5}$ | $m_{6}$ | $m_{7}$ | $m_{0} \oplus m_{1}$ <br> $\oplus m_{2} \oplus m_{3}$ | $m_{8}$ | $m_{9}$ | $m_{10}$ | $m_{11}$ | $m_{4} \oplus m_{5}$ <br> $\oplus m_{6} \oplus m_{7}$ | $m_{12}$ | $m_{13}$ | $m_{14}$ | $m_{15}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & m_{11} \oplus m_{14} \\ & \oplus m_{1} \oplus m_{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $m_{3}$ | $m_{6}$ | $m_{9}$ | $m_{12}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{7} \oplus m_{10} \\ \oplus m_{13} \oplus m_{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $m_{15}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{5}$ | $m_{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{3} \oplus m_{6} \\ \oplus m_{9} \oplus m_{12} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{m} 11$ | $m_{14}$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m_{15} \oplus m_{2} \\ & \oplus m_{5} \oplus m_{8} \end{aligned}$ | $m_{7}$ | $m_{10}$ | $m_{13}$ | $m_{0}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c} m_{4} \oplus m_{13} \\ \oplus m_{6} \oplus m_{15} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $m_{12}$ | $m_{5}$ | $m_{14}$ | $m_{7}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{8} \oplus m_{1} \\ \oplus m_{10} \oplus m_{3} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $m_{0}$ | $m_{9}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{11}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{12} \oplus m_{5} \\ \oplus m_{14} \oplus m_{7} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $m_{4}$ | $m_{13}$ | $m_{6}$ | ${ }^{1} 15$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{0} \oplus m_{9} \\ \oplus m_{2} \oplus m_{11} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $m_{8}$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{10}$ | $m_{3}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & m_{15} \oplus m_{10} \\ & \oplus m_{5} \oplus m_{0} \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{1} 7$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{13}$ | $m_{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{11} \oplus m_{6} \\ \oplus m_{1} \oplus m_{12} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $m_{3}$ | $m_{14}$ | $m_{9}$ | $m_{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{7} \oplus m_{2} \\ \oplus m_{13} \oplus m_{8} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $m_{15}$ | $m_{10}$ | $m_{5}$ | $m_{0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m_{3} \oplus m_{14} \\ & \oplus m_{9} \oplus m_{4} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $m_{11}$ | $m_{6}$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{12}$ |

where $f_{0}$ is used in steps $0-19, f_{1}$ is used in steps $20-39$ and $60-79$ and $f_{2}$ is used in steps 40-59. The constant $K_{i}$ that is added in each step changes every 20 steps, taking the values $0,5 a 827999,6 e d 9 e b a 1$ and $8 f 1 b b c d c$. The rotational constant $s_{1}^{i}$ is specified by the following table

| $i$ mod 20 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

The other rotational constant $s_{2}^{i}$ changes only each 20 steps and $s_{2}^{i} \in\{10,17,25$, $30\}$. According to the Davies-Meyer mode, the feedforward is applied and the output of the compression is

$$
\left(A_{80}+A_{0}, B_{80}+B_{0}, C_{80}+C_{0}, D_{80}+D_{0}, E_{80}+E_{0}\right)
$$

Alternative Description of HAS-160: In Fig. 2(b), the compression function is shown as a recurrence relation, where $A_{i+1}$ plays the role of $A$ in the usual step representation. Namely, $A$ can be considered as the only new computed word, since the rotation that is applied to $B$ can be compensated by properly adjusting the rotation constants in the recurrence relation specification. One starts from $A_{-4}, A_{-3}, A_{-2}, A_{-1}$ and $A_{0}$, putting these values to the previous chaining value


Fig. 2. Two equivalent representations of the state update
(or the IV for the first message block) and computes the recurrence until $A_{80}$ according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i+1}=A_{i-4} \lll t_{1}^{i}+K_{i}+f_{i}\left(A_{i-1}, A_{i-2} \lll t_{3}^{i}, A_{i-3} \lll t_{2}^{i}\right)+W_{i}+A_{i} \lll t_{4}^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The rotational values $t_{j}^{i}, 1 \leq j \leq 4$ are derived from $s_{1}^{i}$ and $s_{2}^{i}$, where the constants related to the rotation of $B$ in the usual representation change around the steps $20 \times k, k=0,1,2,3$. For instance, to compute $A_{42}$, we have $t_{1}^{41}=17$, $t_{2}^{41}=17, t_{3}^{41}=25$ and $t_{4}^{41}=11$.

## 3 Compatible Paths Search Heuristic and Application to HAS-160

In this section, we provide a new search heuristic that can be used to find compatible paths in the boomerang setting. The particular colliding quartet found by applying the heuristic on HAS-160 is provided in Table 4

Table 4. Second order collision for the full HAS-160 compression function

| Message quartet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $M_{A}$ | F6513317 | 810F1084 | FFB71009 | 78 CC 955 E | C3C09F18 | 5379FC99 | 435586 DA | 9C9AD3B4 |
|  | 00440C80 | E174316A | 006D1670 | 2B5CF68A | AB3DE600 | 02C9E9D3 | 5FE95AFF | E351DE04 |
| $M_{B}$ | F6513317 | 810F1084 | FFB71009 | 78CC955E | C3C09f18 | 5379FC99 | 435786 DA | 9C9AD3B4 |
|  | 00440C80 | E174316A | 006D1670 | 2B5CF68A | AB3FE600 | 02C9E9D3 | 5FE95AFF | E351DE04 |
| ${ }^{M}{ }_{C}$ | 76513317 | 010F1084 | FFB71009 | 78CC955E | 43C09F18 | 5379FC99 | 435786 DA | 1C9AD3B4 |
|  | 00440 C 80 | E174316A | 006D1670 | 2B5CF68A | AB3FE600 | 02C9E9D3 | 5FE95AFF | E351DE04 |
| $M_{D}$ | 76513317 | 010F1084 | FFB71009 | 78CC955E | 43C09f18 | 5379FC99 | 435586 DA | 1C9AD3B4 |
|  | 00440C80 | E174316A | 006D1670 | 2B5CF68A | AB3DE600 | 02C9E9D3 | 5FE95AFF | E351DE04 |
| Chaining values quartet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $I V_{A}$ | 1143BE75 | 9A9CA381 | 85B3F526 | DA6ABE66 | 70EBE920 |  |  |  |
| $I V_{B}$ | 3AF7BD99 | D08E2E63 | 245 C 2 AF 0 | C4456954 | CAC046EA |  |  |  |
| $I V_{C}$ | 3AF7B599 | D08E2E63 | B45C2AF0 | C425694C | 3BE146F2 |  |  |  |
| $I V_{D}$ | 1143B675 | 9 A 9 CA 381 | 15B3F526 | DA4ABE5E | E20CE928 |  |  |  |

The heuristic uses quartets of 1-bit conditions from Table 2 to keep track of the bit differences in each of the four compression function executions. Apart from the single-path propagations proposed in [5], two additional types of boomerang (cross-path) propagations are added. These boomerang propagations have been previously listed in [15].

The forward and the backward differentials are specified next and this specification determines the initial problem on which the heuristic is applied. Let the forward message differential consist of a one-bit difference in messages $m_{6}$ and $m_{12}$ and the backward differential of a one-bit difference in $m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{4}$ and $m_{7}$, as shown in Table 5. The particular bit-position of differences is left unspecified. The choice of these difference positions is justified by the following start/end points of the expanded message differences, expressed in terms of the notation used in Fig. [1 $\left(n_{0}, n_{1}, n_{2}, n_{3}, n_{4}, n_{5}\right)=(0,8,34,53,78,80)$. It can be observed that the middle part consists of 20 steps.

Now, the particular problem schematically described by Fig. 1 (a) is represented more specifically by Table 7 where the backward and forward message

Table 5. Message differentials. Backward: steps 0-39, forward: steps 40-79

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} m_{8} \oplus m_{9} \\ \oplus m_{10} \oplus m_{11} \end{gathered}$ | $\left(m_{0}\right)$ |  |  | $m_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{12} \oplus m_{13} \\ \oplus m_{14} \oplus m_{15} \end{gathered}$ | $\mho$ | $m_{5}$ | $m_{6}$ | $m_{7}$ | $\underset{\oplus m_{2} \oplus m_{3}}{\left(m_{0} \oplus m_{1}\right.}$ | $m$ | $m_{9}$ |  | $m_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} \left(m_{4} \oplus m_{5}\right. \\ \oplus m_{6} \oplus m_{7} \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }^{\prime} 13$ | $m_{1}$ | ${ }^{m} 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & m_{11} \oplus m_{14} \\ & \oplus\left(m_{1}\right) \oplus\left(m_{4}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $m_{3}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \left(m_{7}\right) \oplus m_{10} \\ & \oplus m_{13} \oplus\left(m_{0}\right. \end{aligned}$ | $m_{15}$ | $m_{2}$ | $m_{5}$ | $m_{8}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{3} \oplus m_{6} \\ \oplus m_{9} \oplus m_{12} \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }^{2} 14$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & m_{15} \oplus m_{2} \\ & \oplus m_{5} \oplus m_{8} \end{aligned}$ |  | 10 | ${ }^{13}$ | $m_{0}$ |
|  | ${ }^{2} 12$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} m_{8} \oplus m_{1} \\ \oplus m_{10} \oplus m_{3} \end{gathered}$ | $m_{0}$ | $m_{9}$ | $m_{2}$ | ${ }^{2} 11$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline m_{12} \\ \oplus m_{14} \oplus m_{5} \\ \oplus m_{7} \end{array}$ | $m_{4}$ | $n_{13}$ | ${ }^{m} 6$ | $m_{15}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{0} \oplus m_{9} \\ \oplus m_{2} \oplus m_{11} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{m} 8$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{10}$ | $m_{3}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} m_{15} \oplus m_{10} \\ \oplus m_{5} \oplus m_{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $m_{7}$ | $m_{2}$ | 13 | $m_{8}$ | $\begin{array}{c\|c\|} \hline m_{11} \oplus & m_{6} \\ \oplus m_{1} \oplus & m_{12} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $m_{3}$ | $m_{14}$ | $m_{9}$ | $m_{4}$ | $\begin{gathered} m_{7} \oplus m_{2} \\ \oplus m_{13} \oplus m_{8} \end{gathered}$ | $m_{15}$ | $m_{10}$ | $n_{5}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & m_{3} \oplus m_{14} \\ & \oplus m_{9} \oplus m_{4} \end{aligned}$ | $m_{11}$ | $m_{6}$ | $m_{1}$ | $m_{12}$ |

differentials are indicated in the first and the last column, respectively. At this point, the only information that is present in the system is that the two paths end at the corresponding steps $n_{2}=34$ and $n_{3}=53$. The output of the heuristic in case of HAS-160 is given in Table 8. The full specifications of the two paths intersect on 5 steps, which is the number of inner state registers in HAS-160. Provided that the paths are compatible, one can now start from step 42 and apply the usual message modification technique to satisfy both paths, which resolves the middle of the boomerang as shown in Fig. 1 (a).

### 3.1 Search Strategy

The approach consists of variating the position of the message difference bit, gradually extending the two paths, propagating the conditions in the quartet and backtracking in case of a contradiction. In more detail, the heuristic proceeds as follows:
(1) Randomize the positions of active bits in the active message words.
(2) Extend the specification of the forward/backward path backward/forward, respectively. Ensure that paths are randomized over different step invocations.
(3) Propagate all new conditions. In case of contradiction, backtrack
(4) If the two paths are fully specified on a sufficient number of steps, return the two paths

In step (1), the message disturbance position in the two differentials is randomized to achieve variation in the paths. Alternatively, one position can be fixed to bit 31 and the other position randomized at each step invocation. As for step (2), at the point where the probability of contradiction between the two paths is negligible, one can extend paths simply by randomly sampling them in required steps and discarding non-narrow ones. Once the probability of contradiction becomes significant, substitute/backtrack strategy according to the Table 6 is applied to the remaining steps. In step (3), apart from propagations on a single path [5], quartet and quartet addition propagations (explained in Section 44) are applied. The heuristic ends when the full specification of two paths (containing only $\{-, \mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}\}$ ) intersects on the number of words equal to the number of registers in the compression function inner state, as is the case in Table 8 .

Table 6. Substitution rules: adding information to the forward path (left) and backward path (right)

| 1. | ???? $\mapsto--? ?$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | ??-- $\mapsto----$ |
| 3. | ?? $\mathrm{xx} \mapsto--\mathrm{xx}$ |
| 4. | $\mathrm{xx} ? ? \rightarrow$ ? $\mathrm{uu} 10, \mathrm{nn01} \mathrm{\}}$ |
| 5. | $\mathrm{xx}--\mapsto\{\mathrm{uu} 10, \mathrm{nn01} \mathrm{\}}$ |
| 6. | $\mathrm{xxxx} \mapsto\{\mathrm{unnu}, \mathrm{nuun}\}$ |


| 1. | ???? $\mapsto ? ?--$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. | $--? ? \mapsto----$ |
| 3. | $\mathrm{xx} ? ? \mapsto \mathrm{xx}--$ |
| 4. | ?? $\mathrm{xx} \mapsto\{01 \mathrm{uu}, 10 \mathrm{nn}\}$ |
| 5. | $--\mathrm{xx} \mapsto\{01 \mathrm{uu}, 10 \mathrm{nn}\}$ |
| 6. | $\mathrm{xxxx} \mapsto\{$ unnu, nuun $\}$ |

When new constraint information is to be added at a particular bit position, one can either add information to the forward path or to the backward path. Here, a clarification is necessary regarding the fact that in Table 8, four paths are shown, whereas the heuristic searches for a pair of paths (forward and backward path). This is due to the fact that the paths on the opposite faces of the boomerang are equal (up to 0 and 1 symbols) and thus one can consider a pair of paths. Nonetheless, the inner state of the search algorithm keeps all the four paths explicitly.

The substitutions provided in Table 6 represent generalizations of the substitutions used in [5]. The choice whether the information will be added to the forward or the backward path is made randomly each time. The left-hand and the right-hand tables correspond to adding constraints to the forward and the backward path, respectively. Consider for example rule xx-- $\mapsto\{$ uu10, nn01\}. In this notation, the symbols $\mathrm{xx}--$ describe a bit position for which $\delta\left[A_{i}^{j}, B_{i}^{j}\right]=\mathrm{x}$, $\delta\left[D_{i}^{j}, C_{i}^{j}\right]=\mathrm{x}, \delta\left[B_{i}^{j}, C_{i}^{j}\right]=-, \delta\left[A_{i}^{j}, D_{i}^{j}\right]=-$. The rule simply substitutes the ' x ' symbol on the forward path by ' $u$ ' or ' $n$ ', while at the same time applying the immediate propagation of the ' - ' symbols to ' 0 ' and ' 1 ', respectively. This rule represents a generalization of the $\mathrm{x} \mapsto\{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{n}\}$ rule used in [5]. Other rules can be explained in a similar manner.

One possible variation of the general heuristic above is as follows. Once the two paths are sufficiently specified so that the contradictions are likely to occur, instead of adding new constraints randomly, a beneficial strategy is to introduce some graduality while extending the two paths. For example, one can choose a parameter $k$ and extend both paths by only $k$ steps. If the heuristic succeeds in extending the paths by $k$ steps, reporting that there is no contradiction in the system, more steps can be attempted. If in the intermediate steps of the search, the path was in fact contradictory and this was not reported by 1-bit conditions, further attempts to extend or find the messages satisfying the paths will fail.

### 3.2 Application to HAS-160

In this section, we describe how the above heuristic can be applied in the case of HAS-160. First, we fix the position of the active bit in the backward differential to $b_{1}=31$. The following sequence of steps randomizes steps in the light-gray area in Table 7

Table 7. Input for the search heuristic

| ste |
| :---: |$|$

Table 8. Output of the heuristic: compatible paths for HAS-160

| step | $\Delta[A, B]$ | $\Delta[D, C]$ | $\Delta[B, C]$ | $\Delta[A, D]$ | step |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 29 | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? |  |  | 29 |
| 30 | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? |  |  | 30 |
| 31 | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? |  |  | 31 |
| 32 | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? |  |  | 32 |
| 33 | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? |  |  | (33) |
| 34 | 0??????????????????????????????? | 1??????????????????????????????? |  |  | (34) |
| 35 | 0??????????u???????x0???x-0????? | 1??????????u???????x0???x-1????? |  |  | 35 |
| 36 | 1x????????xu?-01B?--0Bx--u0D???? | 0x????????xu?-11B?--1Bx--u0D???? | n----------1--u1----u----10----- | 0--u1----u----00 | (36) |
| 37 | 11-ODOB??On0?101-x-10-01u01C???x | 11-0D1B??0n1?100-x-10-00u10C???x | 11-0-u---00u-10n---10-0n1un | 11-0-u---01u-10n---10-0n0un | 37 |
| 38 | 00uOnn-1n01uu000uu-011u00nnn-01- | 01u0nn-1n01uu110uu-001u10nnn-11- | Ou1000-100111uu011-On11u0000-u1- | Ou0011-110100uu000-On10u0111-u1- | 38 |
| 39 | n101-1000100-0-0000-1---100-010n | n110-0010101-0-1001-1---001-100n | $01 \mathrm{un-nOu010u-0-u00u-1---nOu-un00}$ | 11 un-n0u010u-0-u00u-1---n0u-un01 | (39) |
| 40 | 1-100010001-01--0n1-u-0-00--11-1 | 1-010011101-00--1n1-u-0-10--11-1 | 1-nu001uu01-On--u01-1-0-u0--11-1 | 1-nu001uu01-0n--u11-0-0-u0--11-1 | (40) |
| 41 | u--1--00--0-01--0--0u--001-0---1 | $\mathrm{u}--0--00--0-11--1--1 \mathrm{u}--001-0--1$ | $1-\mathrm{n}--00--0-\mathrm{u} 1--\mathrm{u}-\mathrm{u} 1--001-0--1$ | $0--n-00--0-u 1--u--u 0--001-0--1$ | 41 |
| 42 | u---1-01001-110--n01011--n10---1 | u---0-11110-011--n00000--n00---0 | $1--\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{u} 1 u u^{-n} 1 \mathrm{u}--00 \mathrm{n} 0 \mathrm{nn}--0 \mathrm{n} 0---\mathrm{n}$ | 0---n-u1uun-n1u--10n0nn--1n0---n | 42 |
| 43 | n------01----0------u------00-un | n------00----0------u------01-un | 0????--0nd???0x?????1x??x--0u-10 | 1????--0nD???0x?????0x??x--0u-01 | 43 |
| 44 | 0-----10-----------------1u | 0------0-----------------1u---- | 0?????C0????????????????11?????x | 0?????C0????????????????10?????x | (44) |
| 45 | 00 | -00------------u------1- | ??????00????????????1??????1???? | ??????00????????????0??????1???? | (45) |
| 46 |  |  | 1??????????????????????????????? | 0??????????????????????????????? | (46) |
| 47 |  |  | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? | 47 |
| 48 |  |  | ???????1???????????????????????? | ???????0???????????????????????? | 48 |
| 49 |  |  | ???????0???????????????????????? | ???????1???????????????????????? | 49 |
| 50 |  |  | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? | (50) |
| 51 |  |  | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? | (51) |
| 52 |  |  | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? | 52 |
| 53 |  |  | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? | 53 |
| 54 |  |  | ???????????????????????????????? | ???????????????????????????????? | 54 |

- Randomize the position of the forward message difference active bit $b_{2}$.
- With the message difference fully specified by $b_{1}, b_{2}$, sample narrow paths in the inner state words in steps denoted by light-gray in Table 7
- Propagate conditions w.r.t. the three propagation types explained in Section 4. This step is applied repeatedly until none of the three propagation types can be applied on any of the bit positions.

Here, the path sampling is performed simply by initializing randomly the two instances of the path at the given step, calculating the recurrence over the required number of steps and extracting the path. If the Hamming weight of the path is greater than some pre-specified threshold, it is discarded and a new path is sampled. Using the above sampling of partial solution to the paths, the following procedure aims to find the full solution:
(1) Randomize steps in the light-gray area according to the procedure above (steps 43-49 and 34-37 in the forward and backward paths, respectively).
(2) Randomly choose $(i, j), 0 \leq i \leq 31,38 \leq j \leq 42$, a position within the steps denoted by dark-grey in Table 7. If applicable, apply the substitution specified by Table 6. If not, choose another position. In case there is none, return the state.
(3) Propagate conditions and backtrack in case of contradiction. After a contradiction was reached a sufficient number of times, go to step (1).

After reducing the number of steps on which the two differentials meet from 5 to 3 (i.e., putting $k=4$, where it should be noted that after the propagation the number of unconstrained bits will be relatively small), we received several paths reported as non-contradictory. At that point, there are two possible routes to verify the actual correctness of the intermediate result. One is to switch from 1 -bit conditions to multi-bit conditions (such as 1.5 -bit or 2.5 -bit conditions [15]) that capture more information. ARXtools [15] can readily be used for this purpose. Each 2.5-bit verification using ARXtools for checking the compatibility of two paths took around 3-5 minutes. Another option is to continue with the search heuristic towards extending the specification of the paths to more steps, restarting always from the saved intermediate path state. As the knowledge in the system grows, the propagations turns a high proportion of bits into 0 and 1 , which diminishes the possibility of contradiction. If the solution cannot be found after some time threshold $t$, the path can be abandoned. We experimented with both options above and concluded that both approaches are successful.

### 3.3 Full Complexity of Finding the HAS-160 Second Order Collision

Our implementation of the heuristic found a correct pair of compatible paths in less than 5 days of execution on an 8 -core Intel i7 CPU running at 2.67 GHz . In more detail, as explained in Section 3.2 we ran the heuristic to search for paths that meet on 3 instead on 5 steps. It should be noted that due to many propagations, after the search stops, the resulting paths in fact have a small number of remaining unspecified bits in steps $38-42$ (less than 32 ). The heuristic yielded around 8 solutions per day and among 40 returned path pairs, one turned out to be compatible and was successfully extended by one step more, as shown in Table 8 ,

The conditions for the two paths that are not explicitly given as $u, n, 0,1$ bits in Table 8 are provided in Tables 9 and 10. To find the quartet of message words and inner states that follow the two differentials in steps 34 to 49 , inner

Table 9. Backward differential conditions not shown in Table 8

| Step | Conditions |
| :--- | :--- |
| 33 | $A_{33,14} \neq A_{32,14}$ |
| 34 | $A_{34,20}=A_{33,20}$ |
| 35 | $A_{35,0} \neq A_{34,0}, A_{35,16} \neq A_{33,31}, A_{35,26} \neq A_{34,26}$ |
| 36 | $A_{36,3}=A_{35,3}, A_{36,9} \neq A_{35,9}, A_{36,21}=A_{35,21}, A_{36,22}=A_{34,5}, A_{36,23}=A_{35,23}$ |
| 37 | $A_{37,0}=A_{36,0}, A_{37,1}=A_{36,1}, A_{37,2} \neq A_{35,17}, A_{37,13}=A_{36,13}, A_{37,23} \neq A_{36,23}$ |
| 38 | $A_{38,25}=A_{36,8}$ |
| 39 | $A_{39,19} \vee \bar{A}_{37,2}=1$ |
| 40 | $A_{40,17} \vee \bar{A}_{38,0}=1, A_{40,30} \vee \bar{A}_{38,13}=1$ |
| 41 | $A_{41,16} \vee \bar{A}_{39,23}=1$ |

Table 10. Forward differential conditions not shown in Table 8

| Step | Conditions |
| :--- | :--- |
| 37 | $A_{37,2}=A_{36,2}, A_{37,3} \neq A_{36,3}, A_{37,10} \neq A_{36,10}, A_{37,13}=A_{36,28}, A_{37,15}=0, A_{37,25}=A_{36,8}, A_{37,29}=A_{36,12}$ |
| 38 | $A_{38,0}=1$ |
| 39 | $A_{39,4}=1, A_{39,8}=0, A_{39,9}=1, A_{39,12}=0, A_{39,17}=0, A_{39,19}=1$ |
| 40 | $A_{40,4}=0, A_{40,5}=0, A_{40,8}=0, A_{40,12}=1$ |
| 41 | $A_{41,13}=0, A_{41,14}=0$ |
| 42 | $A_{42,7}=0$, |
| 43 | $A_{43,6}=0, A_{43,7} \vee \bar{A}_{41,14}=1$ |
| 44 | $A_{44,0}=0, A_{44,1}=0, A_{44,4} \vee \bar{A}_{42,11}=1, A_{44,26} \vee \bar{A}_{42,1}=1$ |
| 45 | $A_{45,26}=0$ |
| 46 | $A_{46,4} \vee \bar{A}_{44,11}=1$ |
| 47 | $A_{47,4}=1, A_{47,24} \vee \bar{A}_{45,31}=1, A_{47,31}=1$ |
| 48 | $A_{48,31}=0$ |
| 49 | $A_{49,17}=0$ |
| 50 | $A_{50,17}=0, A_{50,24}=1$ |
| 51 | $A_{51,17}=0$ |

state registers in step 42 are chosen to follow the conditions specified by Tables 910 and Table 8 and then the usual message modification procedure is applied backward and forward.

Once the middle steps of the quartet structure $n_{2}=34$ to $n_{3}=53$ are satisfied, the second order collision property extends to steps $n_{1}=8$ to $n_{4}=78$ with probability 1 (see Fig. $\mathbb{1}(\mathrm{b})$ ). To cover all of the compression function steps, the middle steps are kept constant and the remaining ones are randomized until the second order collision property is satisfied. In particular, if $m_{6}$ and $m_{15}$ are randomized while $m_{6} \oplus m_{15}$ is kept constant, according to the message expansion specification, the inner state will be randomized for $54 \leq i \leq 80$ and $0 \leq i \leq 35$. Similarly, if $m_{6}$ and $m_{4}$ are randomized where $m_{6} \oplus m_{4}$ is kept constant, the randomization will happen for $52 \leq i \leq 79$ and $0 \leq i \leq 34$. Here, a small penalty in probability is paid due to the fact that the paths may be corrupted towards the start/end points. The two mentioned randomizations provide around 64 bits of freedom.

The probability that one randomization explained above yields a second order collision can be bounded from below by $p^{2} q^{2}$, where $p$ and $q$ are the probabilities of two selected sparse differentials in steps $0 \leq i \leq n_{1}$ and $n_{4} \leq i<80$, respectively. By counting the number of conditions in sparse paths that happened in

Table 11. Message differences after propagation

the quartet in Table 4, we obtain $p=2^{-22}$ and $q=2^{-3}$ and the probability lower bound $p^{2} q^{2}=2^{-50}$. The actual time of execution on the above mentioned PC was less than two days, due to the additional differential paths which contribute to the exact probability of achieving the second order collision property (previously named amplified probability [315]).

## 4 Details on Condition Propagation

The heuristic keeps track of the current state of the system by keeping the following information in memory:

- Four differential path tables keeping the current state of bit-conditions
- $4 \times r$ carry graphs [24] (one carry graph for each of four paths consisting of $r$ steps)

In our implementation, we used $r=16$, keeping the information about steps 33-48. The carry graphs model the carry transitions allowed by the knowledge present in the system. Below, the three types of knowledge propagation are described. The propagations are applied as long as the system is not fully propagated with respect to all three types below.

### 4.1 Single-Path Propagations

An explicit example of a single-path propagation [5] (see also [24|26) is provided below. The constraints and the corresponding carry graphs for at a particular bit position are all explicitly shown. The new propagated constraints as well as the removed carry graph edges are indicated.

Throughout the compression function execution specified by (4), for any $1 \leq$ $i \leq 80$ and $0 \leq j \leq 31$, bit $A_{i}^{j}$ is computed based on the 5 input bits in $A_{i-j}$, $1 \leq j \leq 5$, the message word bit as well as a particular constant bit. Moreover,

| $\delta K$ | 01101110110110011110101110100001 | $\delta K$ | 011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bar{\delta}\left[W_{B, 41}, W_{C, 41}\right]$ | 0-----0------------------ | $\bar{\delta}\left[W_{B, 41}, W_{C, 41}\right.$ |  |
| $\delta\left[B_{37}, C_{37}\right]$ | 11-0-u---00u | $\delta\left[B_{37}, C_{37}\right]$ | 11-0-u---00u-10n |
| $\delta\left[B_{38}, C_{38}\right]$ | Ou1000-100111uu011-On11u0000-u1- | $\delta\left[B_{38}, C_{38}\right]$ | Ou1000-100111uu011-0n11u0000-u1- |
| $\delta\left[B_{39}, C_{39}\right]$ | 01un-n0u010u-0-u00u-1---n0u-un00 | $\delta\left[B_{39}, C_{39}\right]$ | $01 \mathrm{un-n0u010u-0-u00u-1---n0u-un00}$ |
| $\delta\left[B_{40}, C_{40}\right]$ | 1-nu001uu01-0n--u01-1-0-u0--11-1 | $\delta\left[B_{40}, C_{40}\right]$ | 1-nu001uu01-0n--u01-1-0-u0--11-1 |
| $\delta\left[B_{41}, C_{41}\right]$ | $1--\mathrm{n}--00--0-\mathrm{u} 1--\mathrm{u}--\mathrm{u} 1--001-0---1$ | $\delta\left[B_{41}, C_{41}\right]$ | $1--n--00--0-u 1--u--u 1--001-0--1$ |
| $\delta\left[B_{42}, C_{42}\right]$ | 1---n-u1uun-n1u--00n0nn--0n0 | $\delta\left[B_{42}, C_{42}\right]$ | 1---n-u1uun-n1u--00n0nn--0n0- |



Fig. 3. Extract of single-path path constraints
bit $A_{i}^{j}$ depends on the carries coming from the computations at bit positions $j<k \leq 0$.

In Fig. 3 an extract of the path is provided, borrowed from the $\Delta[B, C]$ path in Table 8. The bit positions treated in this case are $\delta\left[B_{42}^{1}, C_{42}^{1}\right]$ (left) and $\delta\left[B_{42}^{0}, C_{42}^{0}\right]$ (right). The shaded bits are the bit positions participating in the computation of the two bits. As for the carry graph, it consists of 32 subgraphs, each comprising of $5 \times 5$ nodes. In Fig. 3, only the subgraphs corresponding to bit positions 1 (left) and 0 (right) are shown. Each subgraph node represents a particular carry configuration at the particular bit position. Due to the fact that there is 5 summands in (4), the carry value is limited to $\{0, \ldots 4\}$ and thus each subgraph contains $5 \times 5$ nodes. The edges in the graphs represent possible carry configuration transitions from bit position $i$ to $i+1$.

Next, the edges connecting subgraphs for bit positions $i=0$ to $i=1$ in Fig. 3 are explained. The shown edges and the corresponding bit-conditions are aligned in the sense that there is no possible propagations at the particular positions neither from the bit-conditions to graphs nor vice-versa. According to the bitconditions on position 0 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& c_{B}^{1}\left|B_{42}^{0}=c_{B}^{1}\right| 1=1+W_{B, 41}^{0}+B_{37}^{15}+f_{2}(1,1,1)+0=1+W_{B, 41}^{0}+B_{37}^{15} \\
& c_{C}^{1}\left|C_{42}^{0}=c_{C}^{1}\right| 0=1+W_{C, 41}^{0}+C_{37}^{15}+f_{2}(1,0,1)+0=1+W_{C, 41}^{0}+C_{37}^{15}+1
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above two equalities, it follows that $W_{B, 41}^{0}=B_{37}^{15}$ and $W_{C, 41}^{0}=C_{37}^{15}$. Since $\delta\left[W_{B, 41}^{0}, W_{C, 41}^{0}\right]$ and $\delta\left[B_{37}^{15}, C_{37}^{15}\right]$ are set to - , the possible carry configurations are $\left(c_{B}^{1}, c_{C}^{1}\right) \in\{(0,1),(1,2)\}$, which corresponds to the two edges between the two subgraphs.

Whenever it is possible to deduce new information from what is already present in the system, propagations need to be carried out until no new information can be derived. Continuing with the setting in Fig. 3] assume that during the heuristic, the symbol - at position $\delta\left[W_{B, 41}^{0}, W_{C, 41}^{0}\right]$ is substituted by 0 . Then, the propagation at this bit consists of substituting - at position $\delta\left[B_{37}^{15}, C_{37}^{15}\right]$ by 0 and deleting the $(0,0) \mapsto(1,2)$ graph edge. The edge deletion continues to
the left and to the right. In case of Fig. 3, this amounts to deleting the edges coming out of node $(1,2)$ and continuing in the same manner throughout the rest of the subgraphs. Next, all of the influenced bit positions, either through carry graphs or through bit-conditions, need to be repropagated similarly to the process described above.

### 4.2 Quartet Propagations

This type of propagations is the simplest of all three types presented in this section, since it does not involve the carry graphs. An example of this type of propagation is as follows. Let $(i, j)$ denote a specific bit position in the range of the considered steps. Let the bit-conditions $\delta\left[A_{i}^{j}, B_{i}^{j}\right], \delta\left[D_{i}^{j}, C_{i}^{j}\right], \delta\left[B_{i}^{j}, C_{i}^{j}\right]$, $\delta\left[A_{i}^{j}, D_{i}^{j}\right]$ in the four paths be equal to $\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{x},-$, and ?, respectively. It follows that $A_{i}^{j}=0, B_{i}^{j}=1, C_{i}^{j}=1$ and $D_{i}^{j}=0$ and thus the quartet can be readily substituted by a new one

$$
(u x-?) \mapsto(u u 10)
$$

Given a quartet of conditions, the substitution quartet is found by going through all the bit value quartets that satisfy the given condition quartet. The new quartet consists of the symbols from Table 2 that represent minimal sets contain the valid bit value pairs.

### 4.3 Quartet Addition Propagations

In this subsection, the following terminology is adopted: carry subgraphs as shown in Fig. 3 are called 2-graphs. Nodes with at least one input/output edge in the 2-graphs are called active nodes. During the execution of the heuristic, each active 2-graph node corresponds to a possible carry configuration that has not yet been ruled out by the heuristic.

Quartet addition propagation is illustrated in Fig. (4) The four graphs in the top part represent a particular case of the 2-graphs that correspond to a single bit position $(i, j)$ on paths $[A, B],[B, C],[D, C],[A, D]$, respectively from left to right. The active nodes are circled and the information about the number of input/output edges is abstracted from the picture. The quartet addition propagation is based on the fact that the four different 2-graphs may impose incompatible constraint on the carry configurations at the considered bit position. For instance, according to the 2-graph corresponding to the path $[D, C]$ (third graph from the left in Fig. (4), since node $\left(c_{D}, c_{C}\right)=(3,2)$ is active, it follows that having a carry equal to 3 at this bit position in the branch $D$ is not ruled out. However, since there is no active nodes in the third column of the $\left(c_{A}, c_{D}\right)$ graph, the node $\left(c_{D}, c_{C}\right)=(3,2)$ should be deactivated.

For the purpose of deciding which 2-carry graph nodes should be deactivated, it is convenient to introduce another type of carry graphs that will be called 4-carry graphs. For each bit-position covered by the heuristic, the four 2-carry graphs are represented as one 4-carry graph, as shown in the bottom part of


Fig. 4. Example: 2-carry graphs and the corresponding 4-carry graph before and after propagation

Fig. 4. The 4-carry graphs abstract the information about active nodes in the 2-carry graphs.

As shown in Fig. 4 the 4 -carry graph has four groups of nodes that simply represent the carry values $c_{A}, c_{B}, c_{C}$ and $c_{D}$, respectively. The edges in the 4 -carry graph are constructed simply by mapping the active nodes in the corresponding 2-carry graphs to the edges between the corresponding node groups. This mapping is specified by an example as follows. The active nodes in the $\left(c_{A}, c_{D}\right)$ 2-carry graph are $(0,0)$ and $(2,1)$. This is translated to the edges $(0,0)$ and $(2,1)$ between the $c_{A}$ and $c_{D}$ branches in the 4 -carry graph. The other three 2-carry graph active nodes are mapped to the edges analogously.

The 4-carry graph representation allows expressing the quartet addition propagation rules in a natural way. For that purpose, let a cycle denote a closed path connecting four nodes, where no two nodes are members of the same node group in the 4 -graph. The propagation rules are then as follows:
(R1) Remove all "dead-end" edges, i.e., the ones with an end node of degree 1
(R2) Remove all edges that do not participate in any cycle
In the case of the propagation given in Fig. 4, the quartet addition propagation consisted of three applications of (R1) and one application of (R2). Since each 4graph edge corresponds to a node in the corresponding 2 -graph, the edge removal according to rules (R1) and (R2) amounts to deactivating the corresponding nodes in the 2 -graph. The node deactivation is done by deleting all input and output edges for the corresponding 2-graph node. In the case of our HAS-160 search, implementing only rule (R1) turned out to be sufficient.

## 5 Conclusion

We proposed a heuristic for searching for compatible differential paths and applied it to HAS-160. Instead of working with $0 / 1$ bit values, we used the reasoning on sets of bits described by 1-bit constraints. The three types of propagations used during the search (single-path propagations, quartet propagations and quartet addition propagations) are explained through particular examples. Using the 1-bit constraints along with these propagations yielded an acceptable rate of false positives and the second order collision was successfully found. One possible future research direction is to evaluate the performance of the proposed heuristic in case of SHA-2 with a goal of improving the attack [3] and to assess the impact of high rate of contradictory paths reported in [20] in this context.
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