



Course - Objectives

- ✓ Appreciate the need for interoperable network management
- ✓ Understand general concepts and architecture behind standards based network management
- ✓ Understand concepts and terminology associated with SNMP and TMN
- ✓ Appreciate network management as a typical distributed application
- ✓ Get a feeling of current trends in network management technologies
- ✓ Understand Advanced Information Processing Techniques such as Distributed Object Technologies, Software Agents and Internet Technologies used for network management
 - Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Why is network management needed?

In a perfect world, networks would not need management - they would just run themselves.

However...

• Parts tend to break

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

- Changes are made
- · Somebody has to pay
- Performance does not meet expectations
- Abuse happens

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

What is network management?

Monitoring/controlling the network & Planning the network evolution.

Management Functional Areas ("FCAPS"):

- Fault Management
- Maintain error logs, handle fault notifications, trace faults, diagnostic tests, correct faults,

 Configuration Management
- Record configuration, record changes, identify components, init/stop system, change parameters, • Accounting Management
- Establish charges, identify utilization costs, billing, ...
- Performance Management
- Optimize QoS (Quality of Service), detect changes in performances, collect statistics, .
- Security Management key management (authorization, encryption & authentication), firewalls, security logs, ...
 - ______

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Module 1 - Objectives

- > describe what is meant by network management
- explain the concepts of network management
- > outline the classes of data collected from monitoring a network
- > outline the standards for network management, here the IETF
- ➤ describe how a standardized form of network management is implemented
- Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo





























































































































































	D _w 1	
51 VIVI	FVI	
⊎ Sim	ple, the most widely deployed	
∂ Lac	k of functionality, security,	
= SNI	MPv1 + GetBulkRequest command + Decentralized mar	nagement
RFC	Title	Date
RFC 1901	Title Introduction to community-Based SNMPv2	Date January 96
RFC 1901 1902	Title Introduction to community-Based SNMPv2 Structure of management information for SNMPv2	Date January 96 January 96
RFC 1901 1902 1903	Title Introduction to community-Based SNMPv2 Structure of management information for SNMPv2 Textual conventions for SNMPv2	Date January 96 January 96 January 96
RFC 1901 1902 1903 1904	Title Introduction to community-Based SNMPv2 Structure of management information for SNMPv2 Textual conventions for SNMPv2 Conformance statements for SNMPv2	DateJanuary 96January 96January 96January 96
RFC 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905	Title Introduction to community-Based SNMPv2 Structure of management information for SNMPv2 Textual conventions for SNMPv2 Conformance statements for SNMPv2 Protocol Operations for SNMPv2	Date January 96 January 96 January 96 January 96
RFC 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906	Title Introduction to community-Based SNMPv2 Structure of management information for SNMPv2 Textual conventions for SNMPv2 Conformance statements for SNMPv2 Protocol Operations for SNMPv2 Transport mappings for SNMPv2	DateJanuary 96January 96January 96January 96January 96January 96
RFC 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907	Title Introduction to community-Based SNMPv2 Structure of management information for SNMPv2 Textual conventions for SNMPv2 Conformance statements for SNMPv2 Protocol Operations for SNMPv2 Transport mappings for SNMPv2 Management Information Base for SNMPv2	DateJanuary 96January 96January 96January 96January 96January 96January 96



SNMP me	ssage				
Version	Community		SNMP P	DU	
GetBulkR PDU type	equest-PDU request-id	non-repeaters	max-repetit	ions vari	able-bindings
Variable-	bindings				
name.	value, na	me ₂ value ₂		namen	value _n



SININ	IPv/	2	
8 I	Dispu	uted	
8 I	.ack	of security	
SN	MP	v3	
= 5	NM	IPv2 + Security	
° 1	Defin	ned early 1997, became a proposed standard in April 1998	
	Defin	ned early 1997, became a proposed standard in April 1998	Date
۳ I RI 22	Defin	ned early 1997, became a proposed standard in April 1998 Title An Architecture for Describine SNMP Manasement Frameworks	Date Jan. 96
22 22	Defin C 71 72	ned early 1997, became a proposed standard in April 1998 Title Marchitecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks Message Processing and Dispatching for SNMPv3	Date Jan. 96 Jan. 96
© 1 22 22 22	Defin C 1 71 . 72 . 73 .	ned early 1997, became a proposed standard in April 1998 Title An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks Message Processing and Dispatching for SNMPv3 SNMPv3 Applications	Date Jan. 96 Jan. 98
© 1 RI 22 22 22 22 22	C 71 72 73 74	eed early 1997, became a proposed standard in April 1998 Title An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks Message Processing and Dispatching for SNMPv3 SNMPv3 Applications User-based Security Model for SNMPv3	Date Jan. 96 Jan. 98 Jan. 98



Architectural Goals of SNMPv3



- Define an architecture that allows for longevity of SNMP frameworks
- Support inexpensive minimal conforming implementations
- Support more complex conforming implementations required in large networks
- □ Allow to move portions of the architecture along the IETF standards track
- Use existing materials as much as possible
- Given SNMP as simple as possible



















<u>SNMPv3</u>	and	<u>SNMI</u>	Pv1 Erro	<u>r Codes</u>
SNMPv3 Error Code	Read Class	Write Class	Notification Class	SNMPv1 Error Code
noError(0)	X	X	X	noError(0)
tooBig(1)	X	X	X	tooBig(1)
noSuchName(2)				noSuchName(2)
badValue(3)				badValue(3)
readOnly(4)				readOnly(4)
genErr(5)	Х	X	Х	genErr(5)
noAccess(6)		Х		noSuchName(2)
wrongType(7)		Х		badValue(3)
wrongLength(8)		Х		badValue(3)
wrongEncoding(9)		Х		badValue(3)
wrongValue(10)		X		badValue(3)
noCreation(11)		X		noSuchName(2)
inconsistentValue(12)		X		badValue(3)
resourceUnavailable(13)		X		genErr(5)
commitFailed(14)		X		genErr(5)
undoFailed(15)		×		genErr(5)
authorizationError(16)	X	X	X	noSuchName(2)
notWritable(17)		X		noSuchName(2)
inconsistentName(18)		Х		noSuchName(2)

















- Missing extensibility for new base data types (e.g. Unsigned64).
- Missing extensibility for new protocol operations (e.g. GetSubtree).
- Limited flexibility for the definition of VACM rules
- Asymmetries between notification filtering and VACM filtering.
- · Positioning of security information in the middle of the message
- Strength of USM security (DES versus Tripple-DES, key change procedure).
- Unnecessary complexity and misleading names in the message format definition.
- Insufficient performance gains compared to SNMPv1 (bulk data transfer).
- Degrees of freedom in complex write operations on tables are likely to cause interoperability problems.





Future of Internet Management

Things that may be useful (short term):

- Standardized APIs for SNMP and for accessing MIB denitions?
- Protocols and APIs for exchanging topology and conguration information?
 Protocols and APIs for exchanging topology and conguration information?
 Protocols and APIs for exchanging alarm and trouble ticket records?
 SNMP version 4 (really?)
 Alternate protocols to exchange management information?

Longer term perspectives

- Less is more ==> Self-managing devices and networks?
 What are the alternatives? CORBA? CIM? CMIP/GDMO/TMN?
 What about active networks and intelligent mobile agents?

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Request for Comments (RFCs) D. Harrington, R. Presuhn, B. Wijnen: An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frame-works, RFC 2271, January 1998

J. Case, D. Harrington, R. Presuhn, and B. Wijnen, Message Processing and Dispatching for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), RFC 2272, January 1998

D. Levi, P. Meyer, B. Stewart: SNMPv3 Applications, RFC 2273, January 1998 U. Blumenthal, B. Wijnen: User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3), RFC 2274, January 1998.

B. Wijnen, R. Presuhn, K. McCloghrie: View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP), RFC 2275, January 1998. J. Case, K. McCloghrie, M. Rose, S. Waldbusser, Protocol Operations for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2), RFC 1905, January 1996

J. Case, K. McCloghrie, M. Rose, S. Waldbusser, Transport Mappings for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2), RFC 1906, January 1996

J. Case, K. McCloghrie, M. Rose, S. Waldbusser, Management Information Base for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2), RFC 1907, January 1996

J. Case, R. Mundy, D. Partain, B. Stewart: Introduction to Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework, RFC (to be published), 1999

R. Frye, D. Levi, S. Routhier, B. Wijnen: Coexistence between Version 1, Version 2, and Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework, RFC (to be published), 1999

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Request for Comments (RFCs)

K. McCloghrie, D. Perkins, J. Sch• onw• alder, J. Case, M. Rose, S. Waldbusser: Structure of Man-agement Information Version 2 (SMIv2), STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999

K. McCloghrie, D. Perkins, J. Sch• onw• alder, J. Case, M. Rose, S. Waldbusser: Textual Cont for SMIv2, STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999

K. McCloghrie, D. Perkins, J. Sch• onw• alder, J. Case, M. Rose, S. Waldbusser: Conformance State ments for SMIv2, STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999

M. Daniele, B. Wijnen, and D. Francisco: Agent Extensibility (AgentX) Protocol Version 1, RFC 2257, January 1998

D.B. Levi and J. Sch• onw• alder: Denitions of Managed Objects for the Delegation of Manage Scripts, RFC (to be published), 1999

D.B. Levi and J. Sch• onw• alder: Denitions of Managed Objects for Scheduling Management Oper-ations, RFC (to be published), 1999

J. Sch• onw• alder, J. Quittek: Script MIB Extensibility Protocol Version 1.0, RFC (to be published), 1999

B. Stewart, Expression MIB, (work in progress), 1999

B. Stewart, Event MIB, (work in progress), 1999

B. Stewart, Notication Log MIB, (work in progress), 1999

K. White, Denitions of Managed Objects for Remote Ping, Traceroute, and Name Lookup Oper-ations, (work in progress), 1999 Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Books and Articles

W. Stallings: SNMP, SNMPv2, SNMPv3, and RMON 1 and 2, Addison-Wesley, 1999 D. Zeltserman: A Practical Guide to SNMPv3 and Network Management, Prentice Hall, 1999 D. Perkins and E. McGinnis: Understanding SNMP MIBs, Prentice Hall, 1997 The SimpleTimes, Special Issue on Agent Extensibility, SimpleTimes 4(2), April 1996 The SimpleTimes, Special Issue on SNMP Version 3, SimpleTimes 5(1), December 1997 M. White, S. Gudur: An Overview of the AgentX Protocol, SimpleTimes 6(1), April 1998 U. Blumenthal, N.C. Hien, B. Wijnen: Key derivation for network management applications, IEEE Network Magazine, 11(4), 1997

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Management of Telecom Networks ISO/OSI Network Management ITU-T/TMN, the Telecommunications Management Network Network Management Fora & Consortia (OSI/NM-F, TINA-C, OMG TSI, TMF) Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo













	Fault Management	Configuration Management	Accounting Management	Performance Management	Security Management
Business Management					
Service Management					
Network Management					
Element Management					

Characteristics of "good' distributed systems

- Resource sharing – Hardware, data, applications
- > Openness

۶

- Can the system be extended? Can new shared resources be added without disruption of existing resources? Open systems often provide uniform inter-process communication and published interfaces
- Open systems can often be constructed with products from different vendors once conformance to some standard is adhered to and systems are properly certified and tested

> Concurrency

Many users efficiently interacting with a single threaded resource
 One user efficiently interacting with multiple resources

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Characteristics of "good' distributed systems > Scalability Increasing amount of data, increasing processing requirements, increasing number of users - need to maintain system/data integrity > Fault Tolerance Hardware redundancy Software recovery > Transparencies Failure transparency Access transparency - Location transparency Migration transparency Performance transparency - Concurrency transparency - Replication transparency Scaling transparency Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Directory Enabled Networking & Management

★ What is a Directory Enabled Network (DEN) ?

- ♦ An initiative of Cisco & Microsoft
- To provide network-enabled applications appropriate information from the directory
- Eventually intelligent network applications will transparently leverage the network on behalf of the user
- Now being standardized within DMTF (Desktop Management Task Force)

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Directory Enabled Networking & Management

▲ DEN Approach for developing Intelligent Networks:

Rely on a robust directory service
 An extension of the X.500 directory service

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

- Add a standards-based schema for modeling network elements and services
 An extension of the Common Management Information Model (CIM)
- Add protocols for accessing, managing and manipulating directory information The widely deployed LDAP protocol

Directory Enabled Networking & Management

★ What is a Directory Service ?

- A physically distributed, logically centralized repository of infrequently changing data that is used to manage computing environments
- Stores information; supports white/yellow pages; allows single user logon; replicates data to provide consistent access

▶ Purpose of integrating Networks with Directory Service ?

- holding all enterprise information (people, network resources, applications)
- Network resources (devices, OSs, management tools and applications) to: publish information; discover other resources; obtain info. about them
- predictable network services to user, strengthened security, easier management

Putting it all together (cont'd)

☑ CORBA is the most used DPE for developing distributed applications

- ☑ WWW promotes cost-effective access from anywhere with the same look and feel
- ☑ Java allows 'write once, run everywhere"
- ☑ Agent technologies are efficient tools allowing to achieve intelligent, and hence, automated network management
- ☑ Policy- based networking/management is already a reality
- ☑ Directory Enabled Networking and Management is gaining importance
- These advances will ultimately lead to Programmable and hence Customized Control/Management of Tomorrow's Networks and Distributed Systems

Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo

Home pages - Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) http://www.ietf.org - International Telecommunication Union (ITU) http://www.itu.org - International Organization for Standardization (ISO) http://www.iso.org - TeleManagement Forum http://www.tmforum.org - Distributed (formerly Desktop) Management task Force http://www.dmtf.org - Agent Society http://www.agent.org Raouf Boutaba, University of Waterloo 22