Financial Crypto and Data Security – Mar. 3, 2011

Mercury: Recovering Forgotten Passwords Using Personal Devices

M. Mannan NSERC PDF, University of Toronto m.mannan@utoronto.ca

Collaborators: D. Barrera, C.D. Brown, D. Lie, P.C. van Oorschot

M. Mannan ___ Mar. 3, 2011 ___1/24

Why do we need password recovery?



none is immune to forgetting recall-based authentication needs reset/recovery

M. Mannan ___ Mar. 3, 2011 ___2/24

Why recovery must be secure?

"So in war, the way is to avoid what is strong and to strike at what is weak." (The art of war, 6:30)

Recovery/reset techniques are weaker than password?



Recovery vs. reset

In many cases users are forced to choose a new password

- lack of a secure transmission channel for passwords?
- cleartext passwords are not stored?
 - ... but good passwords are not easy to generate

Our focus: recover the original password

'I forgot my password': now what?

- 1. Small, local env: ask the admin (secure, not scalable)
- 2. Large org, networked env: email, PVQ (scalable, insecure)
 help desk calls are expensive

Our design goals: scalable, secure, deployable

State of the art

- 1. Password managers: in all platforms
- 2. Email, SMS, phone: ownership, "secure" media
- 3. Personal verification questions (PVQs): more secrets!
 - related: Facebook social auth, Blue moon

No academic proposals for recovery?

Password managers

- 1. Online encrypted storage (LastPass)
- 2. Offline encrypted storage (KeePass)
- **3.** Issues:
 - trust: third parties?
 - password update: extra step?
 - master password: weak or none?



Email password reset/recovery

- 1. Widely used
- 2. Issues:
 - trust email providers
 - trust ISPs, wifi providers
 - check spam, keep waiting...
 - reset vs. recovery



Facebook social auth

- 1. Used for account verification
 - e.g., Captcha replacement
- 2. Issues:
 - abstract/pet images
 - barely known friends
 - privacy issues?





Blue moon: preference-based auth

Items		
Food	Places	Music
Sports	TV	Interests
French	Like	Dislike
Indian	Like	Dislike
Mediterranean	Like	Dislike
Seafood	Like	Dislike
Middle Eastern	Like	Dislike
German	Like	Dislike
Kosher	Like	Dislike
Southwestern	Like	Dislike
Thai	Like	Dislike
Sushi	Like	Dislike
Vegetarian	Like	Dislike
Soul	Like	Dislike

Better than regular PVQs? ... but no password recovery

M. Mannan ___ Mar. 3, 2011 ___10/24

Our proposal: Mercury

- 1. Key idea
 - use end-to-end encryption for safe password retrieval
- 2. Mechanism
 - user generates a key pair for password recovery
 - shares the public key with a site during account setup
 - the site sends encrypted password during recovery

Mercury: components

- 1. User PC (i.e., the primary login machine)
- 2. Remote server
- 3. Personal mobile device (PMD) for portability
- **4.** Mercury software on: PC + PMD + Server
- **5.** Local communication channel: $PC \leftrightarrow PMD$

Mercury: design features and usage

- 1. Key design features
 - use familiar technologies:
 - smart-phones, QR codes
 - personal-level public keys, but no PKIs



2. Examples: online accounts, desktop password recovery

Mercury: steps

- 1. Key generation and backup
- 2. Key sharing
- 3. Password recovery

Key generation: personal objects



see also: Object-based password (HotSec'08)

M. Mannan ___ Mar. 3, 2011 ___15/24

Key generation: random seed

- **1**. Same seed \Rightarrow same keys
- 2. Save offline: print the QR coded seed

Key sharing with remote parties

- 1. Users can upload the public key from the primary PC
 - unique key per site, or
 - one key for all sites
- 2. Keys can be sent directly from the PMD

Password recovery steps



 $U \text{ transfers } m \text{ to PMD,} \\ \text{retrieves } P = \{decode(m)\}_{D_{privU}} | \\ \\ \end{bmatrix}$



What if: the server does not store cleartext password?

M. Mannan ___ Mar. 3, 2011 ___18/24

Server-side password storage

- 1. Original password (plaintext or encrypted)
- 2. Hashed password
 - store public-key encrypted password
 - use reset password

PC-to-device channel examples

- 1. QR code: requires camera
- 2. Audio: universal availability
- 3. Direct email access from device

Features, advantages

- 1. Secure recovery: allows users to keep the same password
- 2. No third parties: user \leftrightarrow password \leftrightarrow server
- 3. Password update remains the same (for the primary mode of Mercury)
- 4. Key restoration after device update: usability?
- 5. Cheap two-factor auth (sort of)

Limitations

- 1. Require: server-side assistance + personal device (optional)
- 2. Device issues: compromised, lost, stolen
- 3. User level key management
 - leaked keys, key-gen objects



Mercury Android app and test website



Open issues

How to bring service providers on-board?

 ► trusted third parties - Google/Firefox Sync?

 What more can we do with user-level public keys?

 ► pk-based auth?

Android app and test site:

http://www.ccsl.carleton.ca/software/mercury/