Lecture 7

Design of Digital Filters

Outline of this Lecture

- \blacktriangleright In this lecture, we try to use the concepts we have learned in previous lectures to design different types of digital filters. By different type, we mean what range of frequencies they pass and what frequencies they reject, i.e., are they low-pass, highpass or band-pass, band-reject and so on. Also what is the ranges of frequencies they pass (pass-band), what range of frequencies they reject (stop-band) what is the transition band. Finally, how sharp is the transition from pass-band to stop-band and how-much ripple do we have in the pass-band and stop-band.
- \blacktriangleright First, we talk about causality, and the conditions for a system (filter) being being physically realizable (causal) and the implications causality has, e.g., the relationship between the odd and event constituents of the filter and between the real and imaginary part of the filter's spectrum (transfer function).
- \blacktriangleright Then we talk about different techniques used for the design of FIR and IIR filters.
- \blacktriangleright Finally, we will talk about the ways to transform a prototype filter into different type of filters. This simplifies an engineer's job by allowing design portability. Imagine designing a low-pass filter according to a set of criteria and then being able to change it into another low-pass filter with different frequency range, or even high-pass or band-pass filter with different frequency –domain characteristics.

Causality

Consider an ideal low-pass filter with the cutoff frequency ω_c **:**

$$
H(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & |\omega| \le \omega_c \\ 0, & \omega_c < \omega \le \pi \end{cases}
$$

 \blacktriangleright The impulse response is

$$
h(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\omega_c}{\pi}, & n = 0\\ \frac{\omega_c}{\pi} \frac{\sin \omega_c n}{\omega_c n}, & n \neq 0 \end{cases}
$$

Causality

 \blacktriangleright A plot of the impulse response is shown here:

It is obvious that the ideal low-pass filter is not causal.

Condition for Causality

- \blacktriangleright The question is: What is the condition that $H(\omega)$ need to satisfy in order for the filter to be causal?
- \blacktriangleright **Paley-Wiener Theorem:** If $h(n)$ has finite energy and $h(n) = 0, n < 0$, then:

Conversely: if $|H(\omega)|$ is square integrable and $\int_{-\pi}^{\infty} |ln|H(\omega)| d\omega < \infty$ $\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |ln|H(\omega)| | d\omega < \infty$ then, it is possible to associate a phase function $\Theta(\omega)$ to the magnitude $|H(\omega)|$ to get:

 $H(\omega) = |H(\omega)|e^{j\Theta(\omega)}$

that is the frequency response of a causal filter $h(n)$ with $h(n) = 0, n < 0.$

Note: Paley-Wiener condition implies that no filter whose $H(\omega)$ is zero over a finite band of frequency can be causal. Of course, $H(\omega)$ can be zero at some frequencies but not over a continuous band of frequencies (why?).

Implications of Causality

 \blacktriangleright We have talked about odd and even functions and the fact that any function can be written as the some of an odd and an even function:

$$
h(n) = h_e(n) + h_o(n)
$$

 \blacktriangleright where:

$$
h_e(n) = \frac{1}{2} [h(n) + h(-n)]
$$

 \blacktriangleleft

 \blacktriangleright and,

$$
h_o(n) = \frac{1}{2} [h(n) - h(-n)]
$$

Now, if $h(n)$ is causal, it is possible to recover $h(n)$ from its even part $h_e(n)$ for $0 \le n \le \infty$ or from its odd component $h_o(n)$ for $1 \le n \le \infty$.

 \blacktriangleright That is:

and

 \blacktriangleright

 $h(n) = 2h_e(n)u(n) - h_e(0)\delta(n), \quad n \ge 0$

 $h(n) = 2h_o(n)u(n) + h(0)\delta(n), \quad n \ge 1$

Implications of Causality

If $h(n)$ is absolute summable, i.e., BIBO stable, then $H(\omega)$ exists and we have $H(\omega) = H_R(\omega) + jH_I(\omega)$.

In addition, if $h(n)$ is real valued and causal, the symmetry properties of the Fourier \overline{F} and \overline{F} and \overline{F} transform imply that

$$
h_e(n) \longleftrightarrow H_R(\omega)
$$

$$
h_o(n) \longleftrightarrow H_I(\omega)
$$

Since $h(n)$ is completely specified by $h_e(n)$, it follows that $H(\omega)$ is completely determined if we know $H_R(\omega)$. Alternatively, $H(\omega)$ is completely determined from $H_I(\omega)$ and $h(0)$. In short, $H_R(\omega)$ and $H_I(\omega)$ are interdependent and cannot be specified independently if the system is causal. Equivalently, the magnitude and phase responses of a causal filter are interdependent and hence cannot be specified independently.

Given $H_R(\omega)$ for a corresponding real, even, and absolutely summable sequence $h_e(n)$, we can determine $H(\omega)$.

Causality: Example

The following example clarifies the ideas presented in the previous slides. Consider a stable LTI system with real and even impulse response $h(n)$. Determine $H(\omega)$ if

$$
H_R(\omega) = \frac{1 - a \cos \omega}{1 - 2a \cos \omega + a^2}, \qquad |a| < 1
$$

Solution. The first step is to determine $h_e(n)$. This can be done by noting that

$$
H_R(\omega) = H_R(z)|_{z=e^{j\omega}}
$$

where

$$
H_R(z) = \frac{1 - a(z + z^{-1})/2}{1 - a(z + z^{-1}) + a^2} = \frac{z - a(z^2 + 1)/2}{(z - a)(1 - az)}
$$

The ROC has to be restricted by the poles at $p_1 = a$ and $p_2 = 1/a$ and should include the unit circle. Hence the ROC is $|a| < |z| < 1/|a|$. Consequently, $h_e(n)$ is a two-sided sequence, with the pole at $z = a$ contributing to the causal part and $p_2 = 1/a$ contributing to the anticausal part. By using a partial-fraction expansion, we obtain

$$
h_e(n) = \frac{1}{2}a^{|n|} + \frac{1}{2}\delta(n)
$$

Causality: Example

 \blacktriangleright Substituting:

$$
h_e(n) = \frac{1}{2}a^{|n|} + \frac{1}{2}\delta(n)
$$

 \blacktriangleright in

$$
h(n) = 2h_e(n)u(n) - h_e(0)\delta(n), \qquad n \ge 0
$$

we get: \blacktriangleright

$$
h(n) = a^n u(n)
$$

and \blacktriangleright

$$
H(\omega) = \frac{1}{1 - ae^{-j\omega}}
$$

Relationship Between $H_{R}(\omega)$ and H_{I}

 Take the Fourier Transform of: \blacktriangleright $h(n) = 2h_e(n)u(n) - h_e(0)\delta(n),$ $n \geq 0$ **to get** $H(\omega)$ as twice the convolution of the Fourier Transforms of $h_e(n)$ and \blacktriangleright the unit step $u(n)$. We saw that: $h_e(n) \leftrightarrow^F H_R(\omega)$ \blacktriangleright so

$$
H(\omega) = H_R(\omega) + jH_I(\omega) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_R(\lambda) U(\omega - \lambda) d\lambda - h_e(0)
$$

 \blacktriangleright • where $U(\omega)$ is the Fourier Transform of $u(n)$ given as:

$$
U(\omega) = \pi \delta(\omega) + \frac{1}{1 - e^{-j\omega}} = \pi \delta(\omega) + \frac{1}{2} - j\frac{1}{2}\cot\frac{\omega}{2}, \qquad -\pi \le \omega \le \pi
$$

 \blacktriangleright **Combining the two equations, we get a relationship** $H_I(\omega)$ in terms of $H_R(\omega)$:

$$
H_I(\omega) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_R(\lambda) \cot \frac{\omega - \lambda}{2} d\lambda
$$

 \blacktriangleright This is called the Hilbert Transform.

Practical versus Ideal Filters

- \blacktriangleright The facts the:
- \blacktriangleright 1) It is not possible to have infinitely sharp cutoff and,
- \blacktriangleright 2) The spectrum cannot be zero over a contiguous band of frequencies,
- \blacktriangleright rules out the possibility of implementing Ideal Filters.
- \blacktriangleright So, the challenge is to design a filter that is a close as possible to ideal subject to constraints on implementation complexity, delay, etc.
- A realizable (causal) LTI filter can be characterized using a difference \blacktriangleright $y(n) = -\sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k y(n-k) + \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} b_k x(n-k)$ equation like:
- \blacktriangleright This implies that the transfer function is:

$$
H(\omega) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{M^{-1}} b_k e^{-j\omega k}}{1 + \sum_{k=1}^{N} a_k e^{-j\omega k}}
$$

Practical versus Ideal Filters

 \blacktriangleright The magnitude plot of such a filter typically looks like:

Practical versus Ideal Filters

- \blacktriangleright The performance of a filter like the one shown in the previous slide is characterized by:
- \blacktriangleright • Ripple in the pass band: δ_1 ,
- \blacktriangleright • Ripple in the stop-band: δ_2 ,
- \blacktriangleright The cutoff frequency: ω_p , and,
- \blacktriangleright The transition band: the band of frequency between where the pass-band ends and where the stop-band starts: $\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{S}}-\omega_{p}.$
- \blacktriangleright In the next few slides, we will discuss the different techniques for design of practical filters and compare them in terms of the tradeoff between the sharpness of the spectrum, i.e., the value of $\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{S}}-\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathcal{P}}$ and the ripple.

- \blacktriangleright We start with FIR filters. FIR filters are not only important as their own, but also they are important since any IIR filter, in practice can be approximated with an FIR filter with sufficient number of taps.
- \blacktriangleright The most intuitive way to implement FIR filters is using windowing.
- \blacktriangleright Assume that we are asked to design a filter with the desired spectrum:
- \blacktriangleright $H_d(\omega)=\sum_{n=0}^\infty h_d(n)e^{-j\omega n},$ where,

$$
h_d(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_d(\omega) e^{j\omega n} d\omega
$$

 \blacktriangleright Assume that we want to approximate it with an M-tap filter. The most simple thing to do is to take the values of $h_d(n)$ for values $n = 0, 1, ..., M - 1$. That is just truncating the desired filter at point $n = M - 1$.

 \blacktriangleright This truncation is equivalent to using a rectangular window:

$$
w(n) = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

 \blacktriangleright to the desired filter, i.e., designing an FIR filter $h(n)$ given as:

$$
h(n) = h_d(n)w(n) = \begin{cases} h_d(n), & n = 0, 1, \dots, M - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

 \blacktriangleright The window function $w(n)$ has the spectrum:

$$
W(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} w(n)e^{-j\omega n}
$$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 \blacktriangleright So, the spectrum of the FIR filter is:

$$
H(\omega) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} H_d(\nu) W(\omega - \nu) d\nu
$$

 \blacktriangleright In the case of rectangular window:

$$
W(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{M-1} e^{-j\omega n} = \frac{1 - e^{-j\omega M}}{1 - e^{-j\omega}} = e^{-j\omega (M-1)/2} \frac{\sin(\omega M/2)}{\sin(\omega/2)}
$$

 \blacktriangleright So the window has a magnitude response of:

- \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright The main-lobe is reduced as M increases (it is $4\pi/M$), but the side-lobes remain unchanged.
- \blacktriangleright The rectangular window has the piece-wise linear phase:

$$
\Theta(\omega) = \begin{cases}\n-\omega \left(\frac{M-1}{2}\right), & \text{when } \sin(\omega M/2) \ge 0 \\
-\omega \left(\frac{M-1}{2}\right) + \pi, & \text{when } \sin(\omega M/2) < 0\n\end{cases}
$$

 \blacktriangleright In the next slide, we present the expression for other windows, followed by some magnitude plot of some and a table comparing their characteristics.

Different filters for FIR Filter Design

 \blacktriangleright The table shows some of the known

 \blacktriangleright window types.

Tuk

Different filters for FIR Filter Design

 \blacktriangleright These Figures show the shape of some of the known filters:

Hanning Window

 \blacktriangleright This Figure presents the magnitude response of Hanning window for M=31 and M=61:

 \blacktriangleright We observe that unlike the rectangular window, in this case the reduction in main-lobe (as M increases) results in attenuation of sidelobes.

Hamming Window

 \blacktriangleright This Figure presents the magnitude response of Hamming window for M=31 and M=61:

 \blacktriangleright In the case of Hamming Window also the reduction of the main-lobe comes with attenuation of the sidelobes.

Blackman Window

 \blacktriangleright

 \blacktriangleright This Figure presents the magnitude response of the Blackman window for M=31 and M=61:

The same effect (sidelobes attenuation) is observed.

Characteristics of some Window Functions

 \blacktriangleright This table gives the frequency characteristics of some of commonly used windows in terms of the main lobe width and the sidelobe level:

Important Frequency-Domain Characteristics of Some Window Functions

 \blacktriangleright Assume we want to design the following low-pass filter:

 $H_d(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1e^{-j\omega(M-1)/2}, & 0 \leq |\omega| \leq \omega_c \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$

 \blacktriangleright The unit sample function for this filter is:

$$
h_d(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\omega_c}^{\omega_c} e^{j\omega \left(n - \frac{M-1}{2}\right)} d\omega
$$

=
$$
\frac{\sin \omega_c \left(n - \frac{M-1}{2}\right)}{\pi \left(n - \frac{M-1}{2}\right)}, \qquad n \neq \frac{M-1}{2}
$$

 \blacktriangleright That is non-causal and of infinite duration.

If we multiply $h_d(n)$ by the rectangular window, we get:

$$
h(n) = \frac{\sin \omega_c \left(n - \frac{M-1}{2}\right)}{\pi \left(n - \frac{M-1}{2}\right)}, \quad 0 \le n \le M-1, \quad n \neq \frac{M-1}{2}
$$

 \blacktriangleright If M is odd, the center tap will be at $n = (M-1)/2$ and will have the value:

$$
h\left(\frac{M-1}{2}\right) = \frac{\omega_c}{\pi}
$$

 \blacktriangleright That is non-causal and of infinite duration.

- \blacktriangleright As stated before when we use a rectangular window we truncate the sample response causing abrupt change in time-domain. This results in noticeable oscillations around the band edges referred to as the Gibbs Phenomenon.
- \blacktriangleright To avoid these fluctuations, we should avoid the abrupt change in sample response by using a window that changes gradually.
- \blacktriangleright Let's compare filter designed using Hamming vs. rectangular window:

FIR Design: Other Techniques

- \blacktriangleright In this lecture, we have only talked about filter design using windowing.
- ▶ We can also do the design by using the frequency samples of the desired spectrum.
- \blacktriangleright Windowing and frequency-sampling methods have some limitations the most important of which is the lack of lack of control over the choice of band edges: Once you have chosen M the values of ω_p and $\omega_{\mathcal{S}}$ are determined.
- \blacktriangleright Other techniques such as Chebyshev approximation technique can be used to alleviate this problem.
- \blacktriangleright Because of the time limitation, we do not discuss these other techniques. I believe that with what you have learned so far you can easily follow these topics in the text if you need it in the future.

IIR Filter Design:

 Assume that you are given an analog filter and you are asked to design \blacktriangleright a digital filter to do the same job. The filter can be represent by its system function: \overline{M}

$$
H_a(s) = \frac{B(s)}{A(s)} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_k s^k}{\sum_{k=0}^{N} \alpha_k s^k}
$$

se:

$$
H_a(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{-st} dt
$$

• or by its impulse response:

 \blacktriangleright Or by the differential equation:

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \alpha_k \frac{d^k y(t)}{dt^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \beta_k \frac{d^k x(t)}{dt^k}
$$

IIR Filter Design:

- \blacktriangleright Using any of these three characterizations results in a different technique for converting this filter to a digital filter.
- \blacktriangleright In each case, we need to use a relationship mapping from s-plane to z-plane.
- \blacktriangleright Note that an analog filter is stable if all its poles are in the Left Half Plane (LHP) and a digital filter is stable if all its poles are inside the unit circle. So, any transformation should have the property:
- \blacktriangleright 1) The $i\Omega$ axis in the s-plane should map into the unit circle in the zplane.
- \blacktriangleright 2) The LHP of the s-plane should map into the inside of the unit circle in the z -plane.

IIR Filter Design:

- \blacktriangleright Based on the analog-domain characterization used, we have basically three techniques for analog domain to digital domain filter conversion:
- \blacktriangleright 1) IIR Filter design using the Approximation of Derivatives: using the differential equation characterization.
- \blacktriangleright 2) Impulse Invariance Technique: Using the impulse response characterization.
- \blacktriangleright 3) Bilinear Transformation: Using the system function characterization.

Filter Design by Approximation of Derivatives

We start with:
$$
\sum_{k=0}^{N} \alpha_k \frac{d^k y(t)}{dt^k} = \sum_{k=0}^{M} \beta_k \frac{d^k x(t)}{dt^k}
$$
 and approximate the derivative as:
$$
\frac{dy(t)}{dt}\Big|_{t=nT} = \frac{y(nT) - y(nT - T)}{T} = \frac{y(n) - y(n - 1)}{T}
$$

Taking the transform, we get $s = \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{T}$.

 \triangleright For the second order derivative, we have:

 \blacktriangleright

$$
\frac{d^2 y(t)}{dt^2}\Big|_{t=nT} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right]_{t=nT}
$$

=
$$
\frac{[y(nT) - y(nT - T)]/T - [y(nT - T) - y(nT - 2T)]/T}{T}
$$

=
$$
\frac{y(n) - 2y(n - 1) + y(n - 2)}{T^2}
$$

or, equivalently:
$$
s^2 = \frac{1 - 2z^{-1} + z^{-2}}{T^2} = \left(\frac{1 - z^{-1}}{T}\right)^2
$$

In general:
$$
s^k = \left(\frac{1 - z^{-1}}{T}\right)^k
$$

Filter Design by Approximation of Derivatives

- So, the digital system function is found from the analog system \blacktriangleright function as: $H(z) = H_a(s)|_{s=(1-z^{-1})/T}$
- \blacktriangleright and approximate the derivative as:

Note that
$$
s = \frac{1 - z^{-1}}{T}
$$
 means $z = \frac{1}{1 - sT}$.

- Let $s = j\Omega$, then: $z = \frac{1}{1 - j\Omega T} = \frac{1}{1 + \Omega^2 T^2} + j\frac{\Omega T}{1 + \Omega^2 T^2}$ \blacktriangleright
- \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright Note that as Ω goes from
- \blacktriangleright \blacktriangleright $-\infty$ to ∞ the z-plane points
- \blacktriangleright Are on a circle of radius ½
- \blacktriangleright centered around $z=\frac{1}{2}$ 2 and
- \blacktriangleright the poles confined to the
- \blacktriangleright points inside only part of
- \blacktriangleright the unit circle.

Filter Design by Approximation of Derivatives

 \blacktriangleright The poles of the digital filter being confined to only a part of the unit circle makes the technique useful only for low-pass filters and high pass filters with low resonant frequency. To overcome this issue we can use more complex approximation for the derivative, for example, use:

$$
\left. \frac{dy(t)}{dt} \right|_{t=nT} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{L} \alpha_k \frac{y(nT+kT) - y(nT-kT)}{T}
$$

- \blacktriangleright With the resulting mapping: $s = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=1}^T \alpha_k (z^k - z^{-k})$
- \blacktriangleright When $z = e^{j\omega}$, we get $s = j\frac{2}{T}\sum_{k=1}^{L} \alpha_k \sin \omega_k$ which is purely imaginary and gives us:

$$
\Omega = \frac{2}{T} \sum_{k=1}^{L} \alpha_k \sin \omega k
$$

 \blacktriangleright ► By proper choice of $\{a_k\}$ it is possible to map the $j\Omega$ –axis into the unit circle. So, it resolves the problem of poles but still the problem of choice of the set of coefficients $\{a_k\}$ remains.

Approximation of Derivatives: Example

- \blacktriangleright • Convert the analog band-pass filter: $H_a(s) = \frac{1}{(s+0.1)^2+0}$ into a digital filter using derivative approximation.
- Solution: substitute $s = \frac{1-z^{-1}}{T}$ into

$$
H(z) = \frac{1}{\left(\frac{1-z^{-1}}{T} + 0.1\right)^2 + 9}
$$

=
$$
\frac{T^2/(1+0.2T+9.01T^2)}{1 - \frac{2(1+0.1T)}{1+0.2T+9.01T^2}z^{-1} + \frac{1}{1+0.2T+9.01T^2}z^{-2}}
$$

If T is selected small enough, the poles can be near the unit circle, for example, for $T = 0.1$, we have:

$$
p_{1,2} = 0.91 \pm j0.27
$$

$$
= 0.949e^{\pm j16.5^{\circ}}
$$

IIR filter Design: Impulse Invariance **Techniques**

- \blacktriangleright In this technique, we work with the impulse response of the analog filter. The objective will be that the sample response of the digital IIR filter be equal to the samples of the analog filter's impulse response, i.e., $h(n) = h_a(nT)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$
- Assume that the poles of the analog filter are distinct, then we can N write,

$$
H_a(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_k}{s - p_i}
$$

 \blacktriangleright So, we have

$$
h(n) = h_a(nT) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k e^{p_k T n}
$$

 \blacktriangleright The system function is:

$$
H(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(n) z^{-n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k e^{p_k T_n} \right) z^{-n} = \sum_{k=1}^{N} c_k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^{p_k T} z^{-1})^n
$$

IIR filter Design: Impulse Invariance **Techniques**

Since $p_k < 1$, the inner sum converges:

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (e^{p_k T} z^{-1})^n = \frac{1}{1 - e^{p_k T} z^{-1}}
$$

 \blacktriangleright and we have:

$$
H(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{c_k}{1 - e^{p_k T} z^{-1}}
$$

 λ

- \blacktriangleright This means that the digital filter has poles at $z_k = e^{p_kT}$, $k = 1, 2, ..., N$
- \blacktriangleright This implies that the transformation from s-domain to z-domain is given by $z = e^{sT}$.

IIR filters: Impulse Invariance Techniques

 \blacktriangleright

 \blacktriangleright The transformation $z = e^{sT}$ maps the LHP of the s-domain to the unit circle in the z-domain:

Impulse Invariance Techniques: Example

 \blacktriangleright Convert the analog filter with system function:

$$
H_a(s) = \frac{s + 0.1}{(s + 0.1)^2 + 9}
$$

 \blacktriangleright into a digital IIR Filter.

 \blacktriangleright

 \blacktriangleright Solution: We see that the analog filter has a zero at $s = -0.1$ and complex conjugate poles at $p_k = -0.1 \pm j3.$

So, we can write
$$
H_a(s)
$$
 as:

\n
$$
H(s) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{s + 0.1 - j3} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{s + 0.1 + j3}
$$
\nSo,

\n
$$
H(z) = \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1 - e^{-0.1T}e^{j3T}z^{-1}} + \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1 - e^{-0.1T}e^{-j3T}z^{-1}}
$$

 \blacktriangleright Combining the two conjugate poles:

$$
H(z) = \frac{1 - (e^{-0.1T} \cos 3T)z^{-1}}{1 - (2e^{-0.1T} \cos 3T)z^{-1} + e^{-0.2T}z^{-1}}
$$

Impulse Invariance Techniques: Aliasing

- \blacktriangleright Note that by letting $h(n) = h_a(nT)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ we are sampling $h_a(t)$. In the frequency domain this results in the spectrum of the analog filter $H_a(\Omega)$ repeat every $F_{\rm s}=0$ $\mathbf 1$ $\, T \,$ Hz.
- \blacktriangleright This means that in digital domain, in terms of normalized frequency,

$$
H(f) = F_s \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} H_a[(f-k)F_s]
$$

• or equivalently,
$$
H(\omega) = F_s \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} H_a[(\omega - 2\pi k)F_s]
$$

 \blacktriangleright Or, in terms of the actual frequency in Hz.:

$$
H(\Omega T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} H_a \left(\Omega - \frac{2\pi k}{T} \right)
$$

Impulse Invariance Techniques: Aliasing

 \blacktriangleright The formula:

$$
H(\Omega T) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} H_a \left(\Omega - \frac{2\pi k}{T} \right)
$$

- \blacktriangleright is shown here.
- \blacktriangleright We observe that in order to avoid Aliasing, we need to sufficiently large T, or, equivalently large number of samples.

Frequency response $H_a(\Omega)$ of the analog filter and frequency respons of the corresponding digital filter with aliasing

Impulse Invariance Techniques: Aliasing

 As an example, take the digital filter we designed in the above \blacktriangleright example: $1 - (e^{-0.1T} \cos 3T)z^{-1}$

$$
H(z) = \frac{1}{1 - (2e^{-0.1T}\cos 3T)z^{-1} + e^{-0.2T}z^{-1}}
$$

 \blacktriangleright Here is the magnitude of the frequency response for T=0.1 and T=0.5 together with the original analog filter. We see that the aliasing is more noticeable for T=0.5. So, this techniques is not suitable for highpass filter design.

- ▶ We saw that the derivative approximation and impulse invariance techniques are not suitable for high-pass filter design.
- \blacktriangleright Bilinear Transformation technique overcomes this problem by mapping the LHP of the s-plane into the inside of the unit circle in the z-plane.
- \blacktriangleright In the following slides, we describe this technique for a single pole IIR filter. The procedure, however, generalizes to the case of analog filters with multiple poles.
- \blacktriangleright Consider a single pole linear filter with the system function:

$$
\blacktriangleright H(s) = \frac{b}{s+a}.
$$

 \blacktriangleright The corresponding differential equation is:

$$
\rightarrow \frac{dy(t)}{dt} + ay(t) = bx(t).
$$

Let's integrate the derivative of $y'(t) = \frac{dy(t)}{dt}$ to get:

$$
y(t) = \int_{t_0}^t y'(\tau) d\tau + y(t_0)
$$

 \blacktriangleright Approximating the above integral using the trapezoidal formula at: $t=nT$ and $t_0=nT-T,$ we get:

$$
y(nT) = \frac{T}{2} [y'(nT) + y'(nT - T)] + y(nT - T).
$$

 \blacktriangleright $y'(nT)$ is found by evaluating the differential equation at $t = nT$.

 \blacktriangleright $y'(nT) = -ay(nT) + x(nT)$.

 \blacktriangleright Substituting this in the expression for $y(nT)$ and using $y(n) = y(nT)$ and $x(n) = x(nT),$ we have the difference equation:

$$
\blacktriangleright \left(1+\frac{aT}{2}\right)y(n) - \left(1-\frac{aT}{2}\right)y(n-1) = \frac{bT}{2}[x(n) + x(n-1)].
$$

 \blacktriangleright Taking the z-transform of the above difference equation:

$$
\blacktriangleright \left(1+\frac{aT}{2}\right)Y(z) - \left(1-\frac{aT}{2}\right)z^{-1}Y(z) = \frac{bT}{2}(1+z^{-1})X(z).
$$

So, the system function in the z-domain is:

$$
H(z) = \frac{Y(x)}{X(z)} = \frac{\left(\frac{bT}{2}\right)(1+z^{-1})}{1+\frac{aT}{2} - (1-aT/2)z^{-1}}
$$

or,

$$
H(z) = \frac{b}{\frac{T}{2}(\frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}})+a}
$$

So, the mapping from s-plane to z-plane is through:

$$
S = \frac{2}{T} \left(\frac{1 - z^{-1}}{1 + z^{-1}} \right).
$$

To see how the bilinear transform maps the points in the s-plane to points in the z-plane, let:

 $z = re^{j\omega}$ $s = \sigma + i\Omega$ and \blacktriangleright Then, \blacktriangleright $S = \frac{2}{T} \frac{z-1}{z+1} = \frac{2}{T} \frac{re^{j\omega} - 1}{re^{j\omega} + 1} = \frac{2}{T} \left(\frac{r^2 - 1}{1 + r^2 + 2rcos \omega} + j \frac{2rsin \omega}{1 + r^2 + 2rcos \omega} \right)$ Therefore, $\sigma = \frac{2}{T} \frac{r^2 - 1}{1 + r^2 + 2r\cos(\theta)}$ and

$$
\sum \Omega = \frac{2}{T} \frac{2r\sin \omega}{1 + r^2 + 2r\cos \omega}
$$

Note that $r < 1 \Rightarrow r^2 - 1 < 0 \Rightarrow \sigma < 0$ and similarly, $r > 0 \Rightarrow \sigma > 0$. So, the \blacktriangleright bilinear transformation maps the Left Hand Side of the s-plane to inside of the unit circle and the RHP to outside of the unit circle. When $r = 1$, *i.e.*, on the unit circle, we get $\sigma = 0$. Do the *j* Ω axis is mapped to the unit circle.

 To summarize, ൌ ଶ்మିଵଵାమାଶ௦ఠand Ω ൌ ଶ்ଶ௦ఠଵାమାଶ௦ఠ.

 \blacktriangleright So when $r = 1$, we get $\sigma = 0$ and:

$$
\sum \Omega = \frac{2}{T} \frac{\sin \omega}{1 + \cos \omega} = \frac{2}{T} \tan \frac{\omega}{2},
$$

• or, $\omega = 2 \tan^{-1} \frac{\Omega T}{2}$. The relationship between the frequencies in s-domain (Ω) and z-domain (ω) can be plotted as:

 \blacktriangleright Not that the mapping is highly nonlinear resulting in the so called frequency warping.

 \blacktriangleright Convert the analog filter with the system function:

$$
H_a(s) = \frac{s+0.1}{(s+0.1)^2+16}
$$

- \blacktriangleright into a digital IIR filter having resonant frequency $\omega_r = \pi/2$ using bilinear transformation.
- \blacktriangleright **Solution:** Note that the poles of the analog filter are at $p_{1,2} = -0.1 \pm j4$, i.e., it has the resonant frequency $\Omega_r=4.$ Using the relationship $\Omega=\frac{2}{r}$ $\frac{\mathsf{I}}{T}$ tan ఠ $\frac{1}{2}$, we get 4 ൌ ଶ $\scriptstyle T$ tan $\frac{\pi}{4}$. So, $T = \frac{1}{2}$. The transformation will be: $s = 4 \left(\frac{1-z^{-1}}{1+z^{-1}} \right)$ resulting in: $H(z) =$ $0.125+0.0061z^{-1}$ – $0.1189z^{-2}$ $1+0.0006z^{-1}$ +0.9512 z^{-2} .
- \blacktriangleright Ignoring the very small term in the denominator, we get the approximation:

$$
H(z) = \frac{0.125 + 0.0061z^{-1} - 0.1189z^{-2}}{1 + 0.9512z^{-2}}.
$$

 \blacktriangleright The filter has poles at $p_{1,2} = 0.987e^{\pm j\pi/2}$ and zeros at $z_1 = -1$ and $z_2 = 0.95$.

Commonly Used Analog Filters

- \blacktriangleright There are several types of analog filters each with its characteristics and properties. They are briefly explained in the text and there is a lot of information in the literature about them that you may refer to in case in your future work you are tasked with the design of a filter with certain properties and constraints.
- \blacktriangleright These filters include: Butterworth Filters, Chebyshev filters, Elliptic Filters and Bessel Filters.
- \blacktriangleright These filters are mainly characterized by the location of their poles determined by a different polynomial in each case.
- \blacktriangleright We only talk briefly about the Butterworth filters. You are encouraged to go over other types of filters discussed at the end of Chapter 10 of Proakis and Manolakis textbook.
- \blacktriangleright An important type of filter is the raised-cosine filters you have seen in your digital communications course. Due to the importance of raised-cosine filters in today's communication circuits I encourage you to read about them. Information about these filters can be found in any digtal communications textbook. You may also refer to Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Raised-cosine_filter).

 \blacktriangleright A Butterworth filter of order N is an all-pole filter with its poles uniformly distributed around a circle. It can be characterized by its magnitude-squared frequency response:

$$
|H(\Omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + (\Omega/\Omega_c)^{2N}}
$$

- \blacktriangleright where N is the order of the filter and Ω_c is the cut-off frequency or -3 dB frequency of the filter. This is the frequency where the output power of the filter is $\frac{1}{2}$ of its maximum at the origin, i.e., 3 dB attenuation.
- \blacktriangleright Since $H(s)H(-s)$ evaluated at $s = j\Omega$ is equal to $|H(\Omega)|^2$, we have:

$$
H(s)H(-s) = \frac{1}{1+(-s^2/\Omega_c^2)^N}.
$$

- \blacktriangleright So, the poles of $H(s)H(-s)$ are equally spaced on a circle of radius Ω_c .
- \blacktriangleright Therefore,

$$
\triangleright \frac{-s^2}{\Omega_2^2} = (-1)^{1/N} = e^{j(2k+1)\pi/N}, \quad k = 0, 1, ..., N-1.
$$

From the above, we find the filter poles as: $S_k = \Omega_c e^{j\pi/2} e^{j(2k+1)\pi/2N},$ $k = 0, 1, ..., N - 1.$

The position of poles for $N=4$ and $N=5$ is shown here:

Denoting the frequency at the edge of the passband as $\Omega_p,$ we have,

$$
|H(\Omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1+(\Omega/\Omega_c)^{2N}} = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2(\Omega/\Omega_p)^{2N}}.
$$

 $|H(\Omega)|^2$

 1.1

 0.8

 0.7

 0.6

 0.5

 0.4

 0.3

 0.2

 $0.1\,$

 $\pmb{0}$

 $\frac{1}{1+\epsilon^2}$ 1.0 0.9

The attenuation at the passband edge $\Omega_p,$

i.e.,
$$
|H(\Omega_p)|^2
$$
 is equal to $1/(1 + \varepsilon^2)$.

This figure shows the frequency response of the Butterworth filters for a few values of N:

Using the expression for the magnitude-squared frequency response,

$$
|H(\Omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1+(\Omega/\Omega_c)^{2N}} = \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2(\Omega/\Omega_p)^{2N}}.
$$

we can compute the attenuation at and frequency Ω . For example to find the order of the filter such that the attenuation at the edge of the stop band (Ω_s) does not exceed δ_2 , we calculate the expression at $\Omega=\Omega_s$ and equate the result to δ_2^2 , i.e.,

$$
\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon^2(\Omega_s/\Omega_p)^{2N}}=\delta_2^2.
$$

The order of the filter is then,

$$
N = \frac{\log[(1/\delta_2^2) - 1]}{2\log(\Omega_s/\Omega_p)}
$$

So, the Butterworth filter is fully characterized by the parameters: N, δ_2 , ε and the ratio Ω_s/Ω_p .

Butterworth Filters: Example

Example: Determine the order and the poles of a Butterworth filter with a -3 dB bandwidth of 500 Hz, and an attenuation of 40 dB at 1000 Hz.

Solution: The -3 dB frequency is $\Omega_c = 1000\pi$ and the stopband frequency is $\Omega_{\rm s} = 2000\pi$. So,

$$
N = \frac{\log[10^4 - 1]}{\log(2)} = 6.64.
$$

So, we have to have N=7. The poles are located at:

$$
s_k = 1000\pi e^{j\left[\frac{\pi}{2} + (2k+1)\pi/14\right]},
$$
 $k = 0, 1, ..., 6.$

Frequency Transformations

- \blacktriangleright We have so far mostly talked about designing lowpass filters. If we need a highpass, a bandpass or a banstop filter, we can do by taking a prototype lowpass filter and change it to one these types of filters by transforming the spectrum of the prototype lowpass filter.
- \blacktriangleright Let's first consider the analog filters. Say, we have a lowpass filter with the edge of the passband frequency $\Omega_p.$ Assume that we need a lowpass filter with the edge of the passband frequency Ω^\prime_p v_p' . It is easy to see that we can do this by substituting s by Ω_p/Ω'_p $n'_p s$, i.e., $s \rightarrow \frac{\Omega_p}{\Omega_p}$ $\frac{p}{\Omega_p^{\prime}}$. So, the system function of the lowpass filter is $H_l(s) = H_p\big[(\Omega_p/\Omega'_p) \big]$ $\mathcal{L}_p(s)$. Where $H_p(s)$ is the system function of the prototype filter.
- \blacktriangleright Also, the transformation $s \to \frac{\Omega_p \Omega_p'}{n}$ $\frac{S^{exp}}{S}$ turns the prototype lowpass filter into a highpass filter with the system function $H_h(s) = H_p \left(\frac{\Omega_p \Omega_p^{\prime}}{s} \right)$ \mathcal{S}).
- \blacktriangleright These transformations as well as transformations for bandpass and bandstop filters with the lower band edge frequency Ω_l and upper band edge frequency Ω_u are shown in the table in the next slide.

Frequency Transformations: Analog Filters

 \blacktriangleright This figure shows the frequency transformation for analog filters where a prototype low pass filter with band edge frequency Ω_p is transformed into other types of filters

Frequency Transformations: Digital Filters

 \blacktriangleright In the case of digital filters the transformations in z-domain turning a prototype digital lowpass filter with band edge frequency ω_p into types of filters are shown in the following table:

Frequency Transformations: Example

Example: Convert the single pole lowpass Butterworth filter with the system function:

$$
H(z) = \frac{0.245(1+z^{-1})}{1-0.509z^{-1}}
$$

- into a bandpass filter with upper and lower cutoff frequencies ω_u and \blacktriangleright ω_l , respectively. The lowpass filter has 3 dB bandwidth $\omega_p = 0.2\pi$.
- Solution: Referring to the table in the previous slide, the required \blacktriangleright transformation is: a^{-2} $a \cdot a^{-1}$ + $a \cdot a$ \overline{z}

$$
z^{-1} \longrightarrow -\frac{z - a_1 z + a_2}{a_2 z^{-2} - a_1 z^{-1} + 1}
$$

where a_1 and a_2 are found from the table. Substitution of z^{-1} results $in:$ Γ -2 -11

$$
H(z) = \frac{0.245\left[1 - \frac{z^2 - a_1 z^2 + a_2}{a_2 z^2 - a_1 z^{-1} + 1}\right]}{1 + 0.509\left(\frac{z^{-2} - a_1 z^{-1} + a_2}{a_2 z^{-2} - a_1 z^{-1} + 1}\right)}
$$

$$
= \frac{0.245(1 - a_2)(1 - z^{-2})}{(1 + 0.509a_2) - 1.509a_1 z^{-1} + (a_2 + 0.509)}
$$

$$
\left\lfloor \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right\rfloor
$$

Frequency Transformations: Example

Note that the resulting filter has zeros at $z = \pm 1$ and a pair of poles that depend on the choice of ω_u and ω_l .

For example, suppose that $\omega_u = 3\pi/5$ and $\omega_l = 2\pi/5$. Since $\omega_p = 0.2\pi$, we find that $K = 1$, $a_2 = 0$, and $a_1 = 0$. Then

$$
H(z) = \frac{0.245(1 - z^{-2})}{1 + 0.509z^{-2}}
$$

This filter has poles at $z = \pm j0.713$ and hence resonates at $\omega = \pi/2$.