Basic Structural Modeling

Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

Part I

Classes

Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

Classes

- Description of a set of objects sharing the same attributes, operations and semantics
- Abstraction of the things that are part of the application domain vocabulary
- Can represent software, hardware or conceptual things



- Names
 - nouns drawn from the application domain vocabulary, beginning with a capital letter
 - short but long enough to carry a meaning
 - can include the path of the package(s) it belongs to



Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

- Attributes
 - named properties of a class representing the state of the objects
 - extension of classical data structures
 - can include type and default values



- Operations
 - implementation of a behavior or service
 - messages that can be understood by the class
 - verb or verb phrase describing the intended behavior
 - can define the interface of the functions



Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

- Organizing Attributes and Operations
 - some attributes and operations can be omitted
 - they can be organized using stereotypes



- Responsibilities
 - defines the requirements of classes
 - expressed as form-free text
 - can be used in early stages for abstraction

FraudAgent	
Responsibilities	
determine the risk of	
a customer order	
handle customer-specific	
criteria for fraud	

- Modeling the vocabulary of the system
 - identify things that users use to describe the problem
 - identify things that implementers use to describe the solution
 - for each class, identify a set of responsibilities
 - provide the attributes and operations needed to carry out these responsibilities
 - aggregate related clusters into packages

- Modeling the distribution of responsibilities in a system
 - identify a set of classes that work together to carry out some behavior
 - identify a set of responsibilities for these classes
 - aggregate classes that have too few responsibilities
 - split classes that have too many responsibilities
 - consider the workload involved by each responsibility and distribute the load evenly

- When you model, define classes that
 - are an abstraction of something drawn either from the vocabulary of the problem or the solution
 - embody a small, well-defined set of responsibilities
 - are understandable, simple, extensible and adaptable

- When you draw class diagrams
 - show only those properties of the class that important in the current context
 - organize long lists of attributes and operations using stereotypes
 - show related classes in the same diagrams

Part II

Relationships

Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

Relationships

- Very few classes stand alone
- Classes collaborate to respond to service requests
- We must model the interactions between objects and classes
 - Dependencies: *uses* relationships
 - Generalizations: subclass/superclass relationships
 - Associations: structural relationships

Relationships



- Dependencies
 - a change in the state of an object may affect the behavior of the depending object
 - a class uses another in its behavior
 - drawn as a dashed directed line
 - most often used to show that one of the operations uses another class as an argument
 - dependencies can be used at various levels of abstraction

- Generalizations
 - relationship between a general thing (parent) and a more specific thing (child) of the same kind
 - the child inherits the attributes and operations of the parent class
 - operations can be redefined in the child and override the operations of the parent (polymorphism)
 - drawn as a directed line with open arrowhead



- Associations
 - used to show structural relationships where none of the classes is part of the other
 - drawn as a solid line connecting classes
- Name of an association
 - describes the nature of the relationship
 - can include the direction of the relationship
 - drawn as a tag on the middle of the association



Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

- Roles of an association
 - identifies the role that each class plays in the relationship
 - drawn as a tag on the near end of the association
 - same class can play different roles in different contexts

- Defining multiplicity in an association
 - shows how many objects of the same type are involved in the association
 - similar to multiplicity defined in entity-relationship diagrams



- Aggregation
 - an association where one class is part of the other (*has-a* relationship)
 - drawn as a solid line with a diamond on the whole side of the relation



- Modeling simple dependencies
 - e.g. dependency between a class and a class being a parameter in one of its operations
 - can be omitted if the signature of the operations is provided in the class description

- Modeling single inheritance
 - some classes that have common behavior or structure can be have a common superclass
 - given a set of classes, look for responsibilities, attributes and operations common to two or more classes
 - elevate these elements to a more general class without introducing too many levels
 - specify that the children inherit from the parent using a generalization relationship from children to parent
 - multiple inheritance is allowed, cyclic inheritance is not

- Modeling structural relationships
 - for each pair of classes, if you need to navigate between the two, define an association between them (datadriven associations)
 - for each pair of classes, if an interaction is needed between the two (other than parameters to an operation) specify an association between the two (behaviordriven associations)
 - for each of these associations, define their multiplicity
 - if one of the classes is a part of the other, make it an aggregation



- When you model
 - use dependencies only when the relationship is not structural
 - use generalizations only when you have a *is-kind-of* relationship
 - cyclical generalization is not allowed
 - inheritance trees should not be too deep nor too wide

- When you draw
 - use rectilinear or oblique lines consistently
 - avoid lines that cross
 - show only relationships that are necessary to the understanding of the diagram in the current context

Part III

Common Mechanisms

Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

Common Mechanisms

- Some important facts about a design might not be expressible in the basic UML notation
 - notes
 - version number of components
 - constraints on dependencies

- Notes
 - a graphical symbol used to attach comments or constraints to an element
 - drawn as a rectangle with a dog-eared corner containing a textual or graphical comment
 - it does not carry any semantic impact
- Tagged values
 - extension of the properties of a UML element
 - drawn as a string enclosed in {brackets}

- Stereotypes
 - extension of the vocabulary of the UML
 - used to create new kinds of building blocks
 - drawn as a name enclosed in <<guillemets>>
 - can be represented as a new kind of icon
- Constraint
 - extension of the semantics of a UML element
 - drawn as a string enclosed in {brackets}
 - can be put in a note

- Use notes only for facts that can't be expressed using the UML
- Use notes to specify work in progress
- Don't use too many or large notes
- Standardize the use of stereotypes, tagged values and constraints
- Don't use too many graphical stereotypes
- Use only general and simple additions

Part IV

Diagrams

Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

Diagrams

- Simplification of reality
- Using a limited set of general-use building blocks
- Different types of diagrams are used to represent the system in different perspectives
- Good diagrams make the system more understandable
- Choosing the right diagrams forces you to ask the right questions and illuminate their implications

- Structural diagrams
 - Used to visualize, specify, construct and document the static aspects of the system
 - Represents the "skeleton" of the system

- Structural diagrams
 - Class diagram
 - a set of classes interfaces, collaborations and their relationships
 - used to render the static design view of a system
 - Object diagram
 - same as class diagram, but for instances
 - "snapshot" of the relations between objects in a hypothetical situation

- Structural diagrams
 - Component diagrams
 - shows a set of software components and their relationships
 - used to render a static implementation view of the system
 - Deployment diagrams
 - shows a set of nodes and their relations
 - used to render the static deployment view of the system
 - more abstract version of component diagrams

- Behavioral diagrams
 - Used to visualize, specify, construct and document the dynamic aspects of the system
 - Represents the behavior of the system

- Behavioral diagrams
 - Use case diagrams
 - shows a set of use cases and actors and their relationships
 - shows the different views that are possible on the system
 - defines subsets of classes (and their interactions) that are needed to achieve a certain goal of the system

- Behavioral diagrams
 - Sequence diagrams
 - defines the time ordering of messages exchanged between a set of objects
 - shows a set of objects and the messages sent and received between these objects towards the realization of a certain service

- Behavioral diagrams
 - Collaboration diagrams
 - defines all the dynamic interactions between a set of objects
 - shows a set of objects, links among those objects, and messages sent and received by those objects in the general case (not related to a specific service)
 - Sequence and collaboration diagrams carry the same information but not in the same context

- Behavioral diagrams
 - Statechart diagram
 - defines a behavior that specifies the sequences of states an object goes through during its lifetime in response to events, together with its responses to those events
 - emphasizes the event-ordered behavior of an object
 - used to model the behavior of an interface, class or collaboration between classes

- Behavioral diagrams
 - Activity diagram
 - defines the flow of control among objects
 - shows the flow from activity to activity within the system
 - shows a set of activities, the sequential or branching flow between activities and objects that act or are acted upon in the activity

- Modeling different views of a system
 - views are the different dimensions from which the system can be represented
 - different views expose different aspects of the problem or see the same aspect in a different context
 - must find the right set of views
 - must focus on different views separately, and then find a compromise for common parts

- Modeling different views of a system
 - decide which views best express the architecture and expose all problems
 - for each of these views, chose which kind of diagrams you will use to capture its details
 - decide which of these will be part of the documentation
 - unused diagrams should not be thrown away

- Modeling different levels of abstraction
 - different people involved in the development have different needs
 - the different kinds of diagrams propose a different view on the system
 - some people need abstract information, others need exact information
 - several versions of the same diagram, at various levels of abstraction, might be needed

- Modeling different levels of abstraction
 - consider the different needs of the readers
 - create different abstraction levels for diagrams that are read by different readers
 - hide or reveal building blocks and relationships
 - hide or expand messages and transitions that are essential to understanding
 - reveal only adornments that are essential to understanding (e.g. classifying stereotypes)

- When you design a diagram
 - diagrams are a tool, they do not need to be cute
 - not all diagrams are meant to be preserved
 - find an goal and an audience for each diagram and show only the information needed to explain the solution to the intended audience
 - give meaningful names to all diagrams
 - group diagrams into packages

- A well structured diagram
 - is focused on communicating one aspect of the system
 - contains only those elements that are essential to understanding that aspect
 - provides details consistent with its level of abstraction

- When you draw a diagram
 - give it a name that communicates its purpose
 - lay out elements to minimize lines that cross
 - lay out related elements close to one another
 - use colors and notes to draw attention on important features

Part V

Class Diagrams

Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

Class Diagrams

- Most common diagram used to model object-oriented systems
- Shows a set of classes, interfaces, and collaborations and their relationships
- Models the static design view of the system
- Involves modeling the vocabulary of the system requirements specifications

- Class diagrams commonly contain
 - classes
 - interfaces
 - collaborations
 - relationships
 - notes and constraints
 - packages or subsystems

- Common uses
 - model the static design view to support the functional requirements of the system
 - <u>model the vocabulary</u> of the system: first define classes and their responsibilities, and then refine towards attributes, operations
 - <u>model simple collaborations</u>: define the interactions between classes towards the definition of a common behavior
 - <u>model a database schema</u>: data elements are attributes, tables are classes, and relationships are...relationships

- Modeling simple collaborations
 - identify the mechanism to model.
 - for each mechanism, identify the classes, interfaces, and other collaborations that participate in this collaboration; as well as their relationships
 - use scenarios to walk through these things
 - populate these elements with their required contents (attributes and operations) first starting with responsibilities

Example



Copyright Joey Paquet, 2000

- Modeling a logical database schema
 - identify the classes whose state must transcend the lifetime of their application
 - create a class diagram for these and mark them as <<pre>create a class diagram for these and mark them as
 - define their attributes and associations (and their cardinalities)
 - define data-specific operations on these classes

Example of Database Schema



- A well-structured class diagram
 - is focused on communicating only one aspect of the system's static design
 - provides only the details that are consistent with its associated level of abstraction
 - is not minimalist

- When you draw a class diagram
 - give it a name that communicates its purpose
 - minimize line crossing
 - use colors and notes to emphasize important aspects
 - lay out related elements close to one another