# COMPILER DESIGN

### Error recovery in top-down predictive parsing

Concordia University

Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering

#### Syntax error recovery

- A syntax error happens when the stream of tokens coming from the lexical analyzer does not comply with the grammatical rules defining the programming language.
- The next token in input is not expected according to the syntactic definition of the language.
- One of the main roles of a compiler is to identify all programming errors and give meaningful indications about the location and nature of errors in the input program.

#### Goals of error recovery

- <u>Detect</u> all compile-time errors
- <u>**Report</u>** the presence of errors clearly and accurately</u>
- <u>**Recover**</u> from each error quickly enough to be able to detect subsequent errors
- <u>Should not slow down</u> the processing of correct programs
- Avoid spurious errors that are just a consequence of an earlier error

#### **Reporting errors**

• Give the position of the error in the source file, maybe print the offending line and point at the error location.

• If the nature of the error is easily identifiable, give a **meaningful** error message.

• The compiler should not provide erroneous information about the nature of errors.

#### Good error recovery

- Good error recovery highly depends on how quickly the error is *detected*.
- Often, an error will be detected only after the faulty token has passed.
- It will then be more difficult to achieve good error reporting, as well as good error recovery.
- Top-down parsers generally detect errors quicker than top-down parsers.
- Should recover from each error quickly enough to be able to detect subsequent errors. Error recovery should skip as less tokens as possible.
- Should not identify more errors than there really is. Cascades of errors that result from token skipping should be avoided.
- Should give meaningful information about the errors, while avoiding to give erroneous information.
- Error recovery should induce processing overhead only when errors are encountered.
- Should avoid to report other errors that are consequences of the application of error recovery, e.g. semantic errors.

- There are many different strategies that a parser can employ to recover from syntactic errors.
- Although some are better than others, none of these methods provide a universal solution.
  - Panic mode, or *don't panic* (Nicklaus Wirth)
  - Error productions
  - Phrase level correction
  - Global correction

# Panic Mode

- On discovering an error, the parser discards input tokens until an element of a designated set of <u>synchronizing tokens</u> is found. Synchronizing tokens are typically delimiters such as semicolons or end of block delimiters.
- A systematic and general approach is to use the FIRST and FOLLOW sets as synchronizing tokens.
- Skipping tokens often has a side-effect of skipping other errors. Choosing the right set of synchronizing tokens is of prime importance.
- Simplest method to implement.
- Can be integrated in most parsing methods.
- Cannot enter an infinite loop.

### Error Productions

- The grammar is augmented with "error productions". For each possible error, an error production is added. An error is trapped when an error production is used.
- Assumes that all specific errors are known in advance.
- One error production is needed for each possible error.
- Error productions are specific to the rules in the grammar. A change in the grammar implies a change of the corresponding error productions.
- Extremely hard to maintain.

### <u>Phrase-Level Correction</u>

- On discovering an error, the parser performs a local correction on the remaining input, e.g. replace a comma by a semicolon, delete an extraneous semicolon, insert a missing semicolon, etc.
- Corrections are done in specific contexts. There are myriads of different such contexts.
- Cannot cope with errors that occurred before the point of detection.
- Can enter an infinite loop, e.g. insertion of an expected token.

# Global Correction

- Ideally, a compiler should make as few changes as possible in processing an incorrect token stream.
- Global correction is about choosing the minimal sequence of changes to obtain a least-cost correction.
- Given an incorrect input token stream x, global correction will find a parse tree for a related token stream y, such that the number of insertions, deletions, and changes of tokens required to transform x into y is as reduced as possible.
- Too costly to implement.
- The closest correct program does not carry the meaning intended by the programmer anyway.
- Can be used as a benchmark for other error correction techniques.

#### 11

# Different variations of "panic mode" error recovery

Concordia University

Department of Computer Science and Software Engineering

#### Panic mode error recovery: variations

- Variation 1:
  - Given a non-terminal A on top of the stack, skip input tokens until an element of FOLLOW(A) appears in the token stream.
  - Pop A from the stack and resume parsing.
  - Report on the error found and where the parsing was resumed.
- Variation 2:
  - Given a non-terminal A on top of the stack, skip input tokens until an element of FIRST(A) appears in the token stream.
  - Report on the error found and where the parsing was resumed.
- Variation 3
  - If we combine variation 1 and 2, when there is a parse error and a variable A on top of the stack, we skip input tokens until we see either
    - a token in FIRST(A), in which case we simply continue,
    - a token in FOLLOW(A), in which case we pop A off the stack and continue.
  - Report on the error found and where the parsing was resumed.

#### 13

### Error Recovery in Recursive Descent Predictive Parsers

Concordia University

epartment of Computer Science and Software Engineering

loey Paquet, 2000-2018

### Error Recovery in Recursive Descent Predictive Parsers

- Three possible cases:
  - The lookahead symbol is not in FIRST(LHS).
  - If  $\epsilon$  is in FIRST(LHS) and the lookahead symbol is not in FOLLOW(LHS).
  - The **match()** function is called in a no match situation.
- Solution:
  - Create a **skipErrors()** function that skips tokens until an element of FIRST(LHS) or FOLLOW(LHS) is encountered.
  - Upon entering any parsing function, call **skipErrors()**.

Error Recovery in Recursive Descent Predictive Parsers

```
skipErrors([FIRST],[FOLLOW])
  if (
       lookahead is in [FIRST]
       or
       \epsilon is in [FIRST] and lookahead is in [FOLLOW]
                               // no error detected, parse continues in this parsing function
     return true
  else
     write ("syntax error at " lookahead.location)
     while (lookahead not in [FIRST \cup FOLLOW] )
        lookahead = nextToken()
        if (\varepsilon is in [FIRST] and lookahead is in [FOLLOW])
           return false // error detected and parsing function should be aborted
     return true
                               // error detected and parse continues in this parsing function
 match(token)
   if ( lookahead == token )
     lookahead = nextToken()
     return true
   else
     write ("syntax error at" lookahead.location. "expected" token)
     lookahead = nextToken()
     return false
```

#### Error Recovery in Recursive Descent Predictive Parsers

```
LHS(){ // LHS\rightarrowRHS1 | RHS2 | ... | \varepsilon
 if ( !skipErrors( FIRST(LHS),FOLLOW(LHS) ) ) return false;
 if (lookahead \in FIRST(RHS1) )
   write("LHS→RHS1")
   else success = false
 else if (lookahead ∈ FIRST(RHS2) )
   write("LHS→RHS2")
   else success = false
 else if ...
                                               // other right hand sides
 else if (lookahead ∈ FOLLOW(LHS) )
                                               // only if LHS\rightarrow \varepsilon exists
   write("LHS\rightarrow \epsilon")
 else success = false
 return (success)
```

### Example

```
E(){
                                                           E'(){
  if ( !skipErrors([0,1,(],[),$]) ) return false;
                                                             if ( !skipErrors([+],[),$]) ) return false;
                                                             if (lookahead is in [+])
  if (lookahead is in [0,1,(])
                                                               if (match('+');T();E'()) write(E'->TE')
    if (T();E'();) write(E->TE')
                                                               else success = false
    else success = false
  else success = false
                                                             else if (lookahead is in [$,)]
                                                               write(E'->epsilon);
  return (success)
                                                             else success = false
}
                                                             return (success)
                                                           }
                                                           T'(){
T(){
                                                             if ( !skipErrors([*],[+,),$]) ) return false;
  if ( !skipErrors([0,1,(],[+,),$]) ) return false;
                                                             if (lookahead is in [*])
  if (lookahead is in [0,1,(])
                                                               if (match('*');F();T'()) write(T'->*FT')
    if (F();T'();) write(T->FT')
                                                               else success = false
    else success = false
                                                             else if (lookahead is in [+,),$]
  else success = false
                                                               write(T'->epsilon)
  return (success)
                                                             else success = false
}
```

}

return (success)

```
F(){
    if ( !skipErrors([0,1,(],[*,+,$,)]) ) return false;
    if (lookahead is in [0])
      match('0') write(F->0)
    else if (lookahead is in [1])
      match('1') write(F->1)
    else if (lookahead is in [(])
      if (match('(');E();match(')')) write(F->1);
      else success = false
    else success = false
    return (success)
}
```

# Error Recovery in Table-Driven Predictive Parsers

Concordia University

epartment of Computer Science and Software Engineering

### Error Recovery in Table-Driven Predictive Parsers

- All empty cells in the table represent the occurrence of a syntax error
- Each case represents a specific kind of error
- Task when an empty (error) cell is read:
  - Recover from the error
    - Either pop the stack, or skip tokens (often called "scan")
  - Output an error message

### Building the table with error cases

- Two possible cases:
  - pop the stack if the next token is in the FOLLOW set of our current nonterminal on top of the stack.
  - scan tokens until we get one with which we can resume the parse.

### Original table, grammar and sets

r1: E 
$$\rightarrow$$
 TE'  
r2: E'  $\rightarrow$  +TE'  
r3: E'  $\rightarrow$   $\epsilon$   
r4: T  $\rightarrow$  FT'  
r5: T'  $\rightarrow$  \*FT'  
r6: T'  $\rightarrow$   $\epsilon$   
r7: F  $\rightarrow$  0  
r8: F  $\rightarrow$  1  
r9: F  $\rightarrow$  (E)

FST(E)	:	{	0,1,( }
FST(E')	:	{	ε,+ }
FST(T)	:	{	0,1,( }
FST(T')	:	{	ε,* }
FST(F)	:	{	0,1,( }

FLW(E)	: { \$,) }
FLW(E')	: { \$,) }
FLW(T)	: { +,\$,) }
FLW(T')	: { +,\$,) }
FLW(F)	: { *,+,\$,) }

	0	1	(	)	+	*	\$
E	r1	r1	r1				
E'				r3	r2		r3
Т	r4	r4	r4				
Τ'				r6	r6	r5	r6
F	r7	r8	r9				

oncordia Universit

### Parsing table with error actions

	0	1	(	)	+	*	\$
Е	r1	r1	R1	рор	scan	scan	рор
E'	scan	scan	scan	R3	r2	scan	r3
Т	r4	R4	R4	рор	рор	scan	рор
Τ'	scan	scan	scan	r6	r6	r5	rб
F	r7	R8	R9	рор	рор	рор	рор

• **pop**: if the next token in input is in FOLLOW(LHS), **pop()** RHS from the stack.

```
• scan: else, repeat ( nextToken() )
```

until (FIRST(LHS) is found or

if FIRST(LHS) constains ε, FOLLOW(RHS) is found)

COMP 442/6421 – Compiler Design

### Parsing algorithm

```
parse(){
    push($)
    push(S)
    a = nextToken()
    while ( stack \neq $ ) do
        x = top()
        if (x \in T)
            if (x == a)
                 pop(x) ; a = nextToken()
            else
                 skipError() ; success = false
        else
            if (TT[x,a] \neq  'error')
                 pop(x) ; inverseRHSPush(TT[x,a])
            else
                skipError() ; success = false
    if ( (a \neq \$) \lor (success == false ) )
        return(false)
    else
        return(true)}
```

### Parsing example with error recovery

	Stack	Input	Production	Derivation
1	\$E	0(*1)\$		E
2	\$E	0(*1)\$	r1: E→TE′	$\Rightarrow$ TE'
3	\$E <b>'</b> T	0(*1)\$	R4: T→FT'	$\Rightarrow$ FT'E'
4	\$E'T'F	0(*1)\$	R7: F→0	$\Rightarrow$ 0T'E'
5	\$E'T'0	0(*1)\$		
6	\$E <b>'</b> T <b>'</b>	(*1)\$	error - scan	
7	\$E <b>'</b> T <b>'</b>	*1)\$	r5: T' $\rightarrow$ *FT'	$\Rightarrow$ 0*FT'E'
8	\$E'T'F*	*1)\$		
9	\$E'T'F	1)\$	r8: $F \rightarrow 1$	$\Rightarrow$ 0*1T'E'
10	\$E'T'1	1)\$		
11	\$E <b>'</b> T <b>'</b>	)\$	r6: T' $\rightarrow \epsilon$	$\Rightarrow 0*1E'$
12	\$E'	)\$	r3: E' $\rightarrow \epsilon$	⇒0*1
13	\$	)\$	error - end	