

**Concordia University
Department of Computer Science
and Software Engineering**

**Compiler Design (COMP 442/6421)
Winter 2020**

Final Project Presentation

| | |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| Deadline for submission: | April 22, 2020 |
| Presentations: | April 23-24, 2020 |
| Evaluation: | 30% of final grade |
| Late submission: | not accepted |

Instructions

You must deliver an operational version demonstrating the integrated capacities of your compiler. This is about demonstrating that your project has been effectively aimed at solving specific project problems. The tasks involved in building a working compiler have been identified, listed, and attributed some individual marks. The objective of your presentation is to demonstrate by usage the extent to which your compiler is achieving the list of tasks.

During the presentation, you have to do an individual demonstration of each functional requirement as listed on the following grading sheet. For each functional requirement, you are expected to come prepared with at least one test case dedicated to its demonstration. You are thus also graded according to how effectively you can demonstrate that the listed features are implemented. Negative marking will be applied in cases of ineffectiveness of demonstration or lack of preparation, up to a maximum of -10 marks.

If you cannot really demonstrate the features through execution, you will have to prove that the features are implemented by explaining how your code implements the features, in which case you may be given partial marks. Even in such cases, you have to demonstrate that you are well-prepared for the presentation, and that you can easily provide clear explanations as questions are asked about the functioning of your code.

The presentation includes the evaluation of functional requirements and graduate attributes. For each grading element listed, you are given a letter grade. The letter-to-numeric grade correspondence is the following: A:100% — perfect solution, B:75% — partial solution, C:50% — marginal solution, F:0% — not done

Assignment submission requirements and procedure

You have to submit your assignment before midnight on the due date using the ENCS Electronic Assignment Submission system under the category “*project 1*”. The file submitted must be a **.zip** file containing:

- all your code
- a set of input files to be used for testing purpose, as well as all the resulting output files of the program for each input file (see assignment 1-4).
- proper compilation and execution instructions to the marker in a README file.

Identification

| Student Name | Evaluator Name | Evaluator Signature | Presentation Time |
|--------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | | | |

| FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS – PART I: USER INTERACTION, LEXICAL ANALYSIS, AND SYNTACTIC ANALYSIS | | LETTER | MARK | PREP |
|--|---|--------|-----------|------|
| 1 | USER INTERACTION | | 5 | |
| 1.1 | input interface: accepts user-provided file name as a parameter, as opposed to a hard-coded file name | | 1 | oo |
| 1.2 | output interface: clarity/usefulness of standard output, clarity/usefulness of alternate output to different files | | 2 | oo |
| 1.3 | all errors are reported in a single stream in synchronized order, even if errors are found in different phases | | 2 | oo |
| 2 | LEXICAL ANALYSIS | | 5 | |
| 2.1 | Tokenizing | | | |
| 2.1.1 | integers and floating point numbers (valid/invalid numbers according to assignment 1 handout) | | 1 | oo |
| 2.1.2 | comments: inline comments, block comments, unending block comments, nested block comments | | 1 | oo |
| 2.2 | error detection/reporting/recovery | | | |
| 2.2.1 | lexical error detection: detecting all lexical errors in a program | | 1 | oo |
| 2.2.2 | lexical error reporting: accurate reporting of errors in a .outlexerrors file, including line number and useful description of the error | | 1 | oo |
| 2.3 | output | | | |
| 2.3.1 | output of token stream in a .outlextokens output file | | 1 | oo |
| 3 | SYNTACTIC ANALYSIS | | 30 | |
| 3.1 | Parsing | | | |
| 3.1.1 | variable declarations: int, float, class types, array, array of class types | | 1 | oo |
| 3.1.2 | main function | | 1 | oo |
| 3.1.3 | free functions | | 2 | oo |
| 3.1.4 | member function definitions | | 2 | oo |
| 3.1.5 | class declarations: data member declarations, method declarations, inheritance list | | 2 | oo |
| 3.1.6 | complex expressions (all arithmetic, relational and logic operators in one expression) | | 2 | oo |
| 3.1.7 | conditional statement, including nested if without brackets | | 2 | oo |
| 3.1.8 | loop statement, including nested for without brackets | | 2 | oo |
| 3.1.9 | read(var) / write(expression) / return(expression) statements | | 1 | oo |
| 3.1.10 | access to class members, including multiply nested and including array members | | 2 | oo |
| 3.1.11 | access to arrays: uni- and multi-dimensional, using expressions as index | | 2 | oo |
| 3.2 | syntax error detection/reporting/recovery | | | |
| 3.2.1 | syntax error detection: detecting all syntax errors in a program | | 1 | oo |
| 3.2.2 | syntax error reporting: accurate reporting of errors in a .outsyntaxerrors file including line number and useful description of the error | | 1 | oo |
| 3.2.3 | syntax error recovery: implementation of an effective syntax error recovery mechanism | | 2 | oo |
| 3.3 | output | | | |
| 3.3.1 | generation of an AST | | 3 | oo |
| 3.3.2 | output a derivation of the compiled program in a .outderivation output file | | 2 | oo |
| 3.3.3 | output the AST of the compiled program in a .outast output file | | 2 | oo |
| FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS — PART I | | | 40 | |

| FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS – PAR II: SYMBOL TABLE CREATION, SEMANTIC CHECKING, AND CODE GENERATION | | LETTER | MARK | PREP |
|---|---|--------|-----------|------|
| 4 | SYMBOL TABLE CREATION AND SEMANTIC CHECKING | | 35 | |
| 4.1 | symbol table creation | | | |
| 4.1.1 | AST tree traversal that triggers semantic actions | | 4 | oo |
| 4.1.2 | global scope symbol table | | 1 | oo |
| 4.1.3 | entry in the global table for each class declared. Local tables for classes | | 1 | oo |
| 4.1.4 | entry in the appropriate table for each function definition (free functions and member functions). Local tables for each function | | 1 | oo |
| 4.1.5 | entry in the appropriate table for each variable defined in a scope, i.e. class data members and function's local variables | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2 | semantic/type checking | | | |
| 4.2.1 | type checking on large expressions, assignment and return statements | | 3 | oo |
| 4.2.2 | operators not allowed on objects | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.3 | checking of type and number of parameters upon a function call (free functions and member functions) | | 2 | oo |
| 4.2.4 | use of an array variable made using the same number of dimensions as declared in the variable declaration | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.5 | expressions used as an index must be of integer type | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.6 | circular class dependencies (through data members or inheritance) are detected and not allowed | | 2 | oo |
| 4.2.7 | the "." operator used only on variables of a class type (maybe same as undeclared member) | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.8 | forward references for classes/free functions | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.9 | undeclared function: definition or call to a function that is not declared (free function or member function) | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.10 | undefined function: declaring a member function that does not have a corresponding function definition | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.11 | undefined class | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.12 | missing return statement | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.13 | mismatch between member function declaration and definition | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.14 | warning for shadowed data members upon inheritance | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.15 | member function defined as part of non-existing class | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.16 | undeclared variable: use of a local variable name for which there is no declaration | | 1 | oo |
| 4.2.17 | undeclared data member: reference to a data member that is not declared (including in superclasses or deeply nested) | | 2 | oo |
| 4.2.18 | multiply declared variable: an identifier cannot be declared twice in the same scope | | 1 | oo |
| 4.3 | semantic error detection/reporting/recovery | | | |
| 4.3.1 | semantic error detection: detecting all semantic errors in a program | | 1 | oo |
| 4.3.2 | semantic error reporting: accurate reporting of errors, including line number and useful description of the error | | 1 | oo |
| 4.4 | output | | | |
| 4.4.1 | output of the symbol table structure of the compiled program in a .outsymboltables output file | | 2 | oo |
| 5 | CODE GENERATION | | 35 | |
| 5.1 | memory allocation | | | |
| 5.1.1 | allocate memory for basic types (integer, float) | | 1 | oo |
| 5.1.2 | allocate memory for arrays of basic types | | 1 | oo |
| 5.1.3 | allocate memory for objects | | 1 | oo |
| 5.1.4 | allocate memory for objects with inheritance | | 1 | oo |
| 5.1.5 | allocate memory for objects having object members | | 1 | oo |
| 5.1.6 | allocate memory for arrays of objects | | 1 | oo |
| 5.1.7 | allocate memory for temporary results | | 1 | oo |
| 5.2 | functions | | | |
| 5.2.1 | branch to a function's code block, execute the code block, branch back to the calling function upon return | | 2 | oo |
| 5.2.2 | branch back to a function that has been branched upon | | 1 | oo |
| 5.2.3 | pass parameters as local values to the function's code block | | 2 | oo |
| 5.2.4 | upon function resolution, pass the return value back to the calling function | | 1 | oo |
| 5.2.5 | function call stack mechanism | | 2 | oo |
| 5.2.6 | call to member functions | | 2 | oo |
| 5.3 | statements | | | |
| 5.3.1 | assignment statement: assignment of the resulting value of an expression to a variable, independently of what is the complexity of the expression | | 1 | oo |
| 5.3.2 | conditional statement: implementation of branching mechanism, including for imbricated conditional statements | | 2 | oo |
| 5.3.3 | loop statement: correct implementation of branching mechanism, including for imbricated loop statements | | 2 | oo |
| 5.3.4 | input/output statements: read()/write() | | 2 | oo |
| 5.4 | access to elements of aggregate data types (array, object) | | | |
| 5.4.1 | arrays of basic types (integer and float), access to an array's elements, single or multidimensional | | 1 | oo |
| 5.4.2 | arrays of objects, access to an array's object elements, single or multidimensional | | 1 | oo |
| 5.4.3 | objects, access to members of basic types | | 1 | oo |
| 5.4.4 | objects, access to members of array types, as well as the elements of the array | | 1 | oo |
| 5.4.5 | objects, access to members of object types, as well as the elements of the object | | 1 | oo |
| 5.4.6 | objects, access to the members of a superclass | | 1 | oo |
| 5.5 | expressions | | | |
| 5.5.1 | computing the value of an entire complex expression involving all of: arithmetic, relational and logic operators in one expression | | 2 | oo |
| 5.5.2 | expression involving an array factor whose indexes are themselves expressions | | 1 | oo |
| 5.5.3 | expression involving an object factor referring to object members (dot operator) | | 1 | oo |
| 5.6 | output | | | |
| 5.6.1 | output of the generated code of the compiled program in a .moon output file | | 1 | oo |
| FUNCTIONAL REQUIREMENTS — PART II | | | 70 | |

| GRADUATE ATTRIBUTES | | LETTER | MARK | PREP |
|----------------------------------|---|--------|------|------|
| Attribute 1: Knowledge-base | <u>Indicator 1.2: Show competence in tackling advanced problems:</u> Demonstrate understanding of the theoretical basis of the required implementation. | | 2 | oo |
| Attribute 2: Problem analysis | <u>Indicator 2.1: Problem identification and formulation:</u> Demonstrate that the implementation follows the original specifications. Demonstrate that the problem is clearly and completely understood. | | 2 | oo |
| | <u>Indicator 2.2: Modeling:</u> Explain what models were used to analyze and implement the lexical/syntactical/semantic specifications. | | 2 | oo |
| Attribute 4: Design | <u>Indicator 4.1: Problem identification and information gathering:</u> Demonstrate that the solution is well-adapted to the problem, and that unstated parts of the problem were uncovered as part of the development process. | | 2 | oo |
| | <u>Indicator 4.3: Architectural and detailed design:</u> Description of the rationale and structure of the architectural design and detailed design justified against project requirements/constraints. | | 2 | oo |
| Attribute 5: Use of tools | <u>Indicator 5.2: Ability to evaluate and select appropriate tools:</u> Justified adoption of tools in the project (e.g. programming language, compiler, IDE, libraries, project management tools, grammar analysis tools, etc). | | 1 | oo |
| | <u>Indicator 5.3: Ability to use tools:</u> Proficient use of particular tools for the analysis and implementation. | | 2 | oo |
| Attribute 7: Communication | <u>Indicator 7.4: Oral presentation:</u> Structure, fluidity and demonstrated preparation of presentation, using appropriate presentation techniques. Demonstrated knowledge of code base/clarity of explanations. | | 2 | oo |
| GRADUATE ATTRIBUTES — TOTAL | | | 15 | |

| | | | |
|---|--|-----|--|
| TOTAL | | 125 | |
| TOTAL DEDUCTIONS FOR LACK OF PREPAREDNESS (UP TO -10) | | -10 | |
| GRAND TOTAL | | 125 | |