# RARE-EARTH INTERMEDIATE PHASES WITH ZINC

G. BRUZZONE, M. L. FORNASINI AND F. MERLO Institute of Physical Chemistry, University of Genoa, Genoa (Italy) (Received March 21st, 1970)

#### SUMMARY

The existence and the structure of the rare earth -zinc intermediate phases in the range 75–88 at.% Zn has been investigated: the compositions  $MZn_3$ ,  $M_3Zn_{11}$ ,  $MZn_4$ ,  $M_{13}Zn_{58}$ ,  $MZn_5$ ,  $M_3Zn_{17}$  and  $M_3Zn_{22}$  were examined. A part of the Er–Zn system has been studied by differential thermal analysis.

The different types of structure occurring in these intermetallic phases were correlated through the coordination number of the component atoms. The trend of the rare-earth coordination number appears to be related to the existence of ranges of the different phases.

In the series of isomorphous compounds, the known, linear dependence of the lattice dimensions upon the rare-earth trivalent ionic radii is confirmed.

## INTRODUCTION

In recent years a number of reports on the alloying behaviour of the rare earths with zinc have been published. Full structural data on the MZn and  $MZn_2$  compounds are available<sup>1,2</sup>. For Zn-rich alloys, crystal structures have been found corresponding to the formulae  $M_2Zn_{17}$ ,  $MZn_{11}$ ,  $MZn_{12}$ , and  $MZn_{13}^3$ .

The alloy phase diagrams of Zn with La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Yb, and Y are known<sup>4-8</sup> and are generally characterized by a large number of intermetallic phases.

In this work, an attempt has been made to define, as completely as possible, the existence and the structure of the intermediate phases occurring in the range 75–88 at.% Zn. The compositions 1:3, 3:11, 1:4, 13:58, 1:5, 3:17 and 3:22 have been examined and a part of the Er–Zn system has been studied by differential thermal analysis (DTA).

## EXPERIMENTAL

The rare-earth metals were supplied by Michigan Co. and Gallard-Schlesinger Co., U.S.A. and were 99.5–99.9 wt.% pure; the zinc was a commercial product of 99.99 wt.% purity.

The preparation of the samples was carried out by mixing filings of the two

metals and sealing in pyrex tubes under vacuum. The powders obtained after a preliminary reaction at low temperature  $(400^{\circ}C)$  were pressed into pellets, placed in tantalum containers, and sealed in quartz tubes under a reduced pressure of argon. The samples were sintered at temperatures between  $600^{\circ}$  and  $700^{\circ}C$  or melted and annealed.

The Er–Zn alloys were studied by differential thermal analysis, being contained in molybdenum crucibles and sealed under an argon atmosphere. As no losses in weight were detected after the preparation, chemical analyses were only carried out in some cases. All the specimens were examined by metallography and X-ray diffraction. The X-ray analyses were principally carried out by the powder method using FeK $\alpha$  radiation and a 114.6 mm diam. camera, but in some cases, single-crystal techniques, using Mo or Cu $K\alpha$  radiation were employed. GANDOLFI's device<sup>9,10</sup> was always used to obtain Debye patterns from single crystals. In all cases it was confirmed that the crystals had the same composition as the nomogeneous bulk from which they were chosen.

Table I summarizes the results obtained together with the values published by other authors. Data on the observed and calculated intensities of the powder patterns referred to in the text are available from this laboratory.

#### TABLE I

Compound	Structure type	Lattice	Lattice parameters (Å)		
		a	Ь	с	
CeZn <sub>3</sub>	Amma (derived from YZn <sub>3</sub> )	6.644	4.627	10.437	This work
PrZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.655	4.589	10.380	This work
PrZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.656	4.591	10.379	4
NdZn₃	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.717	4.544	10.284	This work
$NdZn_3$	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.709	4.551	10.292	4
SmZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.725	4.489	10.199	This work
GdZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.718	4.439	10.158	This work
TbZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.690	4.411	10.104	This work
DyZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.700	4.398	10.06	I 2
HoZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.697	4.3655	10.099	I 2
ErZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.678	4.350	10.024	This work
TmZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.661	4.330	9.997	This work
LuZn3	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.650	4.300	9.974	This work
YZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	6.690	4.405	10.111	II
B – STRUCTU	ral data for $M_3Zn_1$	1 COMPOUN	IDS		

a – structural data for  $MZn_3$  compounds

Compound	Structure type	Lattice parameters (Å)			Ref.
		a	ь	С	
Ce <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>11</sub>	La <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>11</sub>	4.519	13.444	8.883	This work
Ce <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>11</sub>	$La_3Al_{11}$	4.5215	13.463	$8.88_{55}$	5
Pr <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>11</sub>	$La_3Al_{11}$	4.500	13.390	8.868	This work
Pr <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>11</sub>	$La_3Al_{11}$	4.502	13.392	8.871	4
Nd <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>11</sub>	$La_3Al_{11}$	4.485	13.318	8.855	This work
$Nd_3Zn_{11}$	$La_3Al_{11}$	4.484	13.322	8.858	4
Sm <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>11</sub>	$La_3Al_{11}$	4.452	13.179	8.838	This work
Gd <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>11</sub>	$La_3Al_{11}$	4.423	13.063	8.842	This work

J. Less-Common Metals, 22 (1970) 253-264

(continued)						
Ref.						
This work						
This work						
This work						
8						
1 [						
4						
This work						

## TABLE I (Continued)

### c - structural data for $MZn_4\ compounds$

Compound	Structure type	Lattice parameters (Å)			Ref.
		a	b	С	
LaZn <sub>4</sub>	orthorh.	6.33	10.29	6.11	This work

## $\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{structural}$ data for $M_{13}Zn_{58}$ compounds

Cempound	Structure type	Lattice param	Lattice parameters (Å)		
		a	C		
Ce13Zn58	Gd <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	14.60	14.11	5	
Pr <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	Gd13Zn58	14.57	14.15	This work	
Nd <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	Gd13Zn58	14.53	14.09	This work	
$Sm_{13}Zn_{58}$	Gd13Zn58	14.40	14.07	This work	
Gd <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	Gd <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	14.35	14.21	16	
$Tb_{13}Zn_{58}$	Gd13Zn58	14.31	14.07	This work	
Dy <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	$Gd_{13}Zn_{58}$	14.24	13.99	This work	
Ho13Zn58	Gd <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	14.21	13.97	This work	
Er <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	$Gd_{13}Zn_{58}$	14.20	13.98	This work	
$Tm_{13}Zn_{58}$	Gd <sub>18</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	14.14	13.94	This work	
Yb13Zn58	$Gd_{13}Zn_{58}$	14.32	14.15	This work	
$Lu_{13}Zn_{58}$	Gd <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>58</sub>	14.09	13.89	This work	
Y13Znas	$Gd_{13}Zn_{58}$	14.28	14.08	11	

Compound	Structure type	Lattice parameter (Å)		Ref.
		a	c	
ErZn5~	rhombohedr. R3c or R3c	15.32	27.53	This work
$ \begin{array}{c} HoZn_{5} \sim \\ TmZn_{5} \sim \\ LuZn_{5} \sim \\ YZn_{5} \sim \end{array} \right) $	isomorphous wit	h ErZn5~		This work

## ${\rm f}$ - structural data for $M_3Zn_{17}$ compounds

Ce<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>22</sub>

 $Ce_3Zn_{22}$ 

 $Ce_3Zn_{22}$ 

Nd<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>22</sub>

 $\mathrm{Sm}_3\mathrm{Zn}_{22}$ 

 $Gd_3Zn_{22}$ 

Compound	Structure type	re type Lattice parameters (A)		Ref.	
		a			
Yb <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>17</sub>	Ru <sub>3</sub> Be <sub>17</sub>	14.291 ± 0.003		This work	
G STRUCTUI	RAL DATA FOR M <sub>3</sub> Zn	22 COMPOUNDS			
Compound Structure type		Lattice parameter (Å)		Ref.	
		a	C		
La <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>22</sub>	Ce <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>22</sub>	8.97	21.48	26	
Ce <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>22</sub>	Ce <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>22</sub>	8.94	21.33	26	
Pr <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>22</sub>	Ce <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>22</sub>	8.88	21.07	26	

8.886

8.856

8.831

This work

This work

This work

21.251

21.175

21.118

## MZn<sub>3</sub> compounds

The occurrence of a MZn<sub>3</sub> phase with the orthorhombic YZn<sub>3</sub> type of structure has been established for Dy, Ho and Y<sup>11,12</sup>. Single crystal data, reported by LOTT AND CHIOTTI<sup>5</sup> show, for CeZn<sub>3</sub>, an orthorhombic *C*-centered cell with three possible space groups: *C2cm*, *Cmc2* or *Cmcm*, while the PrZn<sub>3</sub> and NdZn<sub>3</sub> phases, previously reported as isomorphous with CeZn<sub>3</sub><sup>4</sup>, appear isotypic with YZn<sub>3</sub><sup>13</sup>. Yb does not form this phase<sup>8</sup>.

The i:3 composition was examined for almost all of the rare-earth metals. The  $ErZn_3$  phase was prepared by melting in a tantalum crucible, and X-ray investigation of isolated single crystals showed symmetry, systematic extinctions, and lattice parameters consistent with the  $YZn_3$  structure type. The i:3 phases of Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd, Tb, Tm and Lu were prepared by sintering at 700°C. These compounds are also isomorphous with  $YZn_3$ . The lattice constants were obtained from powder photographs and the  $DyZn_3$  atomic parameters were used to perform the crystal structure analysis.

Samples with the composition  $LaZn_3$  were prepared, but micrographically they appeared to be heterogeneous; the powder patterns exhibited the reflections of  $LaZn_2$  (CeCu<sub>2</sub> type) and those of another phase, subsequently identified as  $LaZn_4$ . In the case of Eu, the diffraction pattern of an alloy with 78.6 at.% Zn gave the reflections of the I:2 and I:5 phases, thus excluding the occurrence of phases with intermediate composition.

Samples of CeZn<sub>3</sub>, prepared by sintering at 700°C, gave Debye-Scherrer photographs nearly identical to those of PrZn<sub>3</sub>. They were indexed according to the YZn<sub>3</sub> type and the following lattice constants (in Å) were obtained: a=6.644; b=4.627; c=10.437. On examining the powder patterns of all the MZn<sub>3</sub> compounds with the YZn<sub>3</sub> structure it is observed that the intensity of the hkl reflections with k+l=2n+1decreases from Lu to Pr. For PrZn<sub>3</sub>, very few reflections of this type are observed, while for CeZn<sub>3</sub> they are completely absent. This suggests that the atomic parameters actually shift so that a gradual approach to an A-centered lattice results. With this in mind, attempts were made by successive refinements, to determine for CeZn<sub>3</sub> the best values of the positional parameters in the space group Pnma.

The following atomic positions were obtained:

4 Ce	in 4(c): 2	x, ‡, z with	x = 0.250;	z = 0.350;
4 Zn	4(c)		x' = 0.250;	z' = 0.060;
4 Zn	4(c)		x'' = 0.945;	z'' = 0.870;
4 Zn	4(c)		x''' = 0.555;	$z^{\prime\prime\prime} = 0.870.$
	-			

These values of the parameters are consistent with the space group Amma; by means of a simple interchange of the crystallographic axes  $(xyz \rightarrow zxy)$  one obtains the symmetry of the Cmcm space group indicated by LOTT AND CHIOTTI<sup>5</sup>. The lattice constants reported by these authors (a=4.62 Å; b=10.43 Å; c=6.64 Å) actually correspond to the values obtained in the present work, provided the alternative setting of the unit cell is taken into account.

# M<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>11</sub> compounds

The existence of the  $M_3Zn_{11}$  compounds for Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Yb, and Y has been established and, except for  $Sm_3Zn_{11}$ , their lattice constants, based on a bodycentered orthorhombic cell, were already known<sup>4-6,8,11</sup>. All the above mentioned compounds were prepared together with  $Gd_3Zn_{11}$ ,  $Tb_3Zn_{11}$  and  $Dy_3Zn_{11}$ , by melting and subsequently annealing at 700°C. All these phases are isomorphous and belong to the type of structure found by GOMES DE MESQUITA AND BUSCHOW<sup>14</sup> for the La<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>11</sub> compound. This structure corresponds to that found by HARSHA AND RYBA<sup>11</sup> for  $Y_3Zn_{11}$  if two crystallographic axes (y and z) are interchanged. The space group is  $D^{25}_{2h}$ -Immm with the following atomic positions:

(0, 0, 0;  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ ) + 2 M in 2(a): 0, 0, 0; 4 M in 4(g): 0, y, 0; 0,  $\bar{y}$ , 0; 2 Zn in 2(c):  $\frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 0 4 Zn in 4(j):  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 0, z';  $\frac{1}{2}$ , 0,  $\bar{z}'$ 8 Zn in 8(l): 0, y'', z''; 0,  $\bar{y}''$ ,  $\bar{z}''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}''$ ,  $\bar{z}''$ ; 0, y'',  $\bar{z}'''$ 8 Zn in 8(l): 0, y'', z''; 1, 0,  $\bar{y}''$ ,  $\bar{z}'''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}''$ ,  $\bar{z}'''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}''$ ,  $\bar{z}'''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}'''$ ,  $\bar{z}'''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}''''$ ,  $\bar{z}'''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}'''$ ,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}'''$ ,  $\bar{z}'''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}'''$ ,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}'''$ ,  $\bar{z}'''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}'''$ ,  $\bar{z}'''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{y}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}'''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''''$ ; 0,  $\bar{z}''''''$ 

The intensities obtained from powder patterns were used for the atomic parameters refinement in the case of  $Gd_3Zn_{11}$ . The best agreement between the calculated and observed intensities correspond to the following values of the parameters:

 $y_{Gd} = 0.295$   $z'_{Zn} = 0.687$  $y''_{Zn} = 0.340$   $z''_{Zn} = 0.354$  $y'' '_{Zn} = 0.133$   $z'' '_{Zn} = 0.287$ 

This composition was also examined for Eu, Ho, Er, and Tm, but no evidence for the existence of the 3:11 phase was found. In the case of Er, its absence was confirmed by the equilibrium phase diagram. The magnetic susceptibility measurements indicate the divalency of ytterbium in  $Yb_3Zn_{11}$ .

## MZn<sub>4</sub> compounds

The results obtained in the present work are consistent with those reported in the literature<sup>4,15</sup>: the metallographic and chemical analysis confirm the existence of the  $LaZn_4$  compound. Between the 1:2 and 1:5 compositions no other phase forms with zinc.

X-ray analysis of single crystals showed orthorhombic symmetry with the following lattice constants (in Å): a=6.33; b=10.29; c=6.11.

The observed reflections obeyed the conditions h+k=2n for hkl and l=2n for hol; thus, the possible space groups are  $Cmc2_1$ , C2cm and Cmcm.

The density of the samples was found to be  $6.65 \text{ g/cm}^3$ , which is consistent with the value calculated for 4 units of formula/cell ( $6.69 \text{ g/cm}^3$ ). The photographs obtained from powders of LaZn<sub>4</sub> were similar to that of ThZn<sub>4</sub>, which has the tetragonal BaAl<sub>4</sub>-type of structure. However, splitting of several reflections was observed so that the structure of LaZn<sub>4</sub> is probably an orthorhombic distortion of the BaAl<sub>4</sub>-type.

## M<sub>13</sub>Zn<sub>58</sub> compounds

In the Ce–Zn alloy system LOTT AND CHIOTTI identified a CeZn<sub>4.5</sub> compound having hexagonal symmetry<sup>5</sup>. Phases of the same composition were found for Pr, Nd, Sm, Yb, and Y<sup>4–6.8</sup>. WANG determined the crystal structure of the Gd<sub>13</sub>Zn<sub>58</sub> compound (space group  $P6_{3}mc$ )<sup>16</sup> and LARSON AND CROMER proposed a quite similar structure for Pu<sub>13</sub>Zn<sub>58</sub> (space group  $P6_{3}/mmc$ )<sup>17</sup>. We examined this composition for all the rareearth metals from Ce to Lu, excluding Eu. The alloys were prepared by sintering at 700°C and single crystals, isolated from melted samples of Nd<sub>13</sub>Zn<sub>58</sub> and Er<sub>13</sub>Zn<sub>58</sub>, gave structural data consistent with the  $Gd_{13}Zn_{58}$  structure-type. An isomorphous phase was recognized for all the other rare earths covered. The lattice constants were determined from photographs of crystal powders of the samples mixed with KI as an internal standard. The atomic parameters calculated by WANG for  $Gd_{13}Zn_{58}$  were used for the crystal structure analysis of the isomorphous phases. As confirmed by WANG in a private communication, the *z* atomic parameter for Zn(13) is erroneously listed in Table I of his work<sup>16</sup>, the exact value being 0.362, while in Table II of his paper, the coordination numbers for Gd(1) and Gd(2) should be 17 instead of 16.

The magnetic susceptibility measurements indicate the divalency of ytterbium in  $Yb_{13}Zn_{58}$ .

## MZn<sub>5</sub> compounds

Previous work indicates that the 1:5 phase occurs for La, Ce, and Eu, and has the CaZn<sub>5</sub>-type of structure<sup>18-20</sup>; it also occurs for Y but with an unknown structure<sup>4</sup>. In no case was evidence found of the existence of a definite phase with this composition for Nd, Sm and Yb<sup>4,6,8</sup>. However, the behaviour of Pr and the remaining rare-earth metals remained unsolved. Repeated attempts were made in the course of the present work to confirm the existence of a phase near the stoichiometric composition PrZn<sub>5</sub>, but the powder photographs could not be satisfactorily interpreted. However, this is not regarded as proof of the non-existence of the compound.

Alloys of the same composition for Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Tm, Lu, and Y, were prepared by sintering or melting. In the case of Tb and Dy, the samples appeared heterogeneous and the powder patterns showed the reflections of the known 13:58 and 2:17 phases. The rare earths Ho, Er, Tm, Lu, and Y, however, form isostructural phases, the composition of which could be estimated as being very close to the 1:5 ratio, as judged from the investigation carried out on the Er–Zn alloys. A single crystal was isolated from an Er–83.3 at.% Zn alloy, which appeared from metallographic examination to be homogeneous, and was therefore employed for X-ray analysis. The Laue photographs of this crystal showed hexagonal symmetry. Rotation patterns were made with the crystal rotated about the *c* and *a* axes and the following lattice spacings were determined: a=15.32 Å; c=27.53 Å.

All the indices obtained from Weissenberg photographs satisfied the rhombohedral extinction conditions; moreover only the *hol* reflections with l=2n were observed. Therefore the possible space groups are  $R_{3c}$  and  $R_{3c}$ . It must be noted that the very weak intensity of some layer lines on the rotating crystal photographs is such as to result in a pronounced hexagonal subcell, with a=8.847 and c=9.177 Å. These values are, respectively, 1/3 and 3 times smaller than the spacings reported above.

# M<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>17</sub> compounds

IANDELLI<sup>21</sup> found a cubic Yb–Zn compound near the 1:6 composition, isotypic with CeCd<sub>6</sub><sup>22,23</sup>. The existence of an ytterbium phase between 80 and 85 at.% zinc was also reported by KuZMA and coworkers<sup>24</sup>. We examined sintered and melted samples of YbZn<sub>6</sub>; the compound has a body-centered cubic cell with a=14.291 Å, and its structure was found to be isotypic with that of Ru<sub>3</sub>Be<sub>17</sub><sup>25</sup>. The occurrence of this phase was sought for La, Pr, Sm, Eu, Gd, and Dy with Zn, but only Yb<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>17</sub> was found to exist. Magnetic susceptibility measurements indicated the divalency of ytterbium in this compound.

### RARE-EARTH INTERMEDIATE PHASES WITH ZINC

#### $M_3Zn_{22}$ compounds

The existence of a phase of this composition was reported by KRIPYAKEVICH and coworkers<sup>26</sup> for La, Ce, and Pr. The crystal structure belongs to the space group  $I_{41}/amd$  and is the same as  $Pu_3Zn_{22}^{27}$ .

The alloys with Nd, Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Er, and Y were examined in this work, and were prepared by sintering at 700°C. The 3:22 compounds exist only for Nd, Sm and Gd, and have the  $Ce_3Zn_{22}$  structure. The atomic parameters of  $Ce_3Zn_{22}$  were employed to provide intensity data and in carrying out the structural analysis.

## Er–Zn alloy system

In order to confirm the different behaviour between the lighter- and heavier rare-earth metals, several compositions were examined in the range 73.7–89.5 at.% Zn in the Er–Zn system. The X-ray diffraction data along with differential thermal and metallographic analysis were employed to establish the composition and the structure of the intermediate phases occurring in the system. The metals in the desired proportions were sealed in Mo crucibles by welding under an argon atmosphere The peritectic formation of the following phases was observed:  $ErZn_3$  (880°C),  $Er_{13}Zn_{58}$ (870°C),  $ErZn_{5\sim}$  (845°C) and  $Er_2Zn_{17}$  (818°C). The metallographic examination excluded the occurrence of other intermediate phases in this range of composition. As previously reported, single crystals of  $ErZn_3$ ,  $Er_{13}Zn_{58}$  and  $ErZn_{5\sim}$  were isolated and employed for the X-ray analysis.

## DISCUSSION

A survey of all available data is shown in Table II, in which the horizontal lines correspond to non-existence of the compounds. Yttrium has been included between Tb and Dy, according to the order of the lattice constant values in all compounds. Likewise, Yb would be placed between Nd and Sm, but it appears more logical to set Yb and Eu apart because of their divalency.

In the range 0-50 at.% Zn, no phase seems to exist in any R.E.-Zn system. All the R.E. metals form isomorphous compounds with the formula MZn (CsCl type)

TABLE II

M:Zn	Eu Yb LaCePrNdSmGdTb Y DyHoErTmLu	TYPE OF STRUCTURE
1:1	2000 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	S CsCl
1:2		~ CeCu <sub>z</sub>
1:3		"YZn, 🔯 CeZn,
1:3.67		" La,Al,
1:4		a orthorhombic
1:4.46	-87 -81111111111111111111111111111111111	" Gd <sub>13</sub> Zn <sub>5e</sub>
1:5	⊠— ∭? 🗱 -	" CaZn <sub>s</sub> 🔯 rhombohedral
1 : 5.67		" RujBe <sub>17</sub>
1:7.33	——————————————————————————————————————	" Ce,Zn,,
1:8.5		" Th <sub>2</sub> Zn, 11 Th <sub>2</sub> Ni,
1:11		" BaCd,
1:~11		" SmZn <sub>12</sub>
1:12		"ThMn
1:13		" NaZn <sub>o</sub>

RARE EARTH-ZINC INTERMEDIATE PHASES

and  $MZn_2$  (CeCu<sub>2</sub> type). For the alloys richer in Zn, wide isomorphism only occurs for  $MZn_3$  (YZn<sub>3</sub> type),  $M_{13}Zn_{58}$  (Gd<sub>13</sub>Zn<sub>58</sub> type) and  $M_2Zn_{17}$  (Th<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>17</sub> and Th<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>17</sub> type) series. Several phases exist with the R.E. metals of greater atomic dimensions:  $MZn_{13}$  (NaZn<sub>13</sub> type), for Eu, Yb and La;  $MZn_5$  (CaZn<sub>5</sub> type), for Eu, La and Ce;  $MZn_{11}$  (BaCd<sub>11</sub> type), for Eu, Yb, La, Ce, Pr and Nd;  $M_3Zn_{22}$  (Ce<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>22</sub> type), from La to Gd;  $M_3Zn_{11}$  (La<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>11</sub> type), from Ce to Dy. Two series of isomorphous compounds also exist for R.E. metals of smaller atomic sizes:  $MZn_{12}$  (Th $Mn_{12}$  type), from Sm to Lu;  $MZn_{5\sim}$  (rhombohedral, unknown structure), from Ho to Lu.

VELECKIS and coworkers found a high-temperature hexagonal modification for  $NdZn_{12}$  and  $SmZn_{12}^{28}$ . Recently, the crystal structure of the corresponding Sm phase with approximate composition  $SmZn_{11}$  was determined<sup>29</sup>.

Several structure types occurring in the rare earth-zinc phases show geometrical relations which have already been noticed by different authors<sup>3,30</sup>. In a previous investigation, we examined the relationships amongst the CeCu<sub>2</sub>, YZn<sub>3</sub>, La<sub>3</sub>Al<sub>11</sub> and BaAl<sub>4</sub> types of structure<sup>31</sup>. JOHNSON AND SMITH<sup>32</sup> surveyed the relationships amongst the structures which can be geometrically derived from the  $Dz_d$  type (CaZn<sub>5</sub>), namely, Pu<sub>3</sub>Zn<sub>22</sub>, Ce<sub>5</sub>Mg<sub>42</sub>, Th<sub>2</sub>Zn<sub>17</sub>, Th<sub>2</sub>Ni<sub>17</sub> and ThMn<sub>12</sub>. From the geometrical point of view, the crystal structures of the other rare earth-zinc phases do not appear to belong to one of these structural families.

It is well known that in the formation of intermetallic compounds the effects of the following factors are important: (a) the difference between the electronegativity of the component atoms; (b) the difference between their atomic dimensions; (c) the electron/atom concentration which the stoichiometric ratio and electronic structures provide. The extent of overlap of these factors determines the existence and the properties of a phase. Table II emphasizes the complexity of the interactions between these factors and indicates that even in a series of elements so closely similar as the lanthanides, alloying behaviour can vary considerably.

The dimensional factor can be very significant, as previous authors have pointed out for the 1:11, 1:12 and 1:13 compounds.

As the coordination of atoms characterizes the type of structure and is related to the atomic dimensions, we examined the coordination number for rare earth and zinc atoms (c.n.<sub>M</sub> and c.n.<sub>Zn</sub>, respectively) in the different phases. In defining the coordination number, the question arises as to how far the neighbouring atoms ought to be counted. The most widely employed criterion is that of FRANK AND KASPER<sup>33</sup>. This was used by us, but as a further possibility, the atomic distribution around one atom was calculated and represented by plotting the number of surrounding atoms at a distance "d" against the ratio  $d/\sum r$ , where  $\sum r = r_{central at.} + r_{surr. at.}$  (employing the Goldschmidt metallic radii for the coordination 12).

Some results are reported in Fig. r, and in all cases it will be observed that a gap in the atomic distribution occurs. The horizontal dashed lines indicate the atoms belonging to the FRANK AND KASPER coordination polyhedron. In some cases this atomic distribution plot allows the gradual variation of coordination caused by atomic parameter shifts to be considered. The coordination number may be found by counting all the atoms before the gap; where some uncertainty arises because the gap is less well marked, the counting of the atoms does not exceed  $d/\sum r=1.25$ . If a component atom is present in the unit cell with several crystallographic positions, the weighted average of the respective coordination numbers is taken.

J. Less-Common Metals, 22 (1970) 253-264



Fig. 1. Atomic distribution around the M atoms in some M-Zn phases.

Τ.	A	в	L	Ε	1	I	I

Compound	Structure type	c.n. <sub>M</sub> after Frank and Kasper	$\mathcal{C}.\mathcal{N}M$	C.M.Zn
LaZn	CsCl	14	I 4	8
LaZn <sub>2</sub>	CeCu <sub>2</sub>	16	16 📕 😳	. 10
HoZn <sub>3</sub>	YZn <sub>3</sub>	17	16	10.7
CeZn <sub>3</sub>	CeZn₃	17	17	10.3
$Gd_3Zn_{11}$	$La_3Al_{11}$	19.3	19.3	10.7
$\mathrm{Gd}_{13}\mathrm{Zn}_{58}$	Gd13Zn58	17.5	18.2	11.9
LaZn <sub>5</sub>	CaZn <sub>5</sub>	20	20	12
Pu <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>22</sub>	Pu <sub>3</sub> Zn <sub>22</sub>	20	20	12
Gd <sub>2</sub> Zn <sub>17</sub>	$Th_2Zn_{17}$	20	20	12.2
LaZn <sub>11</sub>	BaCd <sub>11</sub>	22	22	12.2
YZn <sub>12</sub>	$ThMn_{12}$	20	20	12.7
NaZn <sub>13</sub>	NaZn <sub>13</sub>	24	24	12

COORDINATION NUMBERS FOR THE M-ZII PHASE	ЗS
--	----

A comparison of the two values obtained in this way with the FRANK AND KASPER criterion shows very good agreement, as may be seen from Table III.

Figure 2 shows, graphically, the trend of the coordination numbers vs. the logarithm of the zinc/rare earth stoichiometric ratio (log m). The coordination number of zinc, c.n.zn, gradually increases from 8 (1:1 phase) to 11.9 (13:58 phase); it then remains constant at this value up to the phase richest in zinc (1:13). The coordination

number of the R.E. atom,  $c.n._M$ , increases with zinc content. It is noteworthy that the  $c.n._M$  values reported in Fig. 2 for the 1:1, 1:2, 1:3, 13:58 and 2:17 phases, which are formed for almost all the R.E. elements, show a regular and nearly linear trend. This suggests that the  $c.n._M$  values for the other phases, which do not lie on this line, can be considered abnormally high or low. In effect, the compounds 3:11, 1:5 (CaZn<sub>5</sub> type), 3:22, 1:11 and 1:13 which have higher  $c.n._M$  values correspond to a range of existence limited to the larger rare-earth atoms, while the compounds 1:12, having lower  $c.n._M$  values, exist only for the smaller rare-earth atoms. The crystal structure determination for the 1:5 phase formed from Ho to Lu will establish whether this case agrees with the  $c.n._M$  trend.



Fig. 2. A plot of the coordination numbers of R.E.(c.n.\_M) and Zn(c.n.\_zn) atoms as a function of the Zn/R.E. stoichiometric ratio.

It is clear that the relationship between the coordination number and the range of existence of a phase provides only rough qualitative information. For example, La shows a particular behaviour because it does not form the 1:3, 3:11 and 13:58 phases, but is the only one to form a 1:4 compound. Moreover, Yb does not form the 1:5 (CaZn<sub>5</sub> type) phase and is the only R.E. to form a 3:17 phase. Its behaviour cannot be explained by simple dimensional considerations.

If one examines the behaviour of R.E. atoms in every series of isomorphous compounds, it is found that in almost all cases the lattice dimensions are linearly related to the trivalent ionic radius of the R.E. elements. This was previously noted by IANDELLI<sup>1,34,35</sup> for several series of intermetallic and semimetallic R.E. compounds. The plots of the cube root of the cell volume divided by the number of R.E. atoms in the elementary cell  $(\sqrt[3]{V/n_M})$  vs. the ionic radii  $r_M^{3+}$  shown in Fig. 3 enable the dimensional variations in the different isostructural series to be compared. A linear trend is observed, with decreasing slope from the I:I to the I:II phases, except for the MZn<sub>12</sub> compounds for which the influence of the R.E. ionic size appears to be very weak, the points lying on a smooth and rather flat curve.



Fig. 3. Cubic root of the unit cell volume (V) divided by the number of R.E. atoms  $(n_M)$  vs. R.E. trivalent ionic radii.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Prof. A. IANDELLI for his valuable criticism and helpful suggestions.

This work was carried out with the financial support of the Italian Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche.

REFERENCES

- 1 A. IANDELLI AND A. PALENZONA, J. Less-Common Metals, 9 (1965) 1.
- 2 M. L. FORNASINI AND F. MERLO, Atti Accad. Nazl. Lincei, Rend. Classe Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. 43 (1967) 357.
- 3 A. IANDELLI AND A. PALENZONA, J. Less-Common Metals, 12 (1967) 333.
- 4 E. VELECKIS, R. V. SCHABLASKE, I. JOHNSON AND H. M. FEDER, Trans. AIME, 239 (1967) 58.
- 5 B. G. LOTT AND P. CHIOTTI, Acta Cryst., 20 (1966) 733.
- 6 P. CHIOTTI AND J. T. MASON, Trans. AIME, 239 (1967) 547.
- 7 P. CHIOTTI, J. T. MASON AND K. J. GILL, Trans. AIME, 227 (1963) 910.
- 8 J. T. MASON AND P. CHIOTTI, Trans. AIME, 242 (1968) 1167.
- 9 G. GANDOLFI, Mineral. Petrogr. Acta, 10 (1964) 149.
- 10 G. GANDOLFI, Mineral. Petrogr. Acta, 13 (1967) 67.
- 11 K. S. SREE HARSHA AND E. RYBA, personal communication.
- 12 D. J. MICHEL AND E. RYBA, Acta Cryst., B24 (1968) 1267.
- 13 P. CHIOTTI AND J. T. MASON, personal communication.
- 14 A. H. GOMES DE MESQUITA AND K. H. J. BUSCHOW, Acta Cryst., 22 (1967) 497.
- 15 M. HANSEN, Constitution of Binary Alloys, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1958.
- 16 F. E. WANG, Acta Cryst., 22 (1967) 579.
- 17 A. C. LARSON AND D. T. CROMER, Acta Cryst., 23 (1967) 70.
- 18 H. NOWOTNY, Z. Metalk., 34 (1942) 247.
- 19 E. I. GLADYSHEVSKII, P. I. KRIPYAKEVICH, M. Y. TESLYUK, O. S. ZARECHNYUK AND YU. B. KUZ'MA, Kristallografiya, 6 (1961) 267.
- 20 A. IANDELLI AND A. PALENZONA, Atti. Accad. Nazl. Lincei, Rend. Classe Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. 37 (1964) 165.
- 21 A. IANDELLI, personal communication.
- 22 A IANDELLI AND R. FERRO, Gazz. Chim. Ital., 84 (1954) 463.
- 23 I. JOHNSON, R. SCHABLASKE, B. TANI AND K. ANDERSON, Trans. AIME, 230 (1964) 1485.
- 24 YU. B. KUZ'MA, P. I. KRIPYAKEVICH AND D. P. FRANKEVICH, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Neorg. Mater., 1 (1965) 1547.
- 25 D. E. SANDS, Q. C. JOHNSON, O. H. KRIKORIAN AND K. L. KROMHOLTZ, Acta Cryst., 15 (1962) 1191.
- 26 P. I. KRIPYAKEVICH, YU. B. KUZ'MA AND N. S. UGRIN, Zh. Strukt. Khim., 8 (1967) 703.
- 27 Q. JOHNSON, D. H. WOOD AND G. S. SMITH, Acta Cryst., B24 (1968) 480.
- 28 E. VELECKIS, R. V. SCHABLASKE AND B. S. TANI, Argonne Nat. Lab., Rept. ANL-7225, 1966.
- 29 J. T. MASON, K. S. SREE HARSHA AND P. CHIOTTI, Submitted to Acta Cryst.
- 30 K. SCHUBERT, Kristallstrukturen zweikomponentiger Phasen, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964.
- 31 G. BRUZZONE, M. L. FORNASINI AND F. MERLO, Colloque Internat. du C.N.R.S., Paris-Grenoble, Mai, 1969.
- 32 Q. JOHNSON AND G. S. SMITH, Lawrence Radiation Lab. Rept. UCRL-71094, 1968.
- 33 F. C. FRANK AND J. S. KASPER, Acta Cryst., 11 (1958) 184; 12 (1959) 483.
- 34 A. IANDELLI, Atti Accad. Nazl. Lincei, Rend. Classe Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. 37 (1964) 160.
- 35 A. IANDELLI AND A. PALENZONA, J. Less-Common Metals, 15 (1968) 273.

J. Less-Common Metals, 22 (1970) 253-264