

Comment on “Bounds on the number of functions satisfying the Strict Avalanche Criterion”

A.M. Youssef, S.E. Tavares *

Department Of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada K7L 3N6

Received 1 May 1996

Communicated by S.G. Akl

Abstract

The Strict Avalanche Criterion (SAC) was introduced by Webster and Tavares (1995) in a study of design criteria for certain cryptographic functions. O'Connor (1994) gave an upper bound for the number of functions satisfying the SAC. Cusick (1996) gave a lower bound for the number of functions satisfying the SAC. He also gave a conjecture that provided an improvement of the lower bound. We give a constructive proof for this conjecture. Moreover, we provide an improved lower bound.

Keywords: Cryptography; Strict Avalanche Criterion; Boolean functions; Enumeration; Combinatorial problems

Notation. Throughout this paper, let

$f_n : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ describe a boolean function with n input variables.

$V = \{\mathbf{v}_i \mid 0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1\}$ denotes the set of vectors in \mathbb{Z}_2^n in lexicographical order. A boolean function $f_n(\mathbf{x})$ is specified by $f_n(\mathbf{x}) = [b_0, b_1, \dots, b_{2^n-1}]$, where $b_i = f_n(\mathbf{v}_i)$.

\mathbf{e} denotes any element of \mathbb{Z}_2^n with Hamming weight 1. Let $\mathbf{e}, \mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1}$ denote the $n-1$ least significant bits of \mathbf{e} and \mathbf{v}_i respectively.

\mathbf{a} denotes any element of \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} with odd Hamming weight.

$g_{n-1} : \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes the boolean function $\mathbf{1} \cdot \mathbf{x} \oplus b$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ where $\mathbf{1}$ denotes the all ones vector in \mathbb{Z}_2^{n-1} , \cdot denotes the dot product operation over \mathbb{Z}_2 and \oplus denotes the XOR operation. It is easy to see that g_{n-1} satisfies

$$g_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}) = g_{n-1}(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus \mathbf{1}. \quad (1)$$

$MSB(\cdot)$ denotes the most significant bit of the enclosed argument.

\mathcal{SAE}^n denotes the number of functions with n input bits that satisfy the SAC.

* Corresponding author. Email: tavares@ee.queensu.ca.

Definition 1 [8]. A boolean function $f_n : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is said to satisfy the SAC if complementing a single input bit results in changing the output bit with probability exactly one half, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} f_n(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus f_n(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}) = 2^{n-1}, \quad (2)$$

for all \mathbf{e} .

Definition 2 [4,5]. A linear structure of a boolean function $f_n : \mathbb{Z}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is identified as a vector $\mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_2^n$ such that $f_n(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{c}) \oplus f_n(\mathbf{v}_i)$ takes the same value (0 or 1) for all i , $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$.

The following conjecture is given in [2] without proof. This conjecture implies that there are at least 2^{2^n-1} boolean functions of n variables which satisfy the SAC.

Conjecture [2]. Given any choice of the values $f_n(\mathbf{v}_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$, there exists a choice of $f_n(\mathbf{v}_i)$, $2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$, such that the resulting function $f_n(\mathbf{x})$ satisfies the SAC.

We prove this conjecture below. After completing our proof, we learned that Cusick and Stănică [3] independently proved the conjecture. Also, Biss [1] has proved a much stronger result by a much more complicated argument. If we let $L_n = \log_2 \mathcal{SAC}^n / 2^n$, $L = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} L_n$, then Biss proved that $L = 1$. The conjecture, of course, only says that $L_n \geq 1/2$.

For $n = 1$, it is trivial to show that if $f_1(1) = f_1(0) \oplus 1$ then the resulting function satisfies the SAC. In the following lemma we prove that, for $n \geq 2$, there exist at least two choices for $f_n(\mathbf{v}_i)$, $2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$, such that the resulting function satisfies the SAC.

Lemma 3. Let $f_n = [h_{n-1}[h_{n-1} \oplus g_{n-1}]]$, where h_{n-1} is an arbitrary boolean function with $n-1$ input variables, $n \geq 2$, and g_{n-1} is constructed as above to satisfy Eq. (1). Then f_n satisfies the SAC.

Proof. Case 1: $MSB(\mathbf{e}) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} f_n(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus f_n(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} f_n(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus f_n(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}) + \sum_{i=2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1} f_n(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus f_n(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}) \oplus g_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus g_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \overline{(h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{e}))} = 2^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $MSB(e) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} f_n(v_i) \oplus f_n(v_i \oplus e) &= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} f_n(v_i) \oplus f_n(v_i \oplus e) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \oplus g_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} g_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) = 2^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

From Lemma 1, and by noting that we have two choices for g_n , we conclude that, for $n \geq 2$, the number of function satisfying the SAC is lower bounded by $2^{2^{n-1}+1}$. Using the following lemma, one can provide some improvement to the above bound.

Lemma 4. *Let $f_n = [h_{n-1}[l_{n-1} \oplus g_{n-1}]]$, where h_{n-1} is an arbitrary boolean function with $n-1$ input variables, $l_{n-1}(x) = h_{n-1}(x \oplus a)$, $n \geq 2$, and g_{n-1} is constructed as above to satisfy Eq. (1). Then f_n satisfies the SAC.*

Proof. Case 1: $MSB(e) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} f_n(v_i) \oplus f_n(v_i \oplus e) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} f_n(v_i) \oplus f_n(v_i \oplus e) + \sum_{i=2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1} f_n(v_i) \oplus f_n(v_i \oplus e) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i \oplus \dot{e}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i \oplus a) \oplus h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i \oplus a \oplus \dot{e}) \oplus g_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \oplus g_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i \oplus \dot{e}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i \oplus \dot{e}) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \overline{(h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i \oplus \dot{e}))} = 2^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $MSB(e) = 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{2^n-1} f_n(v_i) \oplus f_n(v_i \oplus e) &= 2 \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i \oplus a) \oplus g_{n-1}(\dot{v}_i) \end{aligned}$$

Table 1

Exact number of functions satisfying SAC versus the derived lower bounds

| n | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|--------------------------------|---|----|------|----------|
| $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ | 4 | 8 | 128 | 4992 |
| Old bound [2] | 2 | 4 | 16 | 256 |
| New bound (exp. (3)) | 8 | 64 | 1536 | 1099776 |
| New bound (exp. (4)) | 8 | 64 | 1920 | 1157568 |
| Exact number | 8 | 64 | 4128 | 27522560 |

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus g_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) \oplus g_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{a}) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) \oplus h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus g_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) \\
&\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) \oplus g_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) = 2^{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

Note that if the function f_{n-1} does not have any linear structures, then all the functions generated by $l_{n-1} \oplus g_{n-1}$ will be unique for all the 2^{n-2} choices of \mathbf{a} . From Lemmas 3 and 4 we have $2^{n-1} + 2$ distinct choices for $f_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i)$, $2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$. Thus we have the following corollary:

Corollary 5. *The number of functions satisfying the SAC is lower bounded by*

$$(2^{2^{n-1}} - \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n-1})(2^{n-1} + 2) + 2\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n-1} \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n-1}$ is the number of functions with $n-1$ input bits having any linear structure. A complicated formula for $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^n$ is given in [7]. It can also be shown [7] that $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^n$ is asymptotic to $(2^n - 1)2^{2^{n-1}+1}$.

One should note that while this bound provides some improvement over the proved bound in [2], exhaustive search (see Table 1) shows that the quality of this bound degrades as n increases. One can improve this bound slightly by identifying special classes of functions $f_n(\mathfrak{v}_i)$, $0 \leq i \leq 2^{n-1} - 1$ for which there is a large number of choices for $f_n(\mathfrak{v}_i)$, $2^{n-1} \leq i \leq 2^n - 1$ such that the resulting function, f_n , satisfies the SAC. For example, if the function h_{n-1} satisfies the SAC, then the function $f_n = [h_{n-1}[h_{n-1} \oplus \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{b}]]$, $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ also satisfies the SAC. Thus our bound is slightly improved to

$$(2^{2^{n-1}} - \mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n-1} - \mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}^{n-1})(2^{n-1} + 2) + 2^n \mathcal{S}\mathcal{A}\mathcal{E}^{n-1} + 2\mathcal{L}\mathcal{S}^{n-1}. \quad (4)$$

We now give a lower bound on the number of balanced functions that satisfy the SAC.

Lemma 6. *Let $f_n = [h_{n-1}[l_{n-1} \oplus g_{n-1}]]$, where h_{n-1} is an arbitrary boolean function with $n-1$ input variables that satisfies $\sum_{\text{wt}(\mathfrak{v}_i) \text{ odd}} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) = 2^{n-3}$, $l_{n-1}(\mathbf{x}) = h(\mathbf{x} \oplus \mathbf{a})$, $n \geq 2$, and g_{n-1} is constructed as above to satisfy Eq. (1). Then f_n is a balanced function that satisfies the SAC.*

Proof. From Lemma 6, it follows that f_n satisfies the SAC. Here we will prove that f_n is a balanced function.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{2^n-1} f_n(\mathfrak{v}_i) &= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{a}) \oplus g_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i) \oplus g_{n-1}(\mathfrak{v}_i \oplus \mathbf{a})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) + \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} \overline{h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i)} \oplus 1 \cdot \mathbf{v}_i \\
&= \sum_{\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}_i) \text{ even}}^{2^{n-1}-1} (h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) + \overline{h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i)}) + 2 \sum_{\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}_i) \text{ odd}}^{2^{n-1}-1} h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) = 2^{n-2} + 2 \cdot 2^{n-3} = 2^{n-1},
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. \square

Similarly, one can also show that the function $f_n = [h_{n-1}[h_{n-1} \oplus g_{n-1}]]$ where h_{n-1} is an arbitrary boolean function that satisfies $\sum_{\text{wt}(\mathbf{v}_i) \text{ even}} h_{n-1}(\mathbf{v}_i) = 2^{n-3}$ is a balanced function that satisfies the SAC. From the lemma above, it follows that the number of balanced SAC functions is lower bounded by

$$\binom{2^{n-2}}{2^{n-3}} 2^{2^{n-2}+1}. \quad (5)$$

References

- [1] D.K. Biss, A lower bound on the number of functions satisfying the Strict Avalanche Criterion, Submitted.
- [2] T.W. Cusick, Bounds on the number of functions satisfying the Strict Avalanche Criterion, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **57** (1996) 261–263.
- [3] T.W. Cusick and P. Stănică, Bounds on the number of functions satisfying the Strict Avalanche Criterion, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **60** (1996) 215–219.
- [4] J.H. Evertse, Linear structures in block ciphers, in: *Advances in Cryptology: Proc. of EUROCRYPT'87* (Springer, Berlin, 1988) 249–266.
- [5] W. Meier and O. Staffelbach, Nonlinearity criteria for cryptographic functions, in: *Advances in Cryptology: Proc. of EUROCRYPT'89* (Springer, Berlin, 1990) 549–562.
- [6] L.J. O'Connor, An upper bound on the number of functions satisfying the Strict Avalanche Criterion, *Inform. Process. Lett.* **52** (1994) 325–327.
- [7] L.J. O'Connor and A. Klapper, Algebraic nonlinearity and its application to cryptography, *J. Cryptology* **7** (1994) 213–227.
- [8] A.F. Webster and S.E. Tavares, On the design of S-boxes, in: *Advances in Cryptology: Proc. of CRYPTO'85* (Springer, Berlin, 1986) 523–534.