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## LOGIC

Introduction. First we introduce some basic concepts needed in our discussion of logic. These will be covered in more detail later.

A set is a collection of "objects" (or "elements").

## EXAMPLES :

- the infinite set of all integers : $\mathbb{Z} \equiv\{\cdots,-2,-1,0,1,2,3, \cdots\}$.
- the infinite set of all positive integers: $\mathbb{Z}^{+} \equiv\{1,2,3, \cdots\}$.
- the infinite set $\mathbb{R}$ of all real numbers.
- the finite set $\{T, F\}$, where $T$ denotes "True" and $F$ "False".
- the finite set of alphabetic characters : $\{a, b, c, \cdots, z\}$.

A function (or "map", or "operator") is a rule that associates to every element of a set one element in another set.

## EXAMPLES :

- If $S_{1}=\{a, b, c\}$ and $S_{2}=\{1,2\}$ then the associations

$$
a \mapsto 2, \quad b \mapsto 1, \quad c \mapsto 2,
$$

define a function $f$ from $S_{1}$ to $S_{2}$. We write

$$
f: S_{1} \longrightarrow S_{2}
$$

- Similarly $f(n)=n^{2}$ defines a function $f: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
- $f(n)=n^{2}$ can also be viewed as a function $f: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$.


## EXAMPLE :

Let $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ denote the infinite set of all polynomial functions $p(x)$ of degree $n$ or less with integer coefficients.

- The derivative operator $D$ restricted to elements of $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ can be viewed as a function from $\mathbb{P}_{n}$ to $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$,

$$
D: \mathbb{P}_{n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{n-1}, \quad D: p \mapsto \frac{d p}{d x}
$$

For example, if $p(x)=x^{3}+2 x+1$, then

$$
D: x^{3}+2 x+1 \mapsto 3 x^{2}+2,
$$

i.e.,

$$
D\left(x^{3}+2 x+1\right)=3 x^{2}+2 .
$$

## EXAMPLE :

- We can also define functions of several variables, e.g.,

$$
f(x, y)=x+y
$$

can be viewed as a function "from $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$cross $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$into $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$".

We write

$$
f: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

## Basic logical operators.

The basic logical operators

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\wedge & (\text { "and" }, \text { "conjunction") } \\
\vee & (\text { "or" }, \\
\neg & \text { "disjunction") } \\
\neg & (\text { "not" },
\end{array}
$$

are defined in the tables below :

| $p$ | $\neg p$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ |


| $p$ | $q$ | $p \vee q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |


| $p$ | $q$ | $p \wedge q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | T | $T$ |
| $T$ | F | $F$ |
| $F$ | T | $F$ |
| $F$ | F | $F$ |

Let $\mathbb{B} \equiv\{T, F\}$. Then we can view $\neg, \vee$, and $\wedge$, as functions

$$
\neg: \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}, \quad \vee: \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}, \quad \wedge: \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}
$$

We can also view the arithmetic operators,-+ , and $\times$, as functions

$$
-: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad+: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}, \quad *: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

defined by value tables, for example,

| $x$ | $-x$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |
| -2 | 2 |
| -1 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 |
| 1 | -1 |
| 2 | -2 |
| $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |

## Logical expressions.

A logical expression (or "proposition") $P(p, q, \cdots)$ is a function

$$
P: \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B} .
$$

For example,

$$
P_{1}(p, q) \equiv p \vee \neg q \quad \text { and } \quad P_{2}(p, q, r) \equiv p \wedge(q \vee r)
$$

are logical expressions.

Here

$$
P_{1}: \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B},
$$

and

$$
P_{2}: \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{B} \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}
$$

The values of a logical expression can be listed in a truth table .

## EXAMPLE:

| $p$ | $q$ | $\neg q$ | $p \vee(\neg q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |

Analogously, arithmetic expressions such as

$$
A_{1}(x, y) \equiv x+(-y) \quad \text { and } \quad A_{2}(x, y, z) \equiv x \times(y+z)
$$

can be considered as functions
$A_{1}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad$ and $\quad A_{2}: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
or, equivalently,

$$
A_{1}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \text { and } \quad A_{2}: \mathbb{R}^{3} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

Two propositions are equivalent if they always have the same values. EXAMPLE:

$$
\neg(p \vee q) \quad \text { is equivalent to } \quad \neg p \wedge \neg q,
$$

(one of de Morgan's laws), as can be seen in the table below :

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \vee q$ | $\neg(p \vee q)$ | $\neg p$ | $\neg q$ | $\neg p \wedge \neg q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |

## NOTE :

In arithmetic

$$
-(x+y) \text { is equivalent to } \quad(-x)+(-y)
$$

i.e., we do not have that

$$
-(x+y) \quad \text { is equivalent to } \quad(-x) \times(-y)
$$

Thus the analogy between logic and arithmetic is limited.

The three basic logical operators $\neg, \vee$, and $\wedge$, are all we need.

However, it is very convenient to introduce some additional operators, much like in arithmetic, where we write $x^{3}$ to denote $x \times(x \times x)$.

Three such additional operators are

| $\oplus$ | "exclusive or" |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\rightarrow$ | "conditional", "if then" |
| $\leftrightarrow$ | "biconditional", "if and only if", "iff" |

defined as:

| $p$ | $q$ | $p \oplus q$ | $p \rightarrow q$ | $p \leftrightarrow q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |

## EXAMPLE :

Suppose two persons, P and Q, are suspected of committing a crime.

- Let $P$ denote the statement by P that

> "Q did it, or we did it together".

- Let $Q$ denote the statement by Q that
"P did it, or if I did it then we did it together".
- Suppose we know P always tells the truth and Q always lies.

Who committed the crime?

NOTE : By "did it" we mean "was involved".

Let $p$ denote " P did it" and let $q$ denote " Q did it".
Then $p$ and $q$ are logical variables.

We are given that the value of the logical expression

$$
q \vee(p \wedge q) \quad \text { is True }
$$

and that

$$
p \vee(q \rightarrow(p \wedge q)) \quad \text { is False. }
$$

Equivalently we have that the value of the logical expression
is True.

$$
\neg(p \vee(q \rightarrow(p \wedge q))) \wedge(q \vee(p \wedge q))
$$

Our problem is to find for what values of $p$ and $q$ this is the case.

As an analogy from arithmetic, consider the problem of finding the values of $x$ and $y$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ so that
the value of the arithmetic expression $x^{2}+y$ is 5 ,
and such that

$$
\text { the value of } x+y \text { is } 3 \text {, }
$$

i.e., we want to find all solutions of the the simultaneous equations

$$
x^{2}+y=5, \quad x+y=3 .
$$

(How many solutions are there ?)

For the "crime problem" we have the truth table

| $p$ | $q$ | $\neg(p$ | $\vee(q$ | $\rightarrow$ | $(p \wedge q)))$ | $\wedge(q$ | $\vee$ | $(p \wedge q))$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(6)$ | $(5)$ | $(4)$ | $(3)$ | $(9)$ | $(8)$ | $(7)$ |

The order of evaluation has been indicated at the bottom of the table.
The values of the entire expression are in column (9).
We observe that the expression is True only if $p=F$ and $q=T$.
Therefore Q was involved in the crime, but P was not.

## EXERCISE :

Consider the logical expression in the preceding "crime" example.

Find a much simpler, equivalent logical expression.
(It must have precisely the same values as listed in column "(9)".)

## EXERCISE :

Suppose three persons, P, Q, and R, are suspects in a crime.

- P states that "Q or $R$, or both, were involved".
- Q states that "P or R, or both, were involved".
- R states that "P or Q, but not both, were involved".
- Suppose P and Q always tell the truth, while R always lies.

Who were involved in the crime?

NOTE : there may be more than one solution ...

## EXERCISE :

Construct a truth table for the logical expression

$$
(p \wedge(\neg(\neg p \vee q))) \vee(p \wedge q) .
$$

Based on the truth table find a simpler, equivalent logical expression.

A contradiction is a logical expression whose value is always False . For example $p \wedge \neg p$ is a contradiction :

| $p$ | $\neg p$ | $p \wedge \neg p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |

A tautology is a logical expression that is always True .
For example $p \vee \neg p$ is a tautology :

| $p$ | $\neg p$ | $p \vee \neg p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |

A logical expression that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction is called a contingency.

## EXERCISE :

Verify by truth table that the following expressions are tautologies :

$$
\begin{gathered}
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \rightarrow q \\
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow \neg p
\end{gathered}
$$

## NOTATION :

We use the symbol " $\Rightarrow$ " to indicate that a conditional statement is a tautology.

For example, from the preceding exercise we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \Rightarrow q \quad, \quad(" \text { modus ponens") }, \\
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q) \Rightarrow \neg p \quad, \quad(" \text { modus tollens") } .
\end{gathered}
$$

As another example we show that

$$
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge(\neg p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow r
$$

is a tautology.

| $p$ | $q$ | $r$ | $((p \rightarrow q)$ | $\wedge$ | $(\neg p$ | $\rightarrow r)$ | $\wedge$ | $(q \rightarrow r))$ | $\rightarrow$ | $r$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $(1)$ | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(5)$ | $(9)$ | $(6)$ | $(7)$ | $(10)$ | $(8)$ | $(11)$ | $(4)$ |

The last column (11) consist of True values only. Therefore we can write

$$
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge(\neg p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow r
$$

QUESTION : Does it matter which of the two $\wedge$ 's is evaluated first?

## EXAMPLE :

Here we illustrate another technique that can sometimes be used to show that a conditional statement is a tautology.

Consider again the logical expression

$$
P(p, q, r) \Longleftrightarrow(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(\neg p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r)
$$

We want to show that

$$
P(p, q, r) \Rightarrow r,
$$

i.e., that

$$
P(p, q, r) \rightarrow r \text { always has value True. }
$$

NOTE: We need only show that:

We can't have that $P(p, q, r)$ is True, while $r$ is False.

So suppose that $P(p, q, r)$ is True, while $r$ is False .
(We must show that this cannot happen!)
Thus all three of
(a) $\quad p \rightarrow q$
(b) $\neg p \rightarrow F$
and
(c) $\quad q \rightarrow F$
have value True .
(c) can only have value True if $q=F$.
(b) can only have value True if $\neg p=F$, i.e., if $p=T$.

But then (a) becomes $T \rightarrow F$ which has value $F$.
So indeed, not all three, (a), (b), and (c) can have value True .
QED! ("quod erat demonstrandum": "which was to be shown")

## NOTE:

This was an example of a proof by contradiction.
( We will give more examples later ... )

## EXERCISE :

Use a proof "by contradiction" to prove the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \Rightarrow q \\
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q) \Rightarrow \neg p
\end{gathered}
$$

( Already done by truth table. )

## SOLUTIONS :

$$
(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p \Rightarrow q
$$

PROOF: Suppose the LHS is True, but the RHS is False.
Thus $p \rightarrow q$ and $p$ have value True, but $q$ is False .
Since $p \rightarrow q$ and $p$ are True it follows that $q$ is True .
But this contradicts the assumption that $q$ is False .
QED!

$$
(p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p
$$

PROOF: Suppose the LHS is True, but the RHS is False.
Thus $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q$ are True, but $\neg p$ is False .
Thus $p \rightarrow q$ is True, $q$ is False, and $p$ is True.
Since $p \rightarrow q$ is True and $q$ is False it follows that $p$ is False .
But this contradicts the assumption that $\neg p$ is False .
QED!

## EXERCISE :

Also use a "direct proof" to prove that

$$
\begin{gathered}
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge p) \Rightarrow q \\
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q) \Rightarrow \neg p
\end{gathered}
$$

( In a direct proof one assumes that the LHS is True and then one shows that the RHS must be True also. )

## SOLUTIONS :

$$
(p \rightarrow q) \wedge p \Rightarrow q
$$

PROOF: Suppose the LHS is True.
Thus $p \rightarrow q$ and $p$ have value True .
Since $p \rightarrow q$ and $p$ are True it follows that $q$ is True .
Thus the RHS is True. QED!

$$
(p \rightarrow q) \wedge \neg q \Rightarrow \neg p
$$

PROOF: Suppose the LHS is True.
Thus $p \rightarrow q$ and $\neg q$ are True .
Thus $p \rightarrow q$ is True and and $q$ is False.
It follows that $p$ is False .
Thus the RHS is True . QED!

## NOTATION :

From the definition of the $\leftrightarrow$ operator we see that logical expressions

$$
P_{1}(p, q, \cdots) \quad \text { and } \quad P_{2}(p, q, \cdots),
$$

are equivalent if and only if

$$
P_{1}(p, q, \cdots) \leftrightarrow P_{2}(p, q, \cdots)
$$

is a tautology.

In this case we write

$$
P_{1}(p, q, \cdots) \Longleftrightarrow P_{2}(p, q, \cdots) .
$$

## EXAMPLE :

$$
\neg(p \wedge q) \quad \text { is equivalent to } \quad \neg p \vee \neg q,
$$

i.e.,

$$
\neg(p \wedge q) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(\neg p \vee \neg q)
$$

as seen in the truth table

| $p$ | $q$ | $\neg$ | $(p \wedge q)$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p$ | $\vee$ | $\neg q)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |

The operators

$$
\oplus \quad, \quad \rightarrow \quad, \quad \text { and } \quad \leftrightarrow,
$$

can be expressed in terms of the basic operators

$$
\neg, \wedge, \quad \text { and } \vee,
$$

as we will now verify :

| $p$ | $q$ | $(p \oplus q)$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $((p \vee q)$ | $\wedge$ | $\neg$ | $(p \wedge q))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |


| $p$ | $q$ | $(p \rightarrow q)$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $(q$ | $\vee$ | $\neg p)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |  |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |  |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |  |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |  | $T$ | $T$ |


| $p$ | $q$ | $(p \leftrightarrow q)$ | $\leftrightarrow$ | $((q \vee \neg p)$ | $\wedge(p$ | $\vee$ | $\neg q))$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |

Thus we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p \oplus q \Longleftrightarrow(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q), \\
& p \rightarrow q \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad q \vee \neg p, \\
& p \leftrightarrow q \Longleftrightarrow(q \vee \neg p) \wedge(p \vee \neg q)
\end{aligned}
$$

It also follows that

$$
p \leftrightarrow q \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow p) .
$$

Basic logical equivalences.

The fundamental logical equivalences ("laws") are :

| $p \vee q \Longleftrightarrow q \vee p$ | $p \wedge q \Longleftrightarrow q \wedge p$ | commutative law |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p \vee(q \wedge r) \Longleftrightarrow$$(p \vee q) \wedge(p \vee r)$ | $p \wedge(q \vee r) \Longleftrightarrow$ <br> $(p \wedge q) \vee(p \wedge r)$ | distributive law |
| $p \vee F \Longleftrightarrow p$ | $p \wedge T \Longleftrightarrow p$ | identity law |
| $p \vee \neg p \Longleftrightarrow T$ | $p \wedge \neg p \Longleftrightarrow F$ | complement law |

Some useful additional laws are :

| $\neg T \Longleftrightarrow F$ | $\neg F \Longleftrightarrow T$ | negation law |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $p \vee p \Longleftrightarrow p$ | $p \wedge p \Longleftrightarrow p$ | idempotent law |
| $p \vee T \Longleftrightarrow T$ | $p \wedge F \Longleftrightarrow F$ | domination law |
| $p \vee(p \wedge q) \Longleftrightarrow p$ | $p \wedge(p \vee q) \Longleftrightarrow p$ | absorption law |

NOTE : Remember the absorption laws : they can be very useful!

Some more laws are :

| $(p \vee q) \vee r \Longleftrightarrow p \vee(q \vee r)$ | $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \Longleftrightarrow p \wedge(q \wedge r)$ | associative law |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\neg(p \vee q) \Longleftrightarrow \neg p \wedge \neg q$ | $\neg(p \wedge q) \Longleftrightarrow \neg p \vee \neg q$ | de Morgan |
| $\neg(\neg p) \Longleftrightarrow p$ |  | double negation |
| $p \rightarrow q \Longleftrightarrow \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$ |  | contrapositive |

- All laws of logic can in principle be proved using truth tables.
- To illustrate the axiomatic nature of the fundamental laws, we prove an additional law using only the fundamental laws.
- At every step the fundamental law used will be indicated.
- Once proved, additional laws may be used in further proofs.

EXAMPLE : Proof of the idempotent law

$$
p \vee p \Longleftrightarrow p
$$

| $p$ | $\Longleftrightarrow p \vee F$ | identity law |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow p \vee(p \wedge \neg p)$ | complement law |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow(p \vee p) \wedge(p \vee \neg p)$ | distributive law |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow(p \vee p) \wedge T$ | complement law |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow p \vee p$ | identity law |

## NOTE :

- Proving additional laws, using only the fundamental laws, is not as easy as one might expect, because we have very few tools available.
- However after proving some of these additional equivalences we have a more powerful set of laws.


## Simplification of logical expressions.

It is useful to simplify logical expressions as much as possible.

This is much like in arithmetic where, for example, the expression

$$
x^{3}+3 x^{2} y+3 x y^{2}+y^{3}
$$

is equivalent to

$$
(x+y)^{3} .
$$

EXAMPLE : Reconsider the logical expression

$$
\neg(p \vee(q \rightarrow(p \wedge q))) \wedge(q \vee(p \wedge q))
$$

from the "crime example".

It is equivalent to the much simpler logical expression

$$
\neg p \wedge q,
$$

because it has the same truth table values :

| $p$ | $q$ | $\neg p$ | $\neg p \wedge q$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $T$ | $T$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $T$ | $F$ | $F$ | $F$ |
| $F$ | $T$ | $T$ | $T$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $T$ | $F$ |

One way to simplify a logical expression is by using

- the fundamental laws of logic,
- known additional laws,
- the definitions of the additional logical operators.

For the "crime example" this can be done as follows :

$$
\left.\begin{aligned}
& \neg(p \vee(q \rightarrow(p \wedge q))) \wedge(q \vee(p \wedge q)) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \neg(p \vee(q \rightarrow(p \wedge q))) \wedge(q \vee(q \wedge p)) \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \neg(p \vee(q \rightarrow(p \wedge q))) \wedge q \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \neg(p \vee((p \wedge q) \vee \neg q)) \wedge q \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \neg((p \vee(p \wedge q)) \vee \neg q) \wedge q \\
& \text { commutative law } \\
& \neg(p \vee \neg q) \wedge q
\end{aligned} \right\rvert\, \begin{aligned}
& \text { absorption law } \\
& \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

|  | $\neg(p \vee \neg q) \wedge q$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p \wedge \neg \neg q) \wedge q$ | de Morgan |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p \wedge q) \wedge q$ | double negation |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg p \wedge(q \wedge q)$ | associative law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg p \wedge q$ | idempotent law |

## EXERCISE :

Use logical equivalences to simplify the logical expression

$$
(p \wedge(\neg(\neg p \vee q))) \vee(p \wedge q)
$$

(This example was already considered before, using a truth table.)

## EXERCISE :

Use logical equivalences to verify the following equivalence:

$$
(\neg p \wedge q) \vee(\neg q \wedge p) \Longleftrightarrow(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q)
$$

(This was considered before in connection with the $\oplus$ operator.)

## SOLUTION :

|  | $(p \wedge(\neg(\neg p \vee q))) \vee(p \wedge q)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(p \wedge(p \wedge \neg q)) \vee(p \wedge q)$ | de Morgan |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $((p \wedge p) \wedge \neg q) \vee(p \wedge q)$ | associative law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee(p \wedge q)$ | idempotent law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p \wedge(\neg q \vee q)$ | distributive law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p \wedge T$ | complement law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p$ | identity law |

## SOLUTION :

|  | $(p \vee q) \wedge \neg(p \wedge q)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(p \vee q) \wedge(\neg p \vee \neg q)$ | de Morgan |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \vee((p \vee q) \wedge \neg q)$ | distributive law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p \wedge(p \vee q)) \vee(\neg q \wedge(p \vee q))$ | commutative law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $((\neg p \wedge p) \vee(\neg p \wedge q)) \vee((\neg q \wedge p) \vee(\neg q \wedge q))$ | distributive law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(F \vee(\neg p \wedge q)) \vee((\neg q \wedge p) \vee F)$ | complement law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p \wedge q) \vee(\neg q \wedge p)$ | identity law |

## EXERCISE :

Use logical equivalences to show that the logical expression

$$
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge(\neg p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow r
$$

is a tautology, i.e., show that

$$
((p \rightarrow q) \wedge(\neg p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow r .
$$

NOTE : Earlier we proved this by truth table and by contradiction.

## SOLUTION :

$$
\begin{array}{ll|l} 
& ((p \rightarrow q) \wedge(\neg p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r)) \rightarrow r & \\
\Longleftrightarrow & ((q \vee \neg p) \wedge(r \vee \neg \neg p) \wedge(r \vee \neg q)) \rightarrow r & \text { equivalence of } \rightarrow \\
\Longleftrightarrow & ((q \vee \neg p) \wedge(r \vee p) \wedge(r \vee \neg q)) \rightarrow r & \text { double negation } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & r \vee \neg((q \vee \neg p) \wedge(r \vee p) \wedge(r \vee \neg q)) & \text { equivalence of } \rightarrow \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \neg(\neg r \wedge(q \vee \neg p) \wedge(r \vee p) \wedge(r \vee \neg q)) & \text { de Morgan } \\
\Longleftrightarrow & \neg(\neg r \wedge \neg r \wedge(q \vee \neg p) \wedge(r \vee p) \wedge(r \vee \neg q)) & \text { idempotent } \\
& \neg((q \vee \neg p) \wedge \neg r \wedge(r \vee p) \wedge \neg r \wedge(r \vee \neg q)) & \text { commutative }+
\end{array}
$$

|  | $\neg((q \vee \neg p) \wedge \neg r \wedge(r \vee p) \wedge \neg r \wedge(r \vee \neg q))$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg((q \vee \neg p) \wedge(\neg r \wedge p) \wedge(\neg r \wedge \neg q))$ | distributive + |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg((q \vee \neg p) \wedge \neg r \wedge p \wedge \neg q))$ | idempotent + |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg((q \vee \neg p) \wedge \neg r \wedge \neg(q \vee \neg p))$ | de Morgan + |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg(\neg r \wedge F)$ | complement + |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $T$ |  |

## Predicate Calculus.

Let $S$ be a set.

A predicate $P$ is a function from $S$ to $\{T, F\}$ :

$$
P: S \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

or

$$
P: S \times S \times \cdots \times S \longrightarrow\{T, F\} .
$$

or

$$
P: S_{1} \times S_{2} \times \cdots \times S_{n} \longrightarrow\{T, F\} .
$$

EXAMPLE : Let $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$denote the set of all positive integers.
Define

$$
P: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P(x)=T & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \text {is even } \\
P(x)=F & \text { if } x \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \text {is odd } .
\end{array}
$$

We can think of $P(x)$ as the statement

$$
\text { " } x \text { is an even integer", }
$$

which can be either True or False .

- What are the values of $P(12), \mathrm{P}(37), \mathrm{P}(-3)$ ?


## EXAMPLE :

Let $U$ be a set and $S$ a subset of $U$.

Let $P(x)$ denote the statement " $x \in S$ ", i.e.,

$$
P(x) \Longleftrightarrow x \in S
$$

Then

$$
P: U \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

## EXAMPLE :

Let $P(x, y)$ denote the statement " $x+y=5$ ", i.e.,

$$
P(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow x+y=5
$$

Then we can think of $P$ as a function

$$
P: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

## Quantifiers.

For a more compact notation we introduce the quantifiers

$$
\forall, \quad \exists, \quad \text { and } \quad \exists!
$$

DEFINITIONS : Let $S$ be a set and $P$ a predicate, $P: S \longrightarrow\{T, F\}$.
Then we define:
$\forall x \in S P(x) \quad$ means $\quad " P(x)=T$ for all $x \in S$ ".
$\exists x \in S P(x) \quad$ means " there exists an $x \in S$ for which $P(x)=T$ ".
$\exists!x \in S P(x)$ means " there is a unique $x \in S$ for which $P(x)=T$ ".

If it is clear from the context what $S$ is, then one often simply writes

$$
\forall x P(x), \quad \exists x P(x), \quad \exists!x P(x)
$$

If $S$ has a finite number of elements then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall x P(x) \Longleftrightarrow P\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge P\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge P\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& \exists x P(x) \Longleftrightarrow P\left(x_{1}\right) \vee P\left(x_{2}\right) \vee \cdots \vee P\left(x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exists!x P(x) \Longleftrightarrow & \left(P\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge \neg P\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge \neg P\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \neg \cdots \wedge \neg P\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \vee\left(\neg P\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge P\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge \neg P\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \neg \cdots \wedge \neg P\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \vee \\
& \vee\left(\neg P\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge \neg P\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge \neg P\left(x_{3}\right) \wedge \neg \cdots \wedge P\left(x_{n}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $S$ be a set and

$$
P: S \times S \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

Then

$$
\forall x, y P(x, y) \quad \text { and } \quad \forall x \forall y P(x, y)
$$

both mean

$$
\forall x(\forall y P(x, y)) .
$$

Thus $\forall x, y P(x, y)$ means
" $P(x, y)$ is True for any choice of $x$ and $y "$.

Similarly,

$$
\exists x, y P(x, y) \quad \text { and } \quad \exists x \exists y P(x, y)
$$

both mean

$$
\exists x(\exists y P(x, y)) .
$$

Thus $\exists x, y P(x, y)$ means
"There exist an $x$ and $y$ for which $P(x, y)$ is True".

## EXAMPLE :

Let

$$
P: S \times S \longrightarrow\{T, F\},
$$

where

$$
S=\{1,2\}
$$

Then

$$
\forall x, y P(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow P(1,1) \wedge P(1,2) \wedge P(2,1) \wedge P(2,2),
$$

while

$$
\exists x, y P(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow P(1,1) \vee P(1,2) \vee P(2,1) \vee P(2,2) .
$$

## EXERCISE: Let

$$
P: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

where $P(x, y)$ denotes

$$
" x+y=5 "
$$

What are the values of the following propositions ?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall x, y P(x, y) \\
& \exists x, y P(x, y) \\
& \forall x \exists!y P(x, y) \\
& \exists x \forall y P(x, y)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
P: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow\{T, F\} \\
P(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow x+y=5 .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\forall x, y P(x, y)$ is False: Take, for example, $x=1, y=1$.
$\exists x, y P(x, y)$ is True: Take, for example, $x=-1, y=6$.
$\forall x \exists!y P(x, y)$ is True : For given $x \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, y=5-x$.
$\exists x \forall y P(x, y)$ is False : Suppose such an $x$ exists :
Then, in particular, $x+0=5$ and $x+1=5$.
Thus $x$ must have both the values 5 and 4 , which is impossible.

## EXERCISE: Let

$$
P: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

where $P(x, y, z)$ denotes the statement

$$
" x^{2}+y^{2}=z "
$$

What are the values of the following propositions?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exists x, y, z P(x, y, z) \\
& \forall x, y, z P(x, y, z) \\
& \forall x, y \exists z P(x, y, z) \\
& \forall x, z \exists y P(x, y, z) \\
& \forall z \exists x, y P(x, y, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

## SOLUTION :

$$
\begin{gathered}
P: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+} \times \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow\{T, F\} \\
P(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow x^{2}+y^{2}=z
\end{gathered}
$$

$\exists x, y, z P(x, y, z)$ is True: Take, for example, $x=1, y=1, z=2$.
$\forall x, y, z P(x, y, z)$ is False: Take, for example, $x=1, y=1, z=3$.
$\forall x, y \exists z P(x, y, z)$ is True : For given $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, take $z=x^{2}+y^{2}$.
$\forall x, z \exists y P(x, y, z)$ is False: Take $x=1$ and $z=1$.
Then there is no $y \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$so that $x^{2}+y^{2}=z$.
$\forall z \exists x, y P(x, y, z)$ is False: Take $z=1$.
Then there are no $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$so that $x^{2}+y^{2}=z$.

## EXAMPLE :

Let

$$
P, Q: S \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

where

$$
S=\{1,2\}
$$

Then

$$
\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow(P(1) \vee Q(1)) \wedge(P(2) \vee Q(2)),
$$

while

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall x, y(P(x) \vee Q(y)) \Longleftrightarrow \\
& \quad(P(1) \vee Q(1)) \wedge(P(1) \vee Q(2)) \wedge(P(2) \vee Q(1)) \wedge(P(2) \vee Q(2))
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXERCISE: ( see preceding example $\cdots$ ):

Show that

$$
\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x))
$$

is not equivalent to

$$
\forall x, y(P(x) \vee Q(y))
$$

Hint: Take $S=\{1,2\}$, and find predicates $P$ and $Q$ so that one of the propositions is True and the other one False.

SOLUTION: Take

| $x$ | $P(x)$ | $Q(x)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $T$ | $F$ |
| 2 | $F$ | $T$ |

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x)) & \Longleftrightarrow(P(1) \vee Q(1)) \wedge(P(2) \vee Q(2)) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T \wedge T \Longleftrightarrow T
\end{aligned}
$$

while
$\forall x, y(P(x) \vee Q(y)) \Longleftrightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
(P(1) \vee Q(1)) & \wedge(P(1) \vee Q(2)) \wedge(P(2) \vee Q(1)) \wedge(P(2) \vee Q(2)) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow T \wedge T \wedge F \wedge T
\end{aligned}
$$

EXAMPLE: If

$$
P, Q: S \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

where

$$
S=\{1,2\}
$$

then

$$
\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow(P(1) \wedge Q(1)) \vee(P(2) \wedge Q(2)) .
$$

EXAMPLE : If again

$$
P, Q: S \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

and

$$
S=\{1,2\}
$$

then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) & \Longleftrightarrow(P(1) \rightarrow Q(1)) \wedge(P(2) \rightarrow Q(2)) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(\neg P(1) \vee Q(1)) \wedge(\neg P(2) \vee Q(2))
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE:

$$
(\forall x P(x)) \vee(\forall x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall x(P(x) \vee Q(x))
$$

i.e., there are predicates $P$ and $Q$ for which the equivalence not valid.

As a counterexample take

$$
P, Q: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

where

$$
P(x) \Longleftrightarrow " x \text { is even", and } \quad Q(x) \Longleftrightarrow " x \text { is odd". }
$$

Then the RHS is True but the LHS is False .

## EXERCISE :

Show that

$$
(\exists x P(x)) \wedge(\exists x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))
$$

by giving an example where LHS and RHS have a different logical value.

## SOLUTION :

$$
(\exists x P(x)) \wedge(\exists x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))
$$

PROOF: (of non-equivalence)

Let $P(x)$ denote

$$
\text { " } x \text { is even". }
$$

and let $Q(x)$ denote

$$
\text { " } x \text { is od } d \text { ". }
$$

Then the LHS is clearly True and the RHS is clearly False. QED!

Some equivalences (Valid for any propositions $P$ and $Q$ ):

> (1) $\neg(\exists x P(x))$
> (2) $\quad(\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\forall x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x(\neg P(x))$
> (2) $\quad \forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$
(3) $(\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\forall x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \forall y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))$
(4) $\quad(\forall x P(x)) \vee(\forall x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \forall y(P(x) \vee Q(y))$

EXAMPLE: Proof of Equivalence (1) when the set $S$ is finite .

$$
\begin{aligned}
\neg(\exists x P(x)) & \Longleftrightarrow \neg\left(P\left(x_{1}\right) \vee P\left(x_{2}\right) \vee \cdots \vee P\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \neg\left(P\left(x_{1}\right) \vee\left(P\left(x_{2}\right) \vee \cdots \vee P\left(x_{n}\right)\right)\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \neg P\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge \neg\left(P\left(x_{2}\right) \vee \cdots \vee P\left(x_{n}\right)\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \neg \neg\left(x_{1}\right) \wedge \neg P\left(x_{2}\right) \wedge \neg \cdots \wedge \neg P\left(x_{n}\right) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \neg P(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXERCISES:

These equivalences are easily seen to be valid:

- Prove Equivalence 2 :

$$
(\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\forall x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))
$$

- Prove Equivalence 3 :

$$
(\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\forall x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \forall y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))
$$

EXAMPLE : Proof of Equivalence (4).

$$
(\forall x P(x)) \vee(\forall x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall x \forall y(P(x) \vee Q(y))
$$

NOTE: A correct proof consist of verifying that

$$
\text { LHS } \Rightarrow \text { RHS and } \text { RHS } \Rightarrow \text { LHS }
$$

or equivalently

LHS $\Rightarrow$ RHS $\quad$ and $\quad \neg$ LHS $\Rightarrow \neg$ RHS

## PROOF :

(i) $(\forall x P(x)) \vee(\forall x Q(x)) \Rightarrow \forall x \forall y(P(x) \vee Q(y))$
is easily seen to be True by a direct proof (with 2 cases).
(ii) $\neg[(\forall x P(x)) \vee(\forall x Q(x))] \Rightarrow \neg \forall x \forall y(P(x) \vee Q(y))$
can be rewritten as

$$
(\exists x \neg P(x)) \wedge(\exists x \neg Q(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x \exists y(\neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(y))
$$

$P$ and $Q$ being arbitrary, we may replace them by $\neg P$ and $\neg Q$ :

$$
(\exists x P(x)) \wedge(\exists x Q(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x \exists y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))
$$

which is easily seen to be True by a direct proof. QED!

## EXERCISE :

Prove that the equivalence

$$
(\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\exists x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \exists y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))
$$

is valid for all propositions $P$ and $Q$.

Hint : This proof can be done along the lines of the preceding proof.

## SOLUTION :

$$
(\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\exists x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \exists y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))
$$

PROOF (of the equivalence) :
(i) If the LHS is True then:
$P(x)$ is True for all $x$, and $Q\left(x_{0}\right)$ is True for some $x_{0}$.

Then, choosing $y=x_{0}$, we see that the RHS is also True .

$$
(\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\exists x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x \exists y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))
$$

PROOF (continued $\cdots$ ):
(ii) Next show that $\neg \mathrm{LHS} \Rightarrow \neg$ RHS, i.e.,

$$
(\exists x \neg P(x)) \vee(\forall x \neg Q(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x \forall y(\neg P(x) \vee \neg Q(y))
$$

$P$ and $Q$ being arbitrary, we may replace them by $\neg P$ and $\neg Q$ :

$$
(\exists x P(x)) \vee(\forall x Q(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x \forall y(P(x) \vee Q(y))
$$

It is easily seen that if the LHS of $\star$ is True then so is the RHS.
(Consider the cases: $(a) \exists x P(x)$ is True and (b) $\forall x Q(x)$ is True .)

More equivalences (Valid for any propositions $P$ and $Q$ ):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (5) } \neg(\forall x P(x)) \\
& \text { (6) }(\exists x P(x)) \vee(\exists x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \exists x \neg P(x) \\
& \text { (7) }(\exists x P(x)) \vee(\exists x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \exists x(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \\
& \text { (8) }(\exists x P(x)) \wedge(\exists x Q(x)) \Longleftrightarrow \exists x \exists y(P(x) \vee Q(y)) \\
& \text { (P(x)^Q(y))}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equivalences (5)-(8) follow from

- negating equivalences (1)-(4), and
- replacing $P$ by $\neg P$ and $Q$ by $\neg Q$..

EXAMPLE : Proof of Equivalence (6), using Equivalence (2)

| $(2)$ | $(\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\forall x Q(x))$ | $\Longleftrightarrow \forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\neg((\forall x P(x)) \wedge(\forall x Q(x)))$ | $\Longleftrightarrow \neg \forall x(P(x) \wedge Q(x))$ |
|  | $(\exists x \neg P(x)) \vee(\exists x \neg Q(x)))$ | $\Longleftrightarrow \exists x(\neg P(x) \vee \neg Q(x))$ |
| $(6)$ | $(\exists x P(x)) \vee(\exists x Q(x))$ | $\Longleftrightarrow \exists x(P(x) \vee Q(x))$ |

## EXERCISE :

- Prove Equivalence 7 using Equivalence 3.
- Prove Equivalence 8 using Equivalence 4.

EXAMPLE (of negating a logical expression) :


EXAMPLE (of transforming a logical expression) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exists x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)) & \Longleftrightarrow \exists x(\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(\exists x \neg P(x)) \vee(\exists x Q(x)) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(\neg \forall x P(x)) \vee(\exists x Q(x)) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow(\forall x P(x)) \rightarrow(\exists x Q(x))
\end{aligned}
$$

* This step follows from the earlier Equivalence 6.

EXAMPLE: (from Rosen's book: in detail)

Let
$D(x) \quad$ denote the statement " $x$ is a duck"
$P(x)$
"
" $x$ is one of my poultry"
$O(x)$
,
" $x$ is an officer"
$W(x)$
",
" $x$ is willing to waltz"

| Statement | logic | equivalent |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ducks never waltz | $\neg \exists x(D(x) \wedge W(x))$ | $\forall x((D(x) \rightarrow \neg W(x))$ |
| Officers always waltz | $\neg \exists x((O(x) \wedge \neg W(x))$ | $\forall x((O(x) \rightarrow W(x))$ |
| All my poultry are ducks | $\forall x((D(x) \vee \neg P(x))$ | $\forall x((P(x) \rightarrow D(x))$ |
| My poultry are not officers | $\forall x \neg(O(x) \wedge P(x))$ | $\forall x((P(x) \rightarrow \neg O(x))$ |

QUESTION : Do the first three statements imply the last one?

Do the first three statements imply the last one, i.e., is

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[(\forall x(D(x) \rightarrow \neg W(x))) \wedge(\forall x(O(x) \rightarrow W(x)))} & \wedge(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow D(x)))] \\
& \rightarrow(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow \neg O(x)))
\end{aligned}
$$

a tautology, i.e., do we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[(\forall x(D(x) \rightarrow \neg W(x))) \wedge(\forall x(O(x) \rightarrow W(x)))} \\
\wedge(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow D(x)))] \\
\Rightarrow(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow \neg O(x)))
\end{gathered}
$$

The answer is $Y E S$.

In fact, it is a tautology for any predicates $D, O, P, W$.

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[(\forall x(D(x) \rightarrow \neg W(x))) \wedge(\forall x(O(x) \rightarrow W(x))) \wedge(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow D(x)))]}  \tag{3}\\
\Rightarrow(\forall) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

To prove this, we must show that :

$$
\text { if }(1),(2) \text {, and (3) are True then (4) is True. }
$$

To show (4) is True, we must show:

If, for arbitrary $z, P(z)$ is True then, using $(1,2,3), \neg O(z)$ is True .

$$
\begin{gather*}
{[(\forall x(D(x) \rightarrow \neg W(x))) \wedge(\forall x(O(x) \rightarrow W(x))) \wedge(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow D(x)))]}  \tag{3}\\
\Rightarrow(\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow \neg O(x))) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

PROOF : Let $z$ be an arbitrary element from our set of objects.
Assume $P(z)$ is True .
We must show that $\neg O(z)$ is True, i.e., $O(z)$ is False .
From (3) it follows $D(z)$ is True .
From (1) follows $\neg W(z)$ is True . i.e., $W(z)$ is False .
From (2), since $W(z)$ is False , it follows $O(z)$ is False .

## EXERCISE (from Rosen):

Express each of the following using predicates and quantifiers:
(1) All clear explanations are satisfactory.
(2) Some excuses are unsatisfactory.
(3) Some excuses are not clear explanations.

Question : Does (3) follow from (1) and (2) ?

Hint: See preceding example.

## REVIEW EXERCISES.

Problem 1. By truth table check if the $\oplus$ operator is associative:

$$
(p \oplus q) \oplus r \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p \oplus(q \oplus r) .
$$

Problem 2. Use logical equivalences to prove that

$$
p \rightarrow(q \rightarrow r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r .
$$

Problem 3. By contradiction show that the following is a tautology:

$$
[(p \vee t) \wedge(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \wedge(r \rightarrow s) \wedge(s \rightarrow t)] \rightarrow t
$$

Problem 4. Use logical equivalences to simplify

$$
([(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p] \rightarrow p) \rightarrow(p \rightarrow q) .
$$

SOLUTION Problem 2: Use logical equivalences to prove that

$$
p \rightarrow(q \rightarrow r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r
$$

## PROOF :

|  | $p \rightarrow(q \rightarrow r)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p \rightarrow(\neg q \vee r)$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg p \vee(\neg q \vee r)$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee r$ | associative law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg(p \wedge q) \vee r$ | de Morgan |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |

SOLUTION Problem 3: The following is a tautology:

$$
[(p \vee t) \wedge(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \wedge(r \rightarrow s) \wedge(s \rightarrow t)] \rightarrow t
$$

PROOF : Assume the LHS is True and the RHS is False.
We show this leads to a contradiction:
If the RHS is False then $t$ is False .
Since $s \rightarrow t$ is True, we must have that $s$ is False .
Since $r \rightarrow s$ is True, we must have that $r$ is False .
Since $q \rightarrow r$ is True, we must have that $q$ is False .
Since $p \rightarrow q$ is True, we must have that $p$ is False .

Thus both $p$ and $t$ are False. But, by assumption, $p \vee t$ is True .
Contradiction!

SOLUTION Problem 4: Simplify

$$
([(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p] \rightarrow p) \rightarrow(p \rightarrow q)
$$

First we will simplify

$$
(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p .
$$

Using the result we then simplify

$$
[(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p] \rightarrow p
$$

Finally we simplify

$$
([(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p] \rightarrow p) \rightarrow(p \rightarrow q) .
$$

|  | $(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $((p \wedge q) \rightarrow p) \wedge(p \rightarrow(p \wedge q))$ | equivalence of $\leftrightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg(p \wedge q) \vee p) \wedge(\neg p \vee(p \wedge q))$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p \vee \neg q \vee p) \wedge(\neg p \vee(p \wedge q))$ | de Morgan+ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $T \wedge(\neg p \vee(p \wedge q))$ | complement+ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg p \vee(p \wedge q)$ | indentity law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p \vee p) \wedge(\neg p \vee q)$ | distributive law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $T \wedge(\neg p \vee q)$ | complement law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg p \vee q$ | indentity law |

Next consider :

|  | $[(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p] \rightarrow p$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(\neg p \vee q) \rightarrow p$ | preceding equivalence |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee p$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee p$ | de Morgan |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p \vee(p \wedge \neg q)$ | commutative law law |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p$ | absorption law |

Finally we have :

|  | $([(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p] \rightarrow p) \rightarrow(p \rightarrow q)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p \rightarrow(p \rightarrow q)$ | preceding equivalence |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p \rightarrow(\neg p \vee q)$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg p \vee(\neg p \vee q)$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\neg p \vee q$ | idempotent + |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $p \rightarrow q$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |

Thus we have shown that

$$
([(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow p] \rightarrow p) \rightarrow(p \rightarrow q) \quad \Longleftrightarrow p \rightarrow q
$$

Problem 5. Verify the following "laws of inference", which are useful in proofs:

| Tautology | Name |
| :--- | :--- |
| $(p \wedge(p \rightarrow q)) \Rightarrow q$ | modus ponens |
| $(\neg q \wedge(p \rightarrow q)) \Rightarrow \neg p$ | modus tollens |
| $((p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow r)) \Rightarrow(p \rightarrow r)$ | hypothetical syllogism |
| $((p \vee q) \wedge \neg p) \Rightarrow q$ | disjunctive syllogism |
| $((p \vee q) \wedge(\neg p \vee r)) \Rightarrow(q \vee r)$ | resolution |

Problem 6. Express the following statements in predicate logic:
(a) "There is a unique $x$ for which $P(x)$ is True."
(b) "There is no greatest integer."
(c) " $x_{0}$ is the smallest integer for which $P(x)$ is True ."
(d) "Every person has exactly two parents."

## NOTE:

- Let $P(x, y)$ denote " $y$ is a parent of $x$ ".
- You may use the predicates $x \leq y, x>y$, and $x \neq y$.


## SOLUTION Problem 6:

(a) "There is a unique $x$ for which $P(x)$ is True":

$$
\exists!x P(x) .
$$

(b) "There is no number which is greater than all other numbers":

$$
\forall x \exists y(y \geq x) .
$$

(c) " $x_{0}$ is the smallest $x$ for which $P(x)$ is True":

$$
P\left(x_{0}\right) \wedge \forall x\left(P(x) \rightarrow\left(x_{0} \leq x\right)\right)
$$

(d) "Every person has exactly two parents": $\forall y \exists x_{1}, x_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(x_{1} \neq x_{2}\right) & \wedge \\
& \left(x_{1} \neq y\right) \wedge\left(x_{2} \neq y\right) \wedge P\left(x_{1}, y\right) \wedge P\left(x_{2}, y\right) \\
& \wedge\left\{\forall z: P(z, y) \rightarrow\left(z=x_{1}\right) \vee\left(z=x_{2}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem 7.

Let $P(x, y, z)$ denote the statement

$$
x^{2} y=z,
$$

where the universe of discourse of all three variables is the set $\mathbb{Z}$.

What is the truth value of each of the following?

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P(1,1,1) & \forall y, z \exists x P(x, y, z) \\
P(0,7,0) & \exists!y, z \forall x P(x, y, z) \\
\forall x, y, z P(x, y, z) & \forall x, y \exists z P(x, y, z) \\
\exists x, y, z P(x, y, z) & \forall x \exists y, z P(x, y, z)
\end{array}
$$

$$
P(x, y, z) \Longleftrightarrow x^{2} y=z, \quad \text { where } x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

$$
P(1,1,1) \quad \text { True }
$$

$$
P(0,7,0) \quad \text { True }
$$

$$
\forall x, y, z P(x, y, z) \quad \text { False }
$$

$$
\exists x, y, z P(x, y, z) \quad \text { True }
$$

$$
\forall y, z \exists x P(x, y, z) \quad \text { False }
$$

$$
\exists!y, z \forall x P(x, y, z) \quad \text { True }
$$

$$
\forall x, y \exists z P(x, y, z) \quad \text { True }
$$

$$
\forall x \exists y, z P(x, y, z) \quad \text { True }
$$

## Problem 8.

Let $P(x, y, z, n)$ denote the statement

$$
x^{n}+y^{n}=z^{n},
$$

where $x, y, z, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

What is the truth value of each of the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P(1,1,2,1) & \forall x, y \exists!z P(x, y, z, 1) \\
P(3,4,5,2) & \forall z \exists x, y P(x, y, z, 1) \\
P(7,24,25,2) & \exists x, y \forall z P(x, y, z, 2) \\
\exists!x, y, z P(x, y, z, 2) & \exists x, y, z P(x, y, z, 3)
\end{array}
$$

NOTE: One of the above is very difficult!

## SOLUTION Problem 8:

$$
P(x, y, z, n) \Longleftrightarrow x^{n}+y^{n}=z^{n}, \quad \text { where } x, y, z, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} .
$$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
P(1,1,2,1) & \text { True } \\
P(3,4,5,2) & \text { True } \\
P(7,24,25,2) & \text { True } \\
\exists!x, y, z P(x, y, z, 2) & \text { False } \\
\forall x, y \exists!z P(x, y, z, 1) & \text { True } \\
\forall z \exists x, y P(x, y, z, 1) & \text { False } \\
\exists x, y \forall z P(x, y, z, 2) & \text { False } \\
\exists x, y, z P(x, y, z, 3) & \text { False (Fermat/Wiles) }
\end{array}
$$

## Problem 9.

Give an example that shows that

$$
\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow \exists y \forall x P(x, y)
$$

Problem 10.
Prove that

$$
\forall x[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] \Rightarrow[\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)] .
$$

Problem 11.
Prove that

$$
\forall x \exists y(P(x) \vee Q(y)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)
$$

## SOLUTION Problem 9:

An example that shows that

$$
\forall x \exists y P(x, y) \Longleftrightarrow \exists y \forall x P(x, y) .
$$

Let $P(x, y)$ be the predicate $x=y$, where $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Then $\forall x \exists y P(x, y)$ is True .
(For any given $x$ choose $y=x$ to get $P(x, y)$ to be True .)

On the other hand, $\exists y \forall x P(x, y)$ is False in this example.
(For if such a $y$ existed, say, $y=y_{0}$, then we would have $\forall x: x=y_{0}$, which is clearly False .)

## SOLUTION Problem 10:

$$
\forall x[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] \Rightarrow[\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)] .
$$

## PROOF :

We must show that if the LHS is True then the RHS is also True .
So assume the LHS is True, that is, $\forall x[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)]$.
Now assume that on the RHS $\forall x P(x)$ is True .
We must show that $\forall x Q(x)$ is True .
To do this let $x_{0}$ be arbitrary.
By (2) $P\left(x_{0}\right)$ is True.
By (1) $Q\left(x_{0}\right)$ is True.
Since $x_{0}$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\forall x Q(x)$ is True .

## ALTERNATE SOLUTION of Problem 10:

|  | $\forall x[P(x) \rightarrow Q(x)] \rightarrow[\forall x P(x) \rightarrow \forall x Q(x)]$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\forall x[\neg P(x) \vee Q(x)] \rightarrow[\exists x \neg P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)]$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\exists x[P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] \vee[\exists x \neg P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)]$ | equivalence of $\rightarrow$ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow \exists x[P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)] \vee \exists x \neg P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x)$ | associative law |  |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\exists x[(P(x) \wedge \neg Q(x)) \vee \neg P(x)] \vee \forall x Q(x)$ | Property 7 from Table |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $\exists x[\neg P(x) \vee \neg Q(x)] \vee \forall x Q(x)$ | complement law+ |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $[\exists x \neg P(x)] \vee[\exists x \neg Q(x)] \vee \forall x Q(x)$ | Property 7 from Table |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $[\exists x \neg P(x)] \vee[\exists x \neg Q(x)] \vee \neg[\exists x \neg Q(x)]$ | Property 1 from Table |
| $\Longleftrightarrow$ | $T$ | complement law+ |

SOLUTION Problem 11:

$$
\forall x \exists y(P(x) \vee Q(y)) \Longleftrightarrow \forall x P(x) \vee \exists x Q(x)
$$

## PROOF :

(1) If the RHS is True then there are two cases to consider:
(i) If $\forall x P(x)$ is True then any $y$ will make the LHS True .
(ii) If $\exists x Q(x)$ is True, say, $Q\left(x_{0}\right)$ is True, then, for any $x$, pick $y=x_{0}$, to see that the LHS is True.
(2) Next show that if the RHS is False then the LHS is False, i.e.,

$$
(\exists x \neg P(x)) \wedge(\forall x \neg Q(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x \forall y(\neg P(x) \wedge \neg Q(y)) .
$$

$P$ and $Q$ being arbitrary, we may replace them by $\neg P$ and $\neg Q$ :

$$
(\exists x P(x)) \wedge(\forall x Q(x)) \Rightarrow \exists x \forall y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))
$$

and this implication is easily seen to be valid.

## MATHEMATICAL PROOFS.

- We will illustrate some often used basic proof techniques.
(Some of these techniques we have already seen ...)
- Several examples will be taken from elementary Number Theory.

DEFINITIONS: Let $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

- We call $n$ odd if $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}: k \geq 0, n=2 k+1$.
- We call $n$ even if n is not odd. (Then $n=2 k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.)
- We say " $m$ divides $n$ ", and write $m \mid n$, if $n=q m$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
- In this case we call $m$ a divisor of $n$.
- If $m \mid n$ then we also say that " $n$ is divisible by $m$ ".
- $n(n \geq 2)$ is a prime number if its only positive divisors are 1 and $n$.
- $n(n \geq 2)$ composite if it is not prime.
- $n$ and $m$ are relatively prime if 1 is their only common divisor.


## Direct proofs.

Many mathematical statements have the form

$$
\text { "if } P \text { then } Q "
$$

i.e.,

$$
P \Rightarrow Q,
$$

or, more often,

$$
\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))
$$

where $P$ and $Q$ represent specific predicates .

RECALL : a direct proof consists of

- assuming that, for arbitrary $x, P(x)$ is True
- demonstrating that $Q(x)$ is then necessarily True also,

PROPOSITION : If $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is odd then $n^{2}$ is odd.

## REMARK :

This proposition is of the form $P \Rightarrow Q$ or, more specifically,

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: P(n) \rightarrow Q(n)
$$

where $P$ and $Q$ are predicates (functions)

$$
P, Q: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

namely,

$$
P(n) \Longleftrightarrow " n \text { is odd } " \quad, \quad Q(n) \Longleftrightarrow " n^{2} \text { is odd } "
$$

NOTE: Actually $Q(n) \Longleftrightarrow P\left(n^{2}\right)$ here!

$$
\text { If } n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \text {is odd then } n^{2} \text { is odd. }
$$

## PROOF :

Assume $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is odd (i.e., assume $\left.P(n)=T\right)$.

Then, by definition, $n=2 k+1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$.

By computation we find

$$
n^{2}=(2 k+1)^{2}=2\left(2 k^{2}+2 k\right)+1=2 m+1,
$$

where we have defined $m \equiv 2 k^{2}+2 k$.

Thus, by definition, $n^{2}$ is odd, i.e., $Q(n)=T$.

PROPOSITION: If $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is odd then $8 \mid(n-1)(n+1)$.

PROOF: If $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is odd then we can write

$$
n=2 k+1 \quad \text { for some integer } k, \quad k \geq 0
$$

By computation we find

$$
(n-1)(n+1)=n^{2}-1=4 k^{2}+4 k=4 k(k+1) .
$$

Clearly

$$
4 \mid 4 k(k+1)
$$

Note, however, that either $k$ is even or $k+1$ is even, i.e.,

$$
2 \mid k \quad \text { or } \quad 2 \mid(k+1) .
$$

Thus

$$
8 \mid 4 k(k+1) . \quad \text { QED ! }
$$

LEMMA (Needed in the following example $\cdots$ ) For $x \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq 0,1$ :

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k}=\frac{1-x^{n+1}}{1-x}, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad \text { ( Geometric sum ). }
$$

PROOF ( a "constructive proof") :
Let

Then

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k} .
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n}= & 1+x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{n-1}+x^{n} \\
x \cdot S_{n} & =\quad x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{n-1}+x^{n}+x^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
S_{n}-x \cdot S_{n}=(1-x) \cdot S_{n}=1-x^{n+1}
$$

from which the formula follows.
QED !

## DEFINITION :

A perfect number is a number that equals the sum of all of its divisors, except the number itself.

## EXAMPLES :

6 is perfect :

$$
6=3+2+1,
$$

and 28 is perfect :

$$
28=14+7+4+2+1
$$

and so is 496 :

$$
496=248+124+62+31+16+8+4+2+1
$$

REMARK: Note that $496=2^{4} \times 31$.

$$
94
$$

## PROPOSITION: Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, m>1$.

If $2^{m}-1$ is prime, then $n \equiv 2^{m-1}\left(2^{m}-1\right)$ is perfect,
or, using quantifiers,

$$
\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: 2^{m}-1 \text { is prime } \rightarrow 2^{m-1}\left(2^{m}-1\right) \text { is perfect } .
$$

PROOF : Assume $2^{m}-1$ is prime.
Then the divisors of $n=2^{m-1}\left(2^{m}-1\right)$ are

$$
1,2,2^{2}, 2^{3}, \cdots, 2^{m-1}
$$

and

$$
\left(2^{m}-1\right), 2\left(2^{m}-1\right), 2^{2}\left(2^{m}-1\right), \cdots, 2^{m-2}\left(2^{m}-1\right), 2^{m-1}\left(2^{m}-1\right)
$$

The last divisor is equal to $n$, so we do not include it in the sum.

The sum is then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} 2^{k}+\left(2^{m}-1\right) \sum_{k=0}^{m-2} 2^{k} & =\frac{1-2^{m}}{1-2}+\left(2^{m}-1\right) \frac{1-2^{m-1}}{1-2} \\
& =\left(2^{m}-1\right)+\left(2^{m}-1\right)\left(2^{m-1}-1\right) \\
& =\left(2^{m}-1\right)\left(1+2^{m-1}-1\right) \\
& =\left(2^{m}-1\right) 2^{m-1}=n . \quad \text { QED }!
\end{aligned}
$$

NOTE: We used the formula

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{m} x^{k}=\frac{1-x^{m+1}}{1-x}, \quad(\text { the geometric sum })
$$

(valid for $x \neq 0,1$ ).

## Proving the contrapositive.

It is easy to see (by Truth Table) that

$$
p \rightarrow q \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \neg q \rightarrow \neg p
$$

## EXAMPLE :

The statement

$$
" n^{2} \text { even } \Rightarrow n \text { even" }
$$

proved earlier is equivalent to

$$
" \neg(n \text { even }) \Rightarrow \neg\left(n^{2} \text { even }\right) ",
$$

i.e., it is equivalent to

$$
n \text { odd } \Rightarrow n^{2} \text { odd. }
$$

This equivalence justifies the following :

If we must prove

$$
P \Rightarrow Q
$$

then we may equivalently prove the contrapositive

$$
\neg Q \Rightarrow \neg P .
$$

(Proving the contrapositive is sometimes easier .)

## PROPOSITION : Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, with $n \geq 2$.

If the sum of the divisors of $n$ is equal to $n+1$ then $n$ is prime.

PROOF: We prove the contrapositive :
If $n$ is not prime then the sum of the divisors can not equal $n+1$.

So suppose that $n$ is not prime.

Then $n$ has divisors
$1, n$, and $m$, for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, m \neq 1, m \neq n$,
and possibly more.

Thus the sum of the divisors is greater than $n+1$. QED !

Some specific contrapositive.

$$
\begin{array}{|lll}
(p \wedge q) \rightarrow r & \Longleftrightarrow \neg r \rightarrow(\neg p \vee \neg q) \\
\hline(p \vee q) \rightarrow r & \Longleftrightarrow \neg r \rightarrow(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \\
\hline(\forall x P(x)) \rightarrow q & \Longleftrightarrow \neg q \rightarrow(\exists x \neg P(x)) \\
(\exists x P(x)) \rightarrow q & \Longleftrightarrow \neg q \rightarrow(\forall x \neg P(x)) \\
\hline p \rightarrow \forall x Q(x) & \Longleftrightarrow(\exists x \neg Q(x)) \rightarrow \neg p \\
\hline p \rightarrow \exists x Q(x) & \Longleftrightarrow & (\forall x \neg Q(x)) \rightarrow \neg p \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

PROPOSITION: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, with $n \geq 2$.

$$
\forall a, b \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}(n|a \vee n| b \vee n \nmid a b) \quad \Rightarrow \quad n \text { is prime } .
$$

PROOF: The contrapositive is
If $n$ is not prime then $\exists a, b(n \nmid a \wedge n \nmid b \wedge n \mid a b)$.

Here the contrapositive is easier to understand and quite easy to prove :

Note that if $n$ is not prime then

$$
n=a b,
$$

for certain integers $a$ and $b$, both greater than 1 and less than $n$.

Clearly $n \nmid a, n \nmid b$, and $n \mid a b$. QED!

PROPOSITION: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then

$$
5\left|n^{2} \Rightarrow 5\right| n
$$

PROOF: We prove the contrapositive, i.e.,

$$
5 \nmid n \Rightarrow 5 \nmid n^{2} .
$$

So suppose $5 \nmid n$.

Then we have the following cases :

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
n=5 k+1 & \Rightarrow & n^{2}=25 k^{2}+10 k+1=5\left(5 k^{2}+2 k\right)+1 \\
n=5 k+2 & \Rightarrow & n^{2}=25 k^{2}+20 k+4=5\left(5 k^{2}+4 k\right)+4 \\
n=5 k+3 & \Rightarrow & n^{2}=25 k^{2}+30 k+9=5\left(5 k^{2}+6 k+1\right)+4 \\
n=5 k+4 & \Rightarrow & n^{2}=25 k^{2}+40 k+16=5\left(5 k^{2}+8 k+3\right)+1
\end{array}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$.

This shows that $5 \times n^{2}$.
QED!

## Proof by contradiction.

To prove a statement $P \Rightarrow Q$ by contradiction:

- assume $P=T$ and $Q=F$,
- show that these assumptions lead to an impossible conclusion (a "contradiction").
(We have already seen some proofs by contradiction.)


## PROPOSITION :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { If a prime number is the sum of two prime numbers } \\
\text { then one of these equals } 2 .
\end{gathered}
$$

## PROOF :

Let $p_{1}, p_{2}$, and $p$ be prime numbers, with $p_{1}+p_{2}=p$.

Suppose that neither $p_{1}$ nor $p_{2}$ is equal to 2 .

Then both $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ must be odd (and greater than 2 ).

Hence $p=p_{1}+p_{2}$ is even, and greater than 2 .

This contradicts that $p$ is prime. QED !

PROPOSITION : $\sqrt{2}$ is irrational, i.e., if $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$then $\frac{m}{n} \neq \sqrt{2}$. PROOF : Suppose $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $\frac{m}{n}=\sqrt{2}$.

We may assume $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime (cancel common factors).

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\text { Then } m=\sqrt{2} n & \Rightarrow m^{2}=2 n^{2} & * \\
& \Rightarrow m^{2} \text { even } & & \\
& \Rightarrow m \text { even } & & \text { (proved earlier) } \\
& \Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}(m=2 k) & \\
& \Rightarrow 2 n^{2}=m^{2}=(2 k)^{2}=4 k^{2} & \text { (using * above) } \\
& \Rightarrow n^{2}=2 k^{2} & \\
& \Rightarrow n^{2} \text { even } & & \Rightarrow n \text { even }
\end{array}
$$

Thus both $n$ and $m$ are even and therefore both are divisible by two. This contradicts that they are relatively prime. QED !

NOTATION : The " $\Rightarrow$ " means that the immediately following statement is implied by the preceding statement(s).

## EXERCISE :

Use a proof by contradiction to show the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
(p \vee q) \wedge(p \rightarrow r) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \Rightarrow r . \\
(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \Rightarrow p \rightarrow r .
\end{gathered}
$$

Hint: See a similar example earlier in the Lecture Notes.

## SOLUTION :

$$
(p \rightarrow q) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \Rightarrow p \rightarrow r .
$$

PROOF (by contradiction) :

Suppose the LHS is True, but the RHS is False .

Since the RHS is False we have $p=T$ and $r=F$.

Since the LHS is True we have that both $p \rightarrow q$ and $q \rightarrow r$ are True .

Since $p=T$ and $p \rightarrow q$ is True we have $q=T$.

Since $q=T$ and $q \rightarrow r$ is True we have $r=T$.

But this contradicts that $r=F$.
QED!

## PROPOSITION :

If the integers

$$
1,2,3, \cdots, 10,
$$

are placed around a circle, in any order, then there exist three integers in consecutive locations around the circle that have a sum greater than or equal to 18 .

## PROOF : (by contradiction)

Suppose any three integers in consecutive locations around the circle have sum less than 18 , that is, less then or equal to 17 .

Excluding the number 1 , which must be placed somewhere, there remain exactly three groups of three integers in consecutive locations.

The total sum is then less than or equal to $1+17+17+17=52$.

However, we know that this sum must equal

$$
1+2+3+\cdots+10=55 .
$$

Hence we have a contradiction.

Proof by cases (another example) :

PROPOSITION: Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then

$$
6 \mid n(n+1)(n+2) .
$$

PROOF: We always have that $2 \mid n$ or $2 \mid(n+1)$. (Why ?)
There remain three cases to be considered :
For some $k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, k \geq 0$ :

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=3 k & : \text { Then } 3 \mid n \\
n=3 k+1 & : \text { Then } 3 \mid(n+2) \\
n=3 k+2 & : \text { Then } 3 \mid(n+1) .
\end{array}
$$

QED!

FACT : Any real number $x$ can be uniquely written as

$$
x=n+r
$$

where

$$
n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text { with } \quad 0 \leq r<1
$$

DEFINITION: We then define the floor of $x$ as

$$
\lfloor x\rfloor \equiv n
$$

EXAMPLES : $\lfloor 7\rfloor=7,\lfloor-7\rfloor=-7,\lfloor\pi\rfloor=3,\lfloor-\pi\rfloor=-4$.

EXAMPLE : Use a proof by cases to show that

$$
\lfloor 2 x\rfloor=\lfloor x\rfloor+\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

$$
\lfloor 2 x\rfloor=\lfloor x\rfloor+\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor \quad, \quad x=n+r \quad, \quad 0 \leq r<1
$$

## PROOF :

Case 1: $0 \leq r<\frac{1}{2}:$ Then

$$
0 \leq 2 r<1 \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq r+\frac{1}{2}<1
$$

LHS :

$$
\lfloor 2 x\rfloor=\lfloor 2 n+2 r\rfloor=2 n
$$

RHS :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lfloor x\rfloor & =\lfloor n+r\rfloor=n \\
\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor & =\left\lfloor n+r+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor=n
\end{aligned}
$$

so that the identity is satisfied.

$$
\lfloor 2 x\rfloor=\lfloor x\rfloor+\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor \quad, \quad x=n+r \quad, \quad 0 \leq r<1
$$

PROOF: (continued …)
Case 2: $\quad \frac{1}{2} \leq r<1$ : Then

$$
1 \leq 2 r<2 \quad \text { and } \quad 1 \leq r+\frac{1}{2}<1+\frac{1}{2} .
$$

LHS :

$$
\lfloor 2 x\rfloor=\lfloor 2 n+2 r\rfloor=2 n+1
$$

RHS :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lfloor x\rfloor=\lfloor n+r\rfloor=n \\
\left\lfloor x+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor n+r+\frac{1}{2}\right\rfloor=n+1
\end{gathered}
$$

so that the identity is satisfied.

## Existence proofs.

- Mathematical problems often concern the existence, or non-existence, of certain objects.
- Such problems may arise from the mathematical formulation of problems that arise in many scientific areas.
- A proof that establishes the existence of a certain object is called an existence proof.

EXAMPLE : For any positive integer $n$ there exists a sequence of $n$ consecutive composite integers, i.e.,

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: m+i \text { is composite }, i=1, \cdots, n
$$

For example,
$n=2:(8,9)$ are 2 consecutive composite integers $(m=7)$,
$n=3:(8,9,10)$ are 3 three consecutive composite integers $(m=7)$,
$n=4:(24,25,26,27)$ are 4 consecutive composite integers $(m=23)$,
$n=5:(32,33,34,35,36)$ are 5 consecutive composite integers $(m=31)$.

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \quad: m+i \text { is composite }, i=1, \cdots, n
$$

## PROOF :

Let $m=(n+1)!+1$.

Then, clearly, the $n$ consecutive integers

$$
(n+1)!+1+1, \quad(n+1)!+1+2, \quad \cdots, \quad(n+1)!+1+n
$$

are composite. (Why ?) QED!

NOTE: This is a constructive existence proof: We demonstrated the existence of $m$ by showing its value (as a function of $n$ ).

## PROPOSITION: There are infinitely many prime numbers.

The idea of the proof (by contradiction) :

- Assume there is only a finite number of prime numbers.
- Then we'll show $\exists N>1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$that is neither prime nor composite .
- But this is impossible!
- Thus there must be infinitely many prime numbers !


## REMARK :

We will use the fact than any composite number has a prime divisor .

## PROOF :

Suppose the total number of primes is finite, say,

$$
p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{n} .
$$

Let

$$
N=p_{1} p_{2} \cdots p_{n}+1
$$

- Then $N$ cannot be prime. (Why not ?)
- Also, none of the $p_{1}, p_{2}, \cdots, p_{n}$ divide $N$, since $N$ divided by $p_{i}$ gives a remainder of $1, \quad(i=1, \cdots, n)$.
- Thus $N$ cannot be composite either !


## NOTE:

- This proof is a non-constructive existence proof.
- We proved the existence of an infinite number of primes without actually showing them!


## NOTE :

- There is no general recipe for proving a mathematical statement and often there is more than one correct proof.
- One generally tries to make a proof as simple as possible, so that others may understand it more easily.
- Nevertheless, proofs can be very difficult, even for relatively simple statements such as Fermat's Last Theorem :

$$
\neg \exists x, y, z, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, n \geq 3: x^{n}+y^{n}=z^{n} .
$$

## NOTE :

- There are many mathematical statements that are thought to be correct, but that have not yet been proved ("open problems"), e.g., the "Goldbach Conjecture" :
"Every even integer greater than 2 is the sum of two prime numbers".
- Indeed, proving mathematical results is as much an art as it is a science, requiring creativity as much as clarity of thought.
- An essential first step is always to fully understand the problem.
- Where possible, experimentation with simple examples may help build intuition and perhaps suggest a possible method of proof.


## REVIEW EXERCISES.

Problem 1. Use a direct proof to show the following:
$(p \vee q) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \wedge((p \wedge s) \rightarrow t) \wedge(\neg q \rightarrow(u \wedge s)) \wedge \neg r \Rightarrow t$.
(Assuming the left-hand-side is True, you must show that $t$ is True .)

Problem 2. Let $n$ be a positive integer.
Prove the following statement by proving its contrapositive:

$$
\text { "If } n^{3}+2 n+1 \text { is odd then } n \text { is even". }
$$

SOLUTION Problem 1 (Direct proof) :
$(p \vee q) \wedge(q \rightarrow r) \wedge((p \wedge s) \rightarrow t) \wedge(\neg q \rightarrow(u \wedge s)) \wedge \neg r \Rightarrow t$.

## PROOF :

Assume the LHS is True .
Since $\neg r$ is True we have $r$ is False.

Since $q \rightarrow r$ is True we have $q$ is False .
Since $p \vee q$ is True we have $p$ is True .
Since $\neg q \rightarrow(u \wedge s)$ is True and $\neg q$ is True, $u$ and $s$ are True.
Since $(p \wedge s) \rightarrow t$ and $p$ and $s$ are True it follows that $t$ is True .
QED!

SOLUTION Problem 2: Let $n$ be a positive integer.
Prove the following statement by proving its contrapositive:

$$
\text { "If } n^{3}+2 n+1 \text { is odd then } n \text { is even". }
$$

## PROOF :

The contrapositive is

$$
\text { "If } n \text { is odd then } n^{3}+2 n+1 \text { is even". }
$$

So assume that $n$ is odd, $n=2 k+1$, for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}, k \geq 0$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{3}+2 n+1 & =(2 k+1)^{3}+2(2 k+1)+1 \\
& =2\left(4 k^{3}+6 k^{2}+5 k+2\right) \quad(\text { Check }!),
\end{aligned}
$$

which is even.
QED!

Problem 3. Let $n$ be an integer. Show that

$$
3\left|n^{2} \Rightarrow 3\right| n,
$$

by proving its contrapositive.

Hint: There are two cases to consider.

Problem 4. Give a direct proof to show the following:

The sum of the squares of any two rational numbers is a rational number.

## SOLUTION Problem 4:

The sum of the squares of any two rational numbers is a rational number.

PROOF : Suppose $x$ and $y$ are rational numbers :

$$
x=\frac{p_{1}}{q_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad y=\frac{p_{2}}{q_{2}} .
$$

where $p_{1}, q_{1}$ and $p_{2}, q_{2}$ are positive integers.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{2}+y^{2} & =\frac{p_{1}^{2}}{q_{1}^{2}}+\frac{p_{2}^{2}}{q_{2}^{2}} \\
& =\frac{p_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}+p_{2}^{2} q_{1}^{2}}{q_{1}^{2} q_{2}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is rational.

QED!

## Problem 5.

Show that for all positive real $x$
if $x$ is irrational then $\sqrt{x}$ is irrational.
by proving the contrapositive .

Problem 6. Use a proof by contradiction to prove the following:
If the integers $1,2,3, \cdots, 7$, are placed around a circle, in any order, then there exist two adjacent integers that have a sum greater than or equal to 9 .
(Can you also give a direct Proof ?)

## SOLUTION Problem 5:

Show that for all positive real $x$
if $x$ is irrational then $\sqrt{x}$ is irrational.

PROOF (by contrapositive) : The contrapositive is
if $\sqrt{x}$ is rational then $x$ is rational .
So suppose $\sqrt{x}$ is rational :

$$
\sqrt{x}=\frac{p}{q}, \quad \text { for certain } p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} .
$$

Then

$$
x=\frac{p^{2}}{q^{2}},
$$

which is rational. QED!

If the integers $1,2,3, \cdots, 7$, are placed around a circle, in any order, then there exist two adjacent integers that have a sum greater than or equal to 9 .

## PROOF :

The number 7 must be placed somewhere.

The smallest neighbors 7 can possibly have are 1 and 2 .

Thus the sum of 7 and the biggest of its neighbors is at least 9

QED!

FACT : Any real number $x$ can be uniquely written as

$$
x=n-r,
$$

where

$$
n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text { and } \quad r \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text { with } \quad 0 \leq r<1 .
$$

DEFINITION: We then define the ceiling of $x$ as

$$
\lceil x\rceil \equiv n .
$$

EXAMPLES : $\lceil 7\rceil=7,\lceil-7\rceil=-7,\lceil\pi\rceil=4,\lceil-\pi\rceil=-3$.

## Problem 7.

Is the following equality valid for all positive integers $n$ and $m$ ?

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{n+m}{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil+\left\lfloor\frac{m}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

If Yes then give a proof. If No then give a counterexample.

## SET THEORY

Basic definitions.

- Let $U$ be the collection of all objects under consideration. ( $U$ is also called the "universe" of objects under consideration.)
- A set is a collection of objects from $U$.

Let $A, B$, and $C$ be sets.

| $x \in A \quad \Longleftrightarrow " x$ is an element (a member) of $A "$. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $x \notin A \quad \Longleftrightarrow \neg(x \in A)$ |  |
| $A \subseteq B \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \forall x \in U: x \in A \Rightarrow x \in B$ | subset |
| $A=B \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad(A \subseteq B) \wedge(B \subseteq A)$ | equality |

The above take values in $\{T, F\}$.

The following are set-valued:

| $A \cup B \equiv\{x \in U:(x \in A) \vee(x \in B)\}$ | union |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $A \cap B \equiv\{x \in U:(x \in A) \wedge(x \in B)\}$ | intersection |
| $\bar{A} \equiv\{x \in U: x \notin A\}$ | complement |
| $A-B \equiv\{x \in U:(x \in A) \wedge(x \notin B)\}$ | difference |

Venn diagram.
This is a useful visual aid for proving set theoretic identities.

EXAMPLE : For the two sides of the identity

$$
(A \cap B)-C=A \cap(B-C)
$$

we have the following Venn diagrams :


The actual proof of the identity, using the above definitions and the laws of logic, is as follows :

$$
\begin{gathered}
x \in((A \cap B)-C) \\
\Longleftrightarrow x \in(A \cap B) \wedge x \notin C \\
\Longleftrightarrow(x \in A \wedge x \in B) \wedge x \notin C \\
\Longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge(x \in B \wedge x \notin C) \\
\Longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge x \in(B-C) \\
\Longleftrightarrow x \in A \cap(B-C)
\end{gathered}
$$

## EXAMPLE :

For the two sides of the identity

$$
A-(B \cup C)=(A-B) \cap(A-C)
$$

we have the following Venn diagrams :


The actual proof of the identity is as follows :

|  | $x \in(A-(B \cup C))$ |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge x \notin(B \cup C)$ |  |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in(B \cup C))$ |  |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge \neg(x \in B \vee x \in C)$ | de Morgan |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge x \notin B \wedge x \notin C$ |  |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge x \in A \wedge x \notin B \wedge x \notin C$ | idempotent law |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow x \in(A-B) \wedge x \in(A-C)$ |  |
|  | $\Longleftrightarrow x \in(A-B) \cap(A-C)$ | commut.+assoc. |

EXAMPLE : $A \cap B=A \cup B \Rightarrow A=B$
PROOF: ( a direct proof $\cdot$. )
Assume $(A \cap B)=(A \cup B)$. We must show that $A=B$.
This is done in two stages:

$$
\text { (i) show } A \subseteq B \quad \text { and } \quad \text { (ii) show } B \subseteq A \text {. }
$$

To show (i):
Let $x \in A$. We must show that $x \in B$.
Since $x \in A$ it follows that $x \in A \cup B$.
Since $(A \cap B)=(A \cup B)$ it follows that $x \in(A \cap B)$.
Thus $x \in B$ also.

The proof of (ii) proceeds along the same steps.

## Subsets.

$S \subseteq U$ means $S$ is a subset of a universal set $U$.

The set of all subsets of $U$ is denoted by $2^{U}$ or $P(U)$, the power set.

This name is suggested by the following fact :

If $U$ has $n$ elements then $P(U)$ has $2^{n}$ elements (sets).

## EXAMPLE :

Let

$$
U=\{1,2,3\}
$$

Then

$$
P(U)=\{\{ \},\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\},\{1,2,3\}\} .
$$

We see that $P(U)$ has $2^{3}=8$ elements .

NOTE : The empty set $\emptyset=\{ \}$ and $U$ itself are included in $P(U)$.

Basic set theoretic identities :

| $A \cup B=B \cup A$ | $A \cap B=B \cap A$ | commutative laws |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & A \cup(B \cap C)= \\ & \quad(A \cup B) \cap(A \cup C) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & A \cap(B \cup C)= \\ & \quad(A \cap B) \cup(A \cap C) \end{aligned}$ | distributive laws |
| $A \cup \emptyset=A$ | $A \cap U=A$ | identity laws |
| $A \cup \bar{A}=U$ | $A \cap \bar{A}=\emptyset$ | complement laws |

Some additional identities :

| $\bar{U}=\emptyset$ | $\bar{\emptyset}=U$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $A \cup A=A$ | $A \cap A=A$ | idempotent laws |
| $A \cup U=U$ | $A \cap \emptyset=\emptyset$ | domination laws |
| $A \cup(A \cap B)=A$ | $A \cap(A \cup B)=A$ | absorption laws |

Some more identities :

| $(A \cup B) \cup C=$ <br> $A \cup(B \cup C)$ |  <br> $(A \cap B) \cap C=$ <br> $A \cap(B \cap C)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | associative law |  |
| $\overline{A \cup B}=\bar{A} \cap \bar{B}$ | $\overline{A \cap B}=\bar{A} \cup \bar{B}$ | de Morgan's laws |
| $\overline{\bar{A}}=A$ |  | involution law |

All the preceding identities can be proved using the definitions of set theory and the laws of logic.

Note the close correspondence of these identities to the laws of logic.

NOTE : One can also proceed axiomatically by only assuming :

- the existence of a power set $P(U)$, where $U$ is a universal set ,
- special elements $U$ and $\emptyset$,
- a unary operator - , and two binary operators $\cup$ and $\cap$,
- the basic set theoretic identities .

Given this setup one can derive all other set theoretic identities.

Note the close correspondence between the above axiomatic setup and the axiomatic setup of logic !

## EXAMPLE :

Prove the idempotent law

$$
A \cup A=A
$$

using only the basic set theoretic identities :

| $A=$ | $A \cup \emptyset$ | identity law |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $A \cup(A \cap \bar{A})$ | complement law |
|  | $(A \cup A) \cap(A \cup \bar{A})$ | distributive law |
|  | $(A \cup A) \cap U$ | complement law |
|  | $A \cup A$ | identity law |

## EXAMPLE :

( to illustrate the close relation between Set Theory and Logic ...)

Using another approach we prove the absorption law :

$$
A \cup(A \cap B)=A
$$

Thus we must prove

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall x \in U: x \in A \cup(A \cap B) & \Longleftrightarrow x \in A \\
\forall x \in U: x \in A \vee x \in A \cap B & \Longleftrightarrow x \in A \\
\forall x \in U: x \in A \vee(x \in A \wedge x \in B) & \Longleftrightarrow x \in A
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\forall x \in U: x \in A \vee(x \in A \wedge x \in B) \Longleftrightarrow x \in A
$$

Define logical predicates $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ :

$$
a(x) \Longleftrightarrow x \in A \quad, \quad b(x) \Longleftrightarrow x \in B .
$$

Then we must prove

$$
\forall x \in U: a(x) \vee(a(x) \wedge b(x)) \Longleftrightarrow a(x) .
$$

It suffices to prove that, for arbitrary logical variables $a$ and $b$,

$$
a \vee(a \wedge b) \Longleftrightarrow a .
$$

But this is the absorption law from logic!

## REVIEW EXERCISES.

For each of the following, determine whether it is valid or invalid. If valid then give a proof. If invalid then give a counterexample.
(1) $A \cap(B \cup A)=A$
(2) $A \cup(B \cap C)=(A \cup B) \cap C$
(3) $(A \cap B) \cup(C \cap D)=(A \cap D) \cup(C \cap B)$
(4) $(A \cap B) \cup(A \cap \bar{B})=A$
(5) $A \cup((B \cup C) \cap A)=A$
(6) $A-(B \cup C)=(A-B) \cap(A-C)$
(7) $B \cap C \subseteq A \Rightarrow(B-A) \cap(C-A)=\emptyset$
(8) $(A \cup B)-(A \cap B)=A \Rightarrow B=\emptyset$

## SOLUTION Problem 3: Invalid :

In general, the equality is invalid, i.e.,

$$
(A \cap B) \cup(C \cap D) \neq(A \cap D) \cup(C \cap B) .
$$

Take, for example, sets where

$$
A \cap D \text { and } C \cap B \text { are empty, }
$$

while

$$
A \cap B \text { and } C \cap D \text { are nonempty . }
$$

(Draw a Venn diagram !)

Then $(A \cap B) \cup(C \cap D)$ is nonempty, while $(A \cap D) \cup(C \cap B)$ is empty.

$$
B \cap C \subseteq A \Rightarrow(B-A) \cap(C-A)=\emptyset
$$

PROOF : By contradiction:

Suppose the LHS is True, but $(B-A) \cap(C-A) \neq \emptyset$.

Thus there exists a $b \in(B-A) \cap(C-A)$.

Hence $b \in B$, and $b \in C$, but $b \notin A$.

In particular, it follows that $b \in B \cap C$.

Since by assumption $B \cap C \subseteq A$ it follows that $b \in A$.

But this contradicts the fact that $b \notin A$. QED!

SOLUTION Problem 8: Valid:

$$
(A \cup B)-(A \cap B)=A \quad \Rightarrow \quad B=\emptyset
$$

PROOF: By contradiction: Suppose the LHS is True, but $B \neq \emptyset$.
Thus there exists a $b \in B$. There are two cases :
(i) $b \in A$ : Then $b \in A \cup B$ and $b \in A \cap B$.

Hence, by definition of set difference, $b \notin(A \cup B)-(A \cap B)$.
Since $(A \cup B)-(A \cap B)=A$ it follows that $b \notin A$.
But this contradicts the assumption that $b \in A$.
(ii) $b \notin A$ : Then $b \in A \cup B$ and $b \notin A \cap B$.

Hence, by definition of set difference, $b \in(A \cup B)-(A \cap B)$.
Since $(A \cup B)-(A \cap B)=A$ it follows that $b \in A$.
But this contradicts the assumption that $b \notin A$. QED!

## FUNCTIONS

DEFINITIONS: Let $A$ and $B$ be sets.

Then $f$ is called

$$
\text { a function from } A \text { to } B
$$

if to each element of $A$ it associates exactly one element of $B$.

We write

$$
f: A \longrightarrow B
$$

and we call $A$ the domain of $f$ and $B$ the codomain of $f$.

We also define the range of $f$ to be

$$
f(A) \equiv\{b \in B: b=f(a) \text { for some } a \in A\}
$$

We say that $f$ is :
one-to-one (or injective) iff $\forall a_{1}, a_{2} \in A: a_{1} \neq a_{2} \Rightarrow f\left(a_{1}\right) \neq f\left(a_{2}\right)$

$$
\text { iff } \forall a_{1}, a_{2} \in A: f\left(a_{1}\right)=f\left(a_{2}\right) \Rightarrow a_{1}=a_{2}
$$

onto (or surjective) iff $\forall b \in B \exists a \in A: f(a)=b$
iff $\quad f(A)=B$
bijective iff $f$ is one-to-one and onto

## EXAMPLE:

Let

$$
A=\{a, b, c\} \quad, \quad B=\{1,2\}
$$

and let $f: A \longrightarrow B$ be defined by

$$
f: a \mapsto 1 \quad, \quad f: b \mapsto 2, \quad f: c \mapsto 1
$$

Then $f$ not one-to-one, but $f$ is onto.
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## EXAMPLE:

Let

$$
A=\{a, b\}, \quad B=\{1,2,3\}
$$

and let $f: A \longrightarrow B$ be defined by

$$
f: a \mapsto 1 \quad, \quad f: b \mapsto 3 .
$$

Then $f$ is one-to-one but not onto.
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## EXAMPLE :

Let $A=B=\mathbb{Z}^{+}$, and let

$$
f: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

be defined by

$$
f: n \mapsto \frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

i.e.,

$$
f(n)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

Then $f$ is one-to-one but not onto.

$$
f(n) \equiv n(n+1) / 2
$$

## PROOF: (1) $f$ is not onto:

Here

$$
f\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)=\{1,3,6,10,15,21, \cdots\}
$$

so it seems that $f$ is not onto.

To be precise, we show that $f(n)$ can never be equal to 2 :

$$
f(n)=2 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad n(n+1) / 2=2 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad n^{2}+n-4=0 .
$$

But this quadratic equation has no integer roots.
(2) $f$ is one-to-one: Assume that $f\left(n_{1}\right)=f\left(n_{2}\right)$.

We must show that $n_{1}=n_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(n_{1}\right)=f\left(n_{2}\right) & \Longleftrightarrow \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \\
& n_{1}\left(n_{1}+1\right) / 2=n_{2}\left(n_{2}+1\right) / 2 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad n_{1}^{2}+n_{1}=n_{2}^{2}+n_{2} \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \quad n_{1}^{2}-n_{2}^{2}=-\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right) \\
& \left.\Longleftrightarrow \quad n_{2}\right)\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)=-\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right) \\
& n_{2} \text { or } n_{1}+n_{2}=-1
\end{aligned}
$$

However $n_{1}, n_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Thus $n_{1}+n_{2}$ cannot be negative.

It follows that $n_{1}=n_{2} . \quad$ QED !

## EXAMPLE :

Define

$$
f: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}
$$

or equivalently

$$
f: \mathbb{Z}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}
$$

by

$$
f(m, n)=(m+n, m-n),
$$

or equivalently, in matrix multiplication notation

$$
f:\binom{m}{n} \quad \mapsto \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{m}{n} .
$$

Then $f$ is one-to-one, but not onto.

## PROOF :

(i) One-to-one:

Suppose

$$
f\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right)=f\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\left(m_{1}+n_{1}, m_{1}-n_{1}\right)=\left(m_{2}+n_{2}, m_{2}-n_{2}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
m_{1}+n_{1}=m_{2}+n_{2},
$$

and

$$
m_{1}-n_{1}=m_{2}-n_{2}
$$

Add and subtract the equations, and divide by 2 to find

$$
m_{1}=m_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad n_{1}=n_{2}
$$

that is,

$$
\left(m_{1}, n_{1}\right)=\left(m_{2}, n_{2}\right) .
$$

Thus $f$ is one-to-one.
(ii) Not onto:

Let $(s, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ be arbitrary. Can we solve

$$
f(m, n)=(s, d),
$$

i.e., can we solve

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m+n=s, \\
& m-n=d,
\end{aligned}
$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ?

Add and subtract the two equations, and divide by 2 to get

$$
m=\frac{s+d}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad n=\frac{s-d}{2} .
$$

However, $m$ and $n$ need not be integers, e.g., take $s=1, d=2$.
Thus $f$ is not onto. QED!

Given two functions

$$
f: A \longrightarrow B \quad \text { and } g: B \longrightarrow C
$$

we can compose them :

$$
(g \circ f)(a) \equiv g(f(a))
$$
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## EXAMPLE:

Let $A=B=C=\mathbb{Z}$ (all integers), and define $f, g: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
f(n) \equiv n^{2}+2 n-1 \quad, \quad g(n) \equiv 2 n-1
$$

- Let $h_{1}(n) \equiv f(g(n))$. Then

$$
h_{1}(n)=f(2 n-1)=(2 n-1)^{2}+2(2 n-1)-1=4 n^{2}-2 .
$$

- Let $h_{2}(n) \equiv g(f(n))$. Then

$$
h_{2}(n)=g\left(n^{2}+2 n-1\right)=2\left(n^{2}+2 n-1\right)-1=2 n^{2}+4 n-3 .
$$

- Let $h_{3}(n) \equiv g(g(n))$. Then

$$
h_{3}(n)=g(2 n-1)=2(2 n-1)-1=4 n-3 .
$$

Inverses. Let

$$
f: A \longrightarrow B
$$

and

$$
g: B \longrightarrow A .
$$

Then $g$ is called the inverse of $f$ if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall a \in A \quad: \quad g(f(a))=a, \\
& \forall b \in B \quad: \quad f(g(b))=b .
\end{aligned}
$$

and

If $f$ has an inverse $g$ then we say

$$
f \text { is invertible, }
$$

and we write $f^{-1}$ for $g$.

## EXAMPLE :

Let $f: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $f: n \mapsto n-1$, i.e.,

$$
f(n)=n-1 \quad \text { ("shift operator"). }
$$

- $f$ is one-to-one :

If $f\left(n_{1}\right)=f\left(n_{2}\right)$ then $n_{1}-1=n_{2}-1$, i.e., $n_{1}=n_{2}$.

- $f$ is onto :

Given any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, can we find $n$ such that $f(n)=m$ ?
That is, can we find $n$ such that $n-1=m$ ?
Easy: $n=m+1$ !

$$
f(n)=n-1, \quad f: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

It follows that $f$ is invertible, with inverse

$$
f^{-1}(m)=m+1
$$

Check:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(f^{-1}(m)\right)=f(m+1)=(m+1)-1=m \\
& f^{-1}(f(n))=f^{-1}(n-1)=(n-1)+1=n
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE :

Let $f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f: x \mapsto 1-2 x$, i.e.,

$$
f(x)=1-2 x .
$$

- $f$ is one-to-one :

If $f\left(x_{1}\right)=f\left(x_{2}\right)$ then $1-2 x_{1}=1-2 x_{2}$, i.e., $x_{1}=x_{2}$.

- $f$ is onto :

Given any $y \in \mathbb{R}$, can we find $x$ such that $f(x)=y$ ?
That is, can we find $x$ such that $1-2 x=y$ ?
Easy: $\quad x=(1-y) / 2$ !
( We actually constructed the inverse in this step : $f^{-1}(y)=\frac{1-y}{2}$.)

$$
f(x)=1-2 x, \quad f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

We found that $f$ is invertible, with inverse

$$
f^{-1}(y)=\frac{1-y}{2} .
$$

Check ( not really necessary ...) :

$$
\begin{gathered}
f\left(f^{-1}(y)\right)=f((1-y) / 2)=1-2((1-y) / 2)=y \\
f^{-1}(f(x))=f^{-1}(1-2 x)=\frac{1-(1-2 x)}{2}=x .
\end{gathered}
$$

NOTE: We constructed $f^{-1}(y)$ by solving $f(x)=y$ for $x$.

## THEOREM :

$f: A \longrightarrow B$ is invertible if and only if $f$ is $1-1$ and onto .

## REMARK :

- It is not difficult to see that this theorem holds for finite sets.
- However, the proof also applies to infinite sets.


## PROOF :

(1a) First we show that if $f$ is invertible then $f$ is $1-1$.
By contradiction: Suppose $f$ is invertible but not $1-1$.
Since $f$ is not $1-1$ there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A, a_{1} \neq a_{2}$, such that

$$
f\left(a_{1}\right)=f\left(a_{2}\right) \equiv b_{0} .
$$

Since $f$ is invertible there is a function $g: B \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$
g((f(a)))=a, \quad \forall a \in A
$$

In particular

$$
g\left(f\left(a_{1}\right)\right)=a_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(f\left(a_{2}\right)\right)=a_{2}
$$

i.e.,

$$
g\left(b_{0}\right)=a_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(b_{0}\right)=a_{2} .
$$

Thus $g$ is not single-valued (not a function). Contradiction !
(1b) Now we show that if $f$ is invertible then $f$ is onto.
By contradiction: Suppose $f$ is invertible but not onto.

Since $f$ is not onto there exists $b_{0} \in B$ such that

$$
f(a) \neq b_{0}, \quad \forall a \in A
$$

Since $f$ is invertible there is a function $g: B \longrightarrow A$ such that

$$
f(g(b))=b, \quad \forall b \in B
$$

In particular

$$
f\left(g\left(b_{0}\right)\right)=b_{0}, \quad \text { where } \quad g\left(b_{0}\right) \in A
$$

But this contradicts that $f(a) \neq b_{0}, \forall a \in A$.
(2a) Next we show that if $f$ is $1-1$ and onto then $f$ is invertible.

Define a function $g: B \longrightarrow A$ as follows :

Since $f$ is $1-1$ and onto we have that for any $b \in B$

$$
b=f(a) \text { for some unique } a \in A .
$$

For each such $a \in A$ set

$$
g(b)=a
$$

Then $g: B \longrightarrow A$, and by construction

$$
f(g(b))=f(a)=b
$$

(2b) We still must show that $\forall a \in A: g(f(a))=a$.

By contradiction : Suppose $g\left(f\left(a_{0}\right)\right) \neq a_{0}$ for some $a_{0} \in A$. Define $b_{0}=f\left(a_{0}\right)$. Then $b_{0} \in B$ and

$$
g\left(b_{0}\right) \neq a_{0}
$$

where both $g\left(b_{0}\right)$ and $a_{0}$ lie in $A$.

Since $f$ is one-to-one it follows that

$$
f\left(g\left(b_{0}\right)\right) \neq f\left(a_{0}\right)
$$

i.e.,

$$
f\left(g\left(b_{0}\right)\right) \neq b_{0}
$$

But this contradicts the result of (2a)! QED!

## EXAMPLE :

- Define $f: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}$by

$$
f(n)=n(n-2)(n-4)+4 .
$$

Then $f$ is not one-to-one ; for example, $f(2)=f(4)=4$ :

| $n$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f(n)$ | 7 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 19 | 52 | 109 | $\cdots$ |

Using calculus one can show that $f(n)$ is increasing for $n \geq 3$.

Thus $f$ is not onto ; for example,

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: f(n) \neq 2
$$

- Now let

$$
S=f\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)=\{1,4,7,19,52,109, \cdots\}
$$

and consider $f$ as a function

$$
f: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow S
$$

Then $f$ is onto, but still not one-to-one, since $f(2)=f(4)=4$.

- Finally let

$$
D=\mathbb{Z}^{+}-\{2\}
$$

and consider $f$ as a function

$$
f: D \longrightarrow S
$$

Now $f$ is one-to-one and onto, and hence invertible.

EXAMPLE: The floor and ceiling functions.

## FACT:

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exists!n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\quad \exists!r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq r<1$ such that

$$
x=n+r .
$$

We already defined the floor function, $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$, as

$$
\lfloor x\rfloor=n .
$$

## EXAMPLES :

$$
\lfloor\pi\rfloor=3, \quad\lfloor e\rfloor=2, \quad\lfloor 3\rfloor=3, \quad\lfloor-7 / 2\rfloor=-4,
$$

where $e=2.71828 \cdots$.

## FACT :

$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \quad \exists!n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\exists!r \in \mathbb{R}$ with $0 \leq r<1$ such that

$$
x=n-r .
$$

We already defined the ceiling function, $\lceil\cdot\rceil$, as

$$
\lceil x\rceil=n .
$$

## EXAMPLES :

$$
\lceil\pi\rceil=4, \quad\lceil e\rceil=3, \quad\lceil 3\rceil=3, \quad\lceil-7 / 2\rceil=-3
$$

We see that

$$
\lfloor\cdot\rfloor: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}
$$

and

$$
\lceil\cdot\rceil: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} .
$$

## EXERCISE :

- Is $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ one-to-one? onto? invertible?
- Is $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ one-to-one? onto? invertible?
- Draw the graphs of $\lfloor\cdot\rfloor$ and $\lceil\cdot\rceil$.


## EXAMPLE: Let $p, k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

Then

$$
\left\lceil\frac{p}{k}\right\rceil<\frac{p+k}{k}
$$

## PROOF :

By definition of the ceiling function we can write

$$
\frac{p}{k}=\left\lceil\frac{p}{k}\right\rceil-r
$$

where $0 \leq r<1$.

Hence

$$
\left\lceil\frac{p}{k}\right\rceil=\frac{p}{k}+r<\frac{p}{k}+1=\frac{p+k}{k}
$$

QED!

EXAMPLE: Show that the linear function

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

defined by

$$
f(x, y)=(x+y, x-y)
$$

or, in matrix form,

$$
f:\binom{x}{y} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}
$$

is one-to-one and onto.

- One-to-one: Exercise!

Hint: See the earlier example where this function was considered as

$$
f: \mathbb{Z}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}
$$

- Onto:
$f(x, y)=(x+y, x-y) \quad$ or $\quad f:\binom{x}{y} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}$
We can construct the inverse by solving

$$
f(x, y)=(s, d),
$$

that is, by solving

$$
x+y=s \quad, \quad x-y=d
$$

for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$
x=\frac{s+d}{2} \quad, \quad y=\frac{s-d}{2} .
$$

Thus the inverse is
$g(s, d)=\left(\frac{s+d}{2}, \frac{s-d}{2}\right) \quad$ or $\quad g:\binom{s}{d} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{cc}\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2}\end{array}\right)\binom{s}{d}$.

$$
f(x, y)=(x+y, x-y) \quad, \quad g(s, d)=\left(\frac{s+d}{2}, \frac{s-d}{2}\right)
$$

Check ( not really necessary ...) :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(g(s, d)) & =f\left(\frac{s+d}{2}, \frac{s-d}{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\frac{s+d}{2}+\frac{s-d}{2}, \frac{s+d}{2}-\frac{s-d}{2}\right)=(s, d),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(f(x, y)) & =g(x+y, x-y) \\
& =\left(\frac{(x+y)+(x-y)}{2}, \frac{(x+y)-(x-y)}{2}\right)=(x, y) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE :

More generally, a function

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

is linear if it can be written as

$$
f(x, y)=\left(a_{11} x+a_{12} y, a_{21} x+a_{22} y\right),
$$

or equivalently, as matrix-vector multiplication,

$$
f:\binom{x}{y} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y},
$$

where $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad(i, j=1,2)$.

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \quad, \quad f \quad\binom{x}{y} \longmapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{x}{y}
$$

This function is invertible if the determinant

$$
D \equiv a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12} a_{21} \neq 0 .
$$

In this case the inverse is given by

$$
f^{-1}:\binom{s}{d} \mapsto \frac{1}{D}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{22} & -a_{12} \\
-a_{21} & a_{11}
\end{array}\right)\binom{s}{d} .
$$

EXERCISE : Check that

$$
f^{-1}(f(x, y))=(x, y) \quad \text { and } \quad f\left(f^{-1}(s, d)\right)=(s, d) .
$$

Now consider the same linear function

$$
f:\binom{n}{m} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{array}\right)\binom{n}{m},
$$

but with $a_{i j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad(i, j=1,2)$, and as a function

$$
f: \mathbb{Z}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}
$$

Is

$$
f^{-1}:\binom{s}{d} \mapsto \frac{1}{D}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{22} & -a_{12} \\
-a_{21} & a_{11}
\end{array}\right)\binom{s}{d} .
$$

still the inverse?

ANSWER: Not in general!
$f$ is now invertible only if the determinant

- $D=a_{11} a_{22}-a_{12} a_{21} \neq 0$, and
- $\forall i, j: D \mid a_{i j}$.

In this case $f^{-1}$ is still given by

$$
f^{-1}:\binom{s}{d} \mapsto \frac{1}{\mathrm{D}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a_{22} & -a_{12} \\
-a_{21} & a_{11}
\end{array}\right)\binom{s}{d} .
$$

EXERCISE: Show that

$$
f:\binom{n}{m} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 2 \\
4 & 3
\end{array}\right)\binom{n}{m}
$$

is invertible as a function $f: \mathbb{Z}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$.
What is the inverse?

EXERCISE : Show that

$$
f:\binom{n}{m} \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{ll}
3 & 1 \\
4 & 3
\end{array}\right)\binom{n}{m}
$$

is not invertible as a function $f: \mathbb{Z}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$.

## REVIEW EXERCISES.

## Problem 1.

Define

$$
f: \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

by

$$
f(x) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
1 / x & \text { if } & x \neq 0 \\
0 & \text { if } & x=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

- Draw the graph of $f$.
- Is $f$ one-to-one?
- Is $f$ onto?
- What is $f^{-1}$ ?

Problem 2. Consider a function

$$
f: A \longrightarrow B
$$

For each of the following, can you find a function $f$ that is
(i) one-to-one
(ii) onto
(iii) one-to-one and onto ?

- $A=\{1,2,3\} \quad, \quad B=\{1,2\}$
- $A=\{1,2\} \quad, \quad B=\{1,2,3\}$
- $A=$ \{all even positive integers $\}, B=$ \{all odd positive integers $\}$


## Problem 3.

Can you find a function $f: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}$that is one-to-one and onto ?

Can you find a function $g: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ that is one-to-one and onto ?

Problem 4. Let $S_{n}$ be a finite set of $n$ elements.

Show that a function

$$
f: S_{n} \longrightarrow S_{n}
$$

is one-to-one if and only if it is onto.

## SOLUTION Problem 3:

The function $f: \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{+}$defined by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}-2 n & \text { if } n<0 \\ 2 n+1 & \text { if } n \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

is one-to-one and onto .

The function $g: \mathbb{Z}^{+} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$
g(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{n-1}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is odd } \\
-\frac{n}{2} & \text { if } n \text { is even }
\end{array}\right.
$$

is one-to-one and onto .

NOTE: In fact, $g$ is the inverse of $f$.

Problem 5. Let $f: A \longrightarrow B$ and $g: B \longrightarrow C$ be functions.

- Suppose $f$ and $g$ are one-to-one.

Is the composition $g \circ f$ necessarily one-to-one?

- Suppose the composition $g \circ f$ is one-to-one.

Are $f$ and $g$ necessarily one-to-one?

- Suppose $f$ and $g$ are onto.

Is the composition $g \circ f$ necessarily onto?

- Suppose the composition $g \circ f$ is onto.

Are $f$ and $g$ necessarily onto?

Justify your answers.

SOLUTION Problem 5: $f: A \longrightarrow B$ and $g: B \longrightarrow C$

- If $f$ and $g$ are $1-1$ then $g \circ f$ is $1-1$.

PROOF : Suppose $g\left(f\left(a_{1}\right)\right)=g\left(f\left(a_{2}\right)\right)$.
Since $g$ is $1-1$ we have $f\left(a_{1}\right)=f\left(a_{2}\right)$.
Since $f$ is $1-1$ we have $a_{1}=a_{2}$. QED !

- If $g \circ f$ is $1-1$ then $f$ and $g$ are 1-1.

FALSE: Let $A=\left\{a_{1}\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ and $C=\left\{c_{1}\right\}$.
Define $f\left(a_{1}\right)=b_{1}, g\left(b_{1}\right)=c_{1}, g\left(b_{2}\right)=c_{1}$.
Then $g \circ f$ and $f$ are $1-1$, but $g$ is not.

## SOLUTION Problem 5 (continued ...)

- If $f$ and $g$ are onto then $g \circ f$ is onto.

PROOF: Let $c \in C$.
Since $g$ is onto, there exists $b \in B$ such that $g(b)=c$.
Since $f$ is onto, there exists $a \in A$ such that $f(a)=b$.
Then $g(f(a))=g(b)=c$, so $g \circ f$ is onto.
QED!

- If $g \circ f$ is onto then $f$ and $g$ are onto.

FALSE: Let $A=\left\{a_{1}\right\}, B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}\right\}$ and $C=\left\{c_{1}\right\}$, and define $f\left(a_{1}\right)=b_{1}, g\left(b_{1}\right)=c_{1}, g\left(b_{2}\right)=c_{1}$.

Then $g \circ f$ and $g$ are onto, but $f$ is not.

## Problem 6.

Let $\mathbb{P}_{2}$ denote the set of all polynomials of degree 2 or less,
i.e., polynomials of the form

$$
p(x)=a x^{2}+b x+c, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Let $\mathbb{P}_{1}$ denote the set of all polynomials of degree 1 or less , i.e., polynomials of the form

$$
p(x)=d x+e, \quad d, e \in \mathbb{R}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Consider the derivative function (or derivative operator)

$$
D: \mathbb{P}_{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{1}
$$

For example,

$$
D \quad: \quad 3 x^{2}+7 x-4 \quad \mapsto \quad 6 x+7,
$$

and

$$
D \quad: \quad 5 x-2 \pi \quad \mapsto \quad 5 .
$$

## QUESTIONS :

- Is $D$ indeed a function from $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ to $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ ?
- Is $D$ one-to-one?
- Is $D$ onto?
- Does $D$ have an inverse?

Problem 7. If $A$ and $B$ are sets, and if

$$
f: A \longrightarrow B
$$

then for any subset $S$ of $A$ we define the image of $S$ as

$$
f(S) \equiv\{b \in B: b=f(a) \text { for some } a \in S\}
$$

Let $S$ and $T$ be subsets of $A$. Prove that

- $f(S \cup T)=f(S) \cup f(T)$,
- $f(S \cap T) \subseteq f(S) \cap f(T)$.
- Also give an example that shows that in general

$$
f(S \cap T) \neq f(S) \cap f(T)
$$

## SOLUTION Problem 7:

- $f(S \cup T)=f(S) \cup f(T)$


## PROOF :

LHS $\subseteq$ RHS :
Let $b \in f(S \cup T)$. Then $\exists a \in S \cup T: f(a)=b$.
If $a \in S$ then $b \in f(S)$ and if $a \in T$ then $b \in f(T)$.
In either case $b \in f(S) \cup f(T)$.
RHS $\subseteq$ LHS :
If $b \in f(S) \cup f(T)$ then we must consider two cases:
$b \in f(S)$, in which case $\exists s \in S: f(s)=b$,
or
$b \in f(T)$, in which case $\exists t \in T: f(t)=b$.
In either case $b \in f(S \cup T)$. QED !

## SOLUTION Problem 7 (continued ...)

- $f(S \cap T) \subseteq f(S) \cap f(T)$


## PROOF :

Let $b \in f(S \cap T)$. Then $\exists a \in S \cap T: f(a)=b$.
Thus $a \in S$ and $a \in T$, so $f(a) \in f(S)$ and $f(a) \in f(T)$.
Hence $f(a) \in f(S) \cap f(T)$.

- In general $f(S \cap T) \neq f(S) \cap f(T)$


## EXAMPLE :

Let $A=\{s, t\}, B=\{b\}, S=\{s\}, T=\{t\}$.
Define $f: A \longrightarrow B$ by $f(s)=f(t)=b$ (so $f$ is not one-to-one).
Then $S \cap T=\emptyset$ (the empty set), and $f(S \cap T)=f(\emptyset)=\emptyset$.
But $f(S)=\{b\}$ and $f(T)=\{b\}$, so that $f(S) \cap f(T)=\{b\}$.
Thus $f(S \cap T) \subseteq f(S) \cap f(T)$, but $f(S \cap T) \neq f(S) \cap f(T)$.

Problem 8. If $A$ and $B$ are sets, and if

$$
f: A \longrightarrow B,
$$

then for any subset $S$ of $B$ we define the pre-image of $S$ as

$$
f^{-1}(S) \equiv\{a \in A: f(a) \in S\}
$$

NOTE : $f^{-1}(S)$ is defined even if $f$ does not have an inverse!

Let $S$ and $T$ be subsets of $B$. Prove that

- $f^{-1}(S \cup T)=f^{-1}(S) \cup f^{-1}(T)$,
- $f^{-1}(S \cap T)=f^{-1}(S) \cap f^{-1}(T)$.

SOLUTION Problem 8:

- $f^{-1}(S \cup T)=f^{-1}(S) \cup f^{-1}(T)$

PROOF :

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \in f^{-1}(S \cup T) & \Longleftrightarrow f(a) \in S \cup T \\
& \Longleftrightarrow f(a) \in S \text { or } f(a) \in T \\
& \Longleftrightarrow a \in f^{-1}(S) \text { or } a \in f^{-1}(T) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow a \in f^{-1}(S) \cup f^{-1}(T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- $f^{-1}(S \cap T)=f^{-1}(S) \cap f^{-1}(T)$

PROOF:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a \in f^{-1}(S \cap T) & \Longleftrightarrow f(a) \in S \cap T \\
& \Longleftrightarrow f(a) \in S \text { and } f(a) \in T \\
& \Longleftrightarrow a \in f^{-1}(S) \text { and } a \in f^{-1}(T) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow a \in f^{-1}(S) \cap f^{-1}(T) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## THE DIVISION THEOREM :

$\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}, \exists!q, r \in \mathbb{Z}:(0 \leq r<d, \quad n=q d+r)$.

EXAMPLE: If $n=21$ and $d=8$ then

$$
n=2 \cdot d+5 .
$$

Thus, here $q=2$ and $r=5$.

- $d$ is called the divisor,
- $q$ is called the quotient;
- $r$ is called the remainder;
we write $q=n \operatorname{div} d$, we write $r=n \bmod d$.


## EXAMPLES :

$$
n=14, d=5: \quad 14=2 \cdot d+4, \quad \text { so }
$$

$$
14 \operatorname{div} 5=2 \quad \text { and } \quad 14 \bmod 5=4
$$

$$
n=-14, d=5: \quad-14=(-3) \cdot d+1, \quad \text { so }
$$

$$
-14 \operatorname{div} 5=-3 \quad \text { and } \quad-14 \bmod 5=1
$$

Let $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$and $n, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From the definitions of "div" and "mod" it follows that:

PROPERTY 1: $\quad n=(n \operatorname{div} d) d+n \bmod d$
Example: $23=(23 \operatorname{div} 7) \cdot 7+23 \bmod 7$

PROPERTY 2: If $0 \leq r<d$ then $(q d+r) \bmod d=r$
Example :

$$
(5 \cdot 7+3) \bmod 7=3
$$

PROPERTY 3: $\quad(q d+n \bmod d) \bmod d=n \bmod d$
Example : $(5 \cdot 7+23 \bmod 7) \bmod 7=23 \bmod 7$

PROPERTY 4 : Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then

$$
(a d+b) \bmod d=b \bmod d
$$

## PROOF :

By the Division Theorem

$$
b=q d+r, \quad \text { where } \quad r=b \bmod d, \quad \text { with } \quad 0 \leq r<d .
$$

Thus, using Property 2

$$
(a d+b) \bmod d=((a+q) d+r) \bmod d=r=b \bmod d
$$

QED!

EXAMPLE: $\quad(57 \cdot 7+13) \bmod 7=13 \bmod 7$.

PROPERTY 5 : Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then

$$
(a \bmod d) \bmod d=a \bmod d
$$

PROOF: Using Property 3,

$$
(a \bmod d) \bmod d=(0 \cdot d+a \bmod d) \bmod d=a \bmod d
$$

## EXAMPLE :

$(59 \bmod 7) \bmod 7=59 \bmod 7$.

## PROPERTY 6 :

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then

$$
(a+b) \bmod d=(a \bmod d+b \bmod d) \bmod d
$$

## EXAMPLE :

$$
(5+8) \bmod 3=1=(5 \bmod 3+8 \bmod 3) \bmod 3
$$

## PROOF :

By the Division Theorem
$a=q_{a} d+r_{a}, \quad$ where $\quad r_{a}=a \bmod d, \quad$ with $\quad 0 \leq r_{a}<d$, $b=q_{b} d+r_{b}, \quad$ where $\quad r_{b}=b \bmod d, \quad$ with $\quad 0 \leq r_{b}<d$.

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b) \bmod d & =\left(q_{a} d+r_{a}+q_{b} d+r_{b}\right) \bmod d \\
& =\left(\left(q_{a}+q_{b}\right) d+r_{a}+r_{b}\right) \bmod d \\
& =\left(r_{a}+r_{b}\right) \bmod d \quad(\text { using Property } 4) \\
& =(a \bmod d+b \bmod d) \bmod d . \quad \text { QED }!
\end{aligned}
$$

## DEFINITION :

If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}, d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, and if

$$
a \bmod d=b \bmod d
$$

then we also write

$$
a \equiv b(\bmod d),
$$

and we say

$$
\text { " } a \text { is congruent to } b \text { modulo } d \text { ". }
$$

EXAMPLE :

$$
83 \equiv 31(\bmod 26)
$$

Note that $83-31=52$, which is divisible by 26 , i.e.,

$$
26 \mid(83-31) .
$$

## PROPOSITION: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then

$$
a \equiv b(\bmod d) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad d \mid(a-b) .
$$

## PROOF :

$(\Rightarrow)$ First, if $a \equiv b(\bmod d)$ then, by definition,

$$
a \bmod d=b \bmod d
$$

Hence there exist $q_{a}, q_{b}, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $0 \leq r<d$, such that

$$
a=q_{a} d+r \quad \text { and } \quad b=q_{b} d+r \quad \text { (same remainder). }
$$

It follows that

$$
a-b=\left(q_{a}-q_{b}\right) d,
$$

so that $d \mid(a-b)$.

$$
a \equiv b(\bmod d) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad d \mid(a-b)
$$

$(\Leftarrow) \quad$ Conversely, if $d \mid(a-b)$ then

$$
a-b=q d,
$$

i.e.,

$$
a=b+q d,
$$

for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It follows that

$$
a \bmod d=(b+q d) \bmod d=b \bmod d .
$$

PROPOSITION: If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, then

$$
a \equiv b(\bmod d) \Rightarrow a c \equiv b c(\bmod d c)
$$

## PROOF :

$a \equiv b(\bmod d)$ if and only if $d \mid(a-b)$,
i.e.,

$$
a-b=q d, \quad \text { for some } q \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Then $a c-b c=q d c$, so that $(d c) \mid(a c-b c)$,
i.e.,

$$
a c \equiv b c(\bmod d c) .
$$

NOTE: We also have that $a c \equiv b c(\bmod d)$.

PROPOSITION: Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$. Then

$$
a \equiv b(\bmod 2 d) \Rightarrow a^{2} \equiv b^{2}(\bmod 4 d)
$$

EXAMPLE : Let $a=13, b=7 d=3$. Then

$$
13 \equiv 7(\bmod 2 \cdot 3)
$$

i.e.,

$$
13(\bmod 6)=7(\bmod 6),
$$

and

$$
13^{2} \equiv 7^{2}(\bmod 4 \cdot 3)
$$

i.e.,

$$
169(\bmod 12)=49(\bmod 12) \quad(\text { Check! })
$$

$$
a \equiv b(\bmod 2 d) \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^{2} \equiv b^{2}(\bmod 4 d)
$$

PROOF: Suppose $a \equiv b(\bmod 2 d)$.
Then $2 d \mid(a-b)$, i.e., $a-b=q 2 d$, for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Thus $a$ and $b$ differ by an even number.
It follows that $a$ and $b$ must be both even or both odd.
Hence $a+b$ must be even, i.e., $a+b=2 c$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Then $a^{2}-b^{2}=(a+b)(a-b)=(2 c)(q 2 d)=c q 4 d$.
It follows that $4 d \mid\left(a^{2}-b^{2}\right)$, i.e., $a^{2} \equiv b^{2}(\bmod 4 d)$.
QED !
NOTE: Also $a^{2} \equiv b^{2}(\bmod 2 d)$ and $a^{2} \equiv b^{2}(\bmod d)$.

PROPOSITION: If $n>3$ then not all of
can be primes.

$$
n, n+2, n+4
$$

Idea of the proof: Always one of these three numbers is divisible by 3 .
PROOF. By contradiction: Assume that $n>3$ and that

$$
n, n+2 \text { and } n+4 \text { are primes. }
$$

Since $n$ is prime and $n>3$ we have

$$
n \bmod 3=1 \quad \text { or } \quad n \bmod 3=2
$$

Case 1: If $n \bmod 3=1$ then $n=3 k+1$ and

$$
n+2=3 k+3, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad 3 \mid(n+2)
$$

Case 2: If $n \bmod 3=2$ then $n=3 k+2$ and

$$
n+4=3 k+6, \quad \text { i.e., } \quad 3 \mid(n+4) .
$$

Contradiction!

## THE FACTORIZATION THEOREM :

$\forall n \in\left(\mathbb{Z}^{+}-\{1\}\right), \exists!\left(m,\left\{p_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m},\left\{n_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}\right):$

$$
m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+},
$$

$\forall i(i=1, \cdots, m): p_{i}, n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$,
$1<p_{1}<p_{2}<\cdots<p_{m}$,
$\forall i(i=1, \cdots, m): p_{i}$ is a prime number ,

$$
n=p_{1}^{n_{1}} p_{2}^{n_{2}} \cdots p_{m}^{n_{m}}
$$

EXAMPLE: $\quad 252=2^{2} 3^{2} 7^{1}$.

PROPOSITION: $\log _{2} 3$ is irrational.

PROOF: By contradiction:
Suppose $\log _{2} 3$ is rational, i.e., $\exists p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, such that

$$
\log _{2} 3=p / q
$$

By definition of the log function it follows that

$$
2^{p / q}=3,
$$

from which

$$
2^{p}=3^{q} .
$$

Let $n=2^{p}$. Then $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, with $n \geq 2$.
Then $n$ has two different prime factorizations, namely

$$
n=2^{p} \quad \text { and } \quad n=3^{q} .
$$

This contradicts the Factorization Theorem. QED!

## REMARK :

The fact that

$$
2^{p} \neq 3^{q},
$$

also follows from the facts that $2^{p}$ is even and $3^{q}$ is odd.

## DEFINITION :

We call $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$a perfect square if

$$
\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} \quad: \quad n=k^{2}
$$

## FACT :

The factorization of a perfect square has only even powers :
If

$$
k=p_{1}^{n_{1}} p_{2}^{n_{2}} \cdots p_{m}^{n_{m}},
$$

then

$$
n=k^{2}=p_{1}^{2 n_{1}} p_{2}^{2 n_{2}} \cdots p_{m}^{2 n_{m}}
$$

## PROPOSITION :

If $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is not a perfect square then $\sqrt{n}$ is irrational.

## PROOF :

By contradiction :

Suppose $n$ is not a perfect square, but $\sqrt{n}$ is rational.

Thus

$$
\sqrt{n}=\frac{p}{q}
$$

i.e.,

$$
p^{2}=n q^{2},
$$

for some $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

$$
p^{2}=n q^{2}
$$

- The prime factorization of $p^{2}$ has even powers only.
- The prime factorization of $q^{2}$ has even powers only.
- The prime factorization of $n$ must have an odd power, (otherwise $n$ would be a perfect square).
- Thus the factorization of $n q^{2}$ must have an odd power.
- Thus $p^{2}$ has two distinct factorizations: one with even powers and one with at least one odd power.

This contradicts the uniqueness in the Factorization Theorem.
QED!

## DEFINITION :

$k \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$is the greatest common divisor of $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$,

$$
k=\operatorname{gcd}(n, m),
$$

if

- $k \mid n$ and $k \mid m$,
- no positive integer greater than $k$ divides both $n$ and $m$.


## REMARK :

One can determine

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(n, m)
$$

from the minimum exponents in the prime factorizations of $n$ and $m$.

EXAMPLE: If

$$
n=168, \quad m=900
$$

then

$$
n=2^{3} 3^{1} 7^{1}, \quad m=2^{2} 3^{2} 5^{2}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(168,900)=2^{2} 3^{1}=12
$$

## THE EUCLIDEAN THEOREM :

Let $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, and let

$$
r=n \bmod d, \quad \text { (the remainder) }
$$

Then

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\operatorname{gcd}(d, r) & \text { if } & r>0 \\
d & \text { if } & r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## EXAMPLE :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{gcd}(93,36) & =\operatorname{gcd}(36,93 \bmod 36)=\operatorname{gcd}(36,21) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(21,36 \bmod 21)=\operatorname{gcd}(21,15) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(15,21 \bmod 15)=\operatorname{gcd}(15,6) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(6,15 \bmod 6) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(6,3) \\
& =3
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE :

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\operatorname{gcd}(2008,1947) & =\operatorname{gcd}(1947,2008 \bmod 1947) & =\operatorname{gcd}(1947,61) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(61,1947 \bmod 61) & =\operatorname{gcd}(61,56) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(56,61 \bmod 56) & & =\operatorname{gcd}(56,5) \\
& =\operatorname{gcd}(5,56 \bmod 5) & & =\operatorname{gcd}(5,1) \\
& =1
\end{array}
$$

Thus 2008 and 1947 are relatively prime.

## LEMMA :

Let $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $d \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

Then
(1) $(a=b+c, d|a, d| b) \Rightarrow d \mid c$,
(2) $(a=b+c, d|b, d| c) \Rightarrow d \mid a$,
(3) $(a=b c, d \mid c) \quad \Rightarrow \quad d \mid a$.

$$
\text { (1) } \quad(a=b+c, d|a, d| b) \quad \Rightarrow \quad d \mid c
$$

PROOF of (1) :

$$
d \mid a \Longleftrightarrow \exists q_{a} \in \mathbb{Z}: a=d q_{a},
$$

and

$$
d \mid b \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \exists q_{b} \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad b=d q_{b} .
$$

Thus

$$
c=a-b=d q_{a}-d q_{b}=d\left(q_{a}-q_{b}\right) .
$$

Hence $d \mid c$.

EXERCISE : Prove (2) and (3) in a similar way.

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
\operatorname{gcd}(d, r) & \text { if } \quad r>0 \\
d & \text { if } \quad r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## PROOF OF THE EUCLIDEAN THEOREM :

By the Division Theorem

$$
n=q \cdot d+r,
$$

where

$$
q=n \operatorname{div} d \quad \text { and } \quad r=n \bmod d
$$

Case 1: $r=0$.
Then clearly $d \mid n$.
Also $d \mid d$ and no greater number than $d$ divides $d$.
Hence $d=\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)$.

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)=\left\{\begin{array}{cll}
\operatorname{gcd}(d, r) & \text { if } \quad r>0 \\
d & \text { if } \quad r=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 2: $r>0$ :
Let $k=\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)$.
Then $k \mid n$ and $k \mid d$.
By the Division Theorem

$$
n=q \cdot d+r,
$$

By Lemma (3) $k \mid q d$.
By Lemma (1) $k \mid r$.
Thus $k$ divides both $d$ and $r$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\operatorname{gcd}(d, r) & \text { if } \\
d & \text { if } \\
r=0
\end{array}\right. \\
k=\operatorname{gcd}(n, d) \quad, \quad n=q \cdot d+r .
\end{gathered}
$$

Show $k$ is the greatest common divisor of $d$ and $r$ :
By contradiction :
Suppose $k_{1}>k$ and $k_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}(d, r)$.
Thus $k_{1} \mid d$ and $k_{1} \mid r$
By Lemma (3) $\quad k_{1} \mid q d$.
By Lemma (2) $\quad k_{1} \mid n$.
Thus $k_{1}$ divides both $n$ and $d$.
Since $k_{1}>k$ this contradicts that $k=\operatorname{gcd}(n, d)$. QED!

## REVIEW EXERCISES.

Problem 1.

Prove that a composite number $n$ has a factor $k \leq \sqrt{n}$.

Thus to check if a number $n$ is prime one needs only check whether

$$
n \bmod k=0, \quad k=2,3, \cdots,\lfloor\sqrt{n}\rfloor .
$$

Problem 2. Use the above fact to check whether 143 is prime.

Problem 3. Find all integer solutions of

$$
2 x \equiv 7(\bmod 17)
$$

Problem 4. Find all integer solutions of

$$
4 x \equiv 5(\bmod 9)
$$

## Problem 5.

Does there exists an integer $x$ that simultaneously satisfies

$$
x \equiv 2(\bmod 6) \quad \text { and } \quad x \equiv 3(\bmod 9) ?
$$

SOLUTION Problem 3: From the given equation

$$
2 x \equiv 7(\bmod 17)
$$

it follows that

$$
2 x \bmod 17=7,
$$

SO

$$
2 x=17 q+7 .
$$

Thus $17 q+7$ must be even, and hence $q$ must be odd, $q=2 k+1$.
It follows that

$$
2 x=17(2 k+1)+7=34 k+24,
$$

from which

$$
x=17 k+12,
$$

that is,

$$
x=12(\bmod 17)
$$

SOLUTION Problem 4: From the given equation

$$
4 x \equiv 5(\bmod 9)
$$

it follows that

$$
4 x \bmod 9=5
$$

so

$$
4 x=9 q+5
$$

Thus $9 q+5$ must be even and hence $q$ must be odd, $q=2 k+1$.
This gives

$$
4 x=9(2 k+1)+5=18 k+14
$$

from which

$$
2 x=9 k+7,
$$

which must be even. Thus $k$ must be odd, $k=2 s+1$. We get

$$
2 x=9(2 s+1)+7=18 s+16,
$$

from which

$$
x=9 s+8 .
$$

Thus

$$
x=8(\bmod 9) .
$$

SOLUTION Problem 5: From

$$
x=2(\bmod 6), \quad \text { and } \quad x=3(\bmod 9),
$$

it follows that

$$
x \bmod 6=2, \quad \text { and } \quad x \bmod 9=3 .
$$

Hence

$$
x=6 k+2 \quad \text { and } \quad x=9 s+3,
$$

so that

$$
6 k+2=9 s+3
$$

from which

$$
6 k-9 s=1
$$

Thus

$$
3(2 k-3 s)=1
$$

which clearly has no solutions.

## Problem 6. Let

$$
S=\{0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9\},
$$

and define

$$
f: S \longrightarrow S,
$$

by

$$
f(k)=(5 k+3) \bmod 10 .
$$

Is $f$ invertible?

Problem 7. with $S$ as above, also consider

$$
f(k)=(6 k+3) \bmod 10,
$$

and

$$
f(k)=(7 k+3) \bmod 10 .
$$

Problem 8. Let $n \geq 2$,

$$
S_{n}=\{0,1,2,3, \cdots, n-1\},
$$

and define

$$
f: S_{n} \longrightarrow S_{n},
$$

by

$$
f(k)=(p k+s) \bmod n,
$$

where $p$ is prime, with $p>n$, and $s \in S_{n}$.

Prove that $f$ is one-to-one (and hence onto and invertible).

## SOLUTION Problem 8:

## Suppose

$$
f\left(k_{1}\right)=f\left(k_{2}\right), \text { where } 0 \leq k_{1}<n \text { and } 0 \leq k_{2}<n .
$$

Thus

$$
\left(p k_{1}+s\right) \bmod n=\left(p k_{2}+s\right) \bmod n,
$$

from which

$$
n \mid\left[\left(p k_{1}+s\right)-\left(p k_{2}+s\right)\right] .
$$

Hence

$$
n \mid\left[p\left(k_{1}-k_{2}\right)\right], \quad \text { where }-(n-1) \leq k_{1}-k_{2}<n-1 .
$$

Between $-(n-1)$ and $(n-1)$ only 0 is divisible by $n$.
Also, no factor of $n$ divides divides $p$, because $p$ is prime, with $p>n$.
Hence $k_{1}-k_{2}=0$, i.e., $k_{1}=k_{2}$.
Thus $f$ is one-to-one.

## THE PRINCIPLE OF INDUCTION

Let

$$
S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, \cdots\right\}
$$

be a countably infinite set.

Suppose $P$ is a predicate,

$$
P: S \longrightarrow\{T, F\},
$$

such that:
(i) $\quad P\left(s_{1}\right)=T$,
(ii) for all $n: \quad P\left(s_{n}\right)=T \quad \Rightarrow \quad P\left(s_{n+1}\right)=T$.

Then

$$
P(s)=T, \quad \text { for all } s \in S
$$

EXAMPLE:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

Here $S=\mathbb{Z}^{+}$and

$$
P(n)=T \quad \text { if } \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} k=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}
$$

and

$$
P(n)=F \quad \text { if } \quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \neq \frac{n(n+1)}{2} .
$$

We must show that $P(n)=T$ for all $n$.

## PROOF :

(i) "By inspection" the formula holds if $n=1$, i.e., $P(1)=T$.
(ii) Suppose $P(n)=T$ for some arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \quad \text { ("the inductive assumption" ). }
$$

We must show that $P(n+1)=T$, i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k=\frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2}, \quad \text { ("the inductive step"). }
$$

This is done as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\right)+(n+1)=\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+(n+1) \\
& = \\
& \frac{n(n+1)+2(n+1)}{2}=\frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE:

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}=\frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

## PROOF :

(i) Again the formula is valid if $n=1$.
(ii) Suppose

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}=\frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6}
$$

for some arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
We must show that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^{2}=\frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)(2(n+1)+1)}{6} .
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}=\frac{n(n+1)(2 n+1)}{6} \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^{2}=\frac{(n+1)((n+1)+1)(2(n+1)+1)}{6}
$$

To do this : $\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} k^{2}=\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2}\right)+(n+1)^{2}$

$$
=n(n+1)(2 n+1) / 6+(n+1)^{2}
$$

$$
=(n+1)(n(2 n+1)+6(n+1)) / 6
$$

$$
=(n+1)\left(2 n^{2}+7 n+6\right) / 6
$$

$$
=(n+1)(n+2)(2 n+3) / 6
$$

$$
=(n+1)((n+1)+1)(2(n+1)+1) / 6
$$

## EXAMPLE:

$$
\left(n^{3}-n\right) \bmod 3=0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

( Can you prove this by a direct proof?)

PROOF (by induction) :
(i) By inspection $P(1)=T$.
(ii) Suppose $P(n)=T$, i.e.,

$$
\left(n^{3}-n\right) \bmod 3=0, \text { for some arbitrary } n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

We must show that $P(n+1)=T$, i.e.,

$$
\left((n+1)^{3}-(n+1)\right) \bmod 3=0
$$

$$
\left(n^{3}-n\right) \bmod 3=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad\left((n+1)^{3}-(n+1)\right) \bmod 3=0
$$

To do this :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left((n+1)^{3}-(n+1)\right) \bmod 3 & =\left(n^{3}+3 n^{2}+3 n-n\right) \bmod 3 \\
& =\left(3\left(n^{2}+n\right)+n^{3}-n\right) \bmod 3 \\
& =\left(n^{3}-n\right) \bmod 3=0 . \quad \mathrm{QED}!
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXAMPLE :

Let $P(n)$ denote the statement

$$
\text { "A set } S_{n} \text { of } n \text { elements has } 2^{n} \text { subsets". }
$$

CLAIM: $P(n)=T$ for all $n \geq 0$.

## PROOF :

(i) $P(0)=T$ because the empty set has one subset, namely itself.
(ii) Suppose that $P(n)=T$ for some arbitrary $n,(n \geq 0)$, i.e., $S_{n}$ has $2^{n}$ subsets.

We must show that $P(n+1)=T$, i.e., $S_{n+1}$ has $2^{n+1}$ subsets.

To do this write

$$
S_{n+1}=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, \cdots, s_{n}, s_{n+1}\right\}=S_{n} \cup\left\{s_{n+1}\right\} .
$$

Now count the subsets of $S_{n+1}$ :
(a) By inductive hypothesis $S_{n}$ has $2^{n}$ subsets.

These are also subsets of $S_{n+1}$.
(b) All other subsets of $S_{n+1}$ have the form

$$
T \cup\left\{s_{n+1}\right\}
$$

where $T$ is any subset of $S_{n}$.
Thus there are $2^{n}$ such additional subsets.
The total number of subsets of $S_{n+1}$ is therefore

$$
2^{n}+2^{n}=2^{n+1} . \quad \text { QED }!
$$

## EXAMPLE :

Let $P(n)$ denote the statement

$$
3^{n}<n!
$$

## CLAIM :

$$
P(n)=T \quad \text { for all integers } n \text { with } n>6 .
$$

REMARK : $P(n)$ is False for $n \leq 6$. (Check!)

## PROOF :

(i) $P(7)=T$, because

$$
3^{7}=2187<5040=7!
$$

(ii) Assume $P(n)=T$ for some arbitrary $n, \quad(n \geq 7)$,
i.e.,

$$
3^{n}<n!\quad(n \geq 7)
$$

We must show that $P(n+1)=T$, i.e.,

$$
3^{n+1}<(n+1)!
$$

$$
3^{n}<n!\quad \Rightarrow \quad 3^{n+1}<(n+1)!
$$

To do this :

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
3^{n+1} & =3 \cdot 3^{n} & \\
& <3 \cdot n!\quad & \\
& <(n+1) n! & \\
& (\text { sy inductive assumption }) \\
& =(n+1)! &
\end{array}
$$

EXERCISE : For which nonnegative integers is

$$
n^{2} \leq n!?
$$

Prove your answer by induction.

EXERCISE: For which positive integers $n$ is

$$
n^{2} \leq 2^{n} ?
$$

Prove your answer by induction.

SOLUTION: $n^{2} \leq n$ ! , for all $n \geq 4$.

PROOF : If $n=4$ then $n^{2}=16$ and $n!=24$ so the inequality holds.
Inductively assume that for arbitrary $n,(n \geq 4)$, we have $n^{2} \leq n$ !.
We must show that $(n+1)^{2} \leq(n+1)$ !.
To do this :
$(n+1)^{2}=n^{2}+2 n+1 \leq n!+n!=2 n!<(n+1) n!=(n+1)!$,
where we used the inductive assumption and the fact that

$$
2 n+1 \leq n!\text { for } n \geq 4
$$

The latter is easily seen as follows :

$$
2 n+1<2 n+n=3 n \leq n!\text { for } n \geq 4
$$

QED!

SOLUTION: $n^{2} \leq 2^{n}$, for all $n \geq 4$.
PROOF : If $n=4$ then $n^{2}=16$ and $2^{n}=16$ so the inequality holds.
Inductively assume that for arbitrary $n,(n \geq 4)$, we have $n^{2} \leq 2^{n}$.
We must show that $(n+1)^{2} \leq 2^{n+1}$.
To do this :

$$
(n+1)^{2}=n^{2}+2 n+1 \leq n^{2}+n^{2}=2 n^{2} \leq 2 \cdot 2^{n}=2^{n+1}
$$

where we used the inductive assumption and the fact that
i.e., that

$$
\begin{gathered}
2 n+1 \leq n^{2} \quad \text { for } \quad n \geq 4 \\
f(n) \equiv n^{2}-2 n-1 \geq 0 \text { for } n \geq 4
\end{gathered}
$$

The latter is easily seen using Calculus:

$$
f(4)=7 \quad \text { and } \quad f^{\prime}(n)=2(n-1)>0 \text { for } n>1
$$

QED!

## EXAMPLE:

Let

$$
H_{m} \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{1}{k} . \quad \text { ("Harmonic numbers.") }
$$

Let $P(n)$ denote the statement

$$
H_{2^{n}} \geq 1+\frac{n}{2} .
$$

CLAIM : $\quad P(n)=T$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

## PROOF :

(i) It is clear that $P(1)=T$, because

$$
H_{2^{1}}=\sum_{k=1}^{2} \frac{1}{k}=1+\frac{1}{2}
$$

(ii) Assume that

$$
P(n)=T \text { for some arbitrary } n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

i.e.,

$$
H_{2^{n}} \geq 1+\frac{n}{2} .
$$

We must show that

$$
P(n+1)=T,
$$

i.e.,

$$
H_{2^{n+1}} \geq 1+\frac{n+1}{2}
$$

To do this :

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{2^{n+1}}=\sum_{k=1}^{2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{k} & =\sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{1}{k}+\sum_{k=2^{n}+1}^{2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} \frac{1}{k}+\sum_{k=2^{n}+1}^{2^{n}+2^{n}} \frac{1}{k} \\
& \geq\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)+2^{n} \frac{1}{2^{n}+2^{n}} \\
& =\left(1+\frac{n}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \\
& =1+\frac{n+1}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## REMARK :

It follows that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \quad \text { diverges }
$$

i.e.,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \quad \infty \quad \text { as } \quad n \longrightarrow \infty
$$

EXAMPLE : (The Binomial Formula.)
For $n \geq 0, a, b$ nonzero,

$$
(a+b)^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k}
$$

where

$$
\binom{n}{k} \equiv \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} .
$$

REMARK : Thus we can write
$(a+b)^{n}=a^{n}+\binom{n}{1} a^{n-1} b+\binom{n}{2} a^{n-2} b^{2}+\cdots+\binom{n}{n-1} a b^{n-1}+b^{n}$.

## PROOF :

The formula holds if $n=0$. (Check!)

Assume that for some arbitrary $n, \quad(n \geq 0)$

$$
(a+b)^{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k} .
$$

We must show that the formula is also valid for $n+1$, i.e., that

$$
(a+b)^{n+1}=\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} .
$$

This can be done as follows :

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a+b)^{n+1} & =(a+b)(a+b)^{n}=(a+b) \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k} \\
& =a^{n+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k} a^{n-k+1} b^{k}+\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{k} a^{n-k} b^{k+1}+b^{n+1} \\
& =a^{n+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k} a^{n-k+1} b^{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k-1} a^{n-k+1} b^{k}+b^{n+1} \\
& =a^{n+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left\{\binom{n}{k}+\binom{n}{k-1}\right\} a^{n-k+1} b^{k}+b^{n+1} \\
& =a^{n+1}+\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n+1}{k} a^{n-k+1} b^{k}+b^{n+1} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}\binom{n+1}{k} a^{n+1-k} b^{k} . \quad \text { QED ! }
\end{aligned}
$$

## REMARK :

In the proof we used the fact that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{n}{k}+\binom{n}{k-1} & =\frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}+\frac{n!}{(k-1)!(n-k+1)!} \\
& =\frac{n!(n-k+1)+n!k}{k!(n-k+1)!} \\
& =\frac{(n+1)!}{k!(n-k+1)!} \\
& =\binom{n+1}{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

## REMARK :

One can order the binomial coefficients in Pascal's triangle as follows :
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Observe that every entry can be obtained by summing the closest entries in the preceding row.

This is so because the $(n+1)$ st and $(n+2)$ nd rows look like :

$$
1 \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots\binom{n}{k-1} \quad\binom{n}{k} \ldots \ldots \ldots . \begin{aligned}
& 1
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
1 \quad \cdots \cdots \cdots, \quad\binom{n+1}{k} \quad \ldots \ldots \ldots
$$

and we have shown above that

$$
\binom{n}{k}+\binom{n}{k-1}=\binom{n+1}{k} .
$$

## REMARKS :

- The advantage of a proof by induction is that it is systematic.
- A disadvantage is that the result (e.g., a formula) must be known in advance from a heuristic argument or by trial and error.
- In contrast, a constructive proof actually derives the result.

EXAMPLE: For $x \in \mathbb{R}, x \neq 0,1$ :

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k}=\frac{1-x^{n+1}}{1-x}, \quad \forall n \geq 0, \quad(\text { Geometric sum }) .
$$

PROOF ( a constructive proof: already done earlier ) :
Let

$$
S_{n}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{n}=1+x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{n-1}+x^{n} \\
& x \cdot S_{n}= \\
& x+x^{2}+\cdots+x^{n-1}+x^{n}+x^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
S_{n}-x \cdot S_{n}=(1-x) \cdot S_{n}=1-x^{n+1}
$$

from which the formula follows.

ALTERNATE PROOF ( by induction) :
(i) "By inspection" we find that the formula holds if $n=0$.
(ii) Suppose that $S_{n}=\frac{1-x^{n+1}}{1-x}$, for some arbitary $n,(n \geq 0)$.

Show $S_{n+1}=\frac{1-x^{(n+1)+1}}{1-x}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n+1}=S_{n}+x^{n+1} & =\frac{1-x^{n+1}}{1-x}+x^{n+1} \\
& =\frac{\left(1-x^{n+1}\right)+x^{n+1}(1-x)}{1-x} \\
& =\frac{1-x^{n+1}+x^{n+1}-x^{n+2}}{1-x} \\
& =\frac{1-x^{(n+1)+1}}{1-x} \cdot \quad \mathrm{QED}!
\end{aligned}
$$

## EXERCISE :

Use mathematical induction to prove that

$$
21 \mid\left(4^{n+1}+5^{2 n-1}\right)
$$

whenever $n$ is a positive integer.

## EXERCISE :

The Fibonacci numbers are defined as: $f_{1}=1, f_{2}=1$, and

$$
f_{n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}, \quad \text { for } n \geq 3
$$

Use a proof by induction to show that

$$
3 \mid f_{4 n}
$$

for all $n \geq 1$.

SOLUTION: $21 \mid\left(4^{n+1}+5^{2 n-1}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
PROOF: This is clearly true if $n=1$, because in that case

$$
4^{n+1}+5^{2 n-1}=16+5=21
$$

Inductively assume that for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$we have $21 \mid\left(4^{n+1}+5^{2 n-1}\right)$.
We must show that $21 \mid\left(4^{n+2}+5^{2 n+1}\right)$.
To do this :

$$
\begin{aligned}
4^{n+2}+5^{2 n+1} & =4\left(4^{n+1}+5^{2 n-1}\right)-4 \cdot 5^{2 n-1}+5^{2 n+1} \\
& =4\left(4^{n+1}+5^{2 n-1}\right)-4 \cdot 5^{2 n-1}+25 \cdot 5^{2 n-1} \\
& =4\left(4^{n+1}+5^{2 n-1}\right)+21 \cdot 5^{2 n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where, in the last line, the second term is clearly divisible by 21 , while the first term is divisible by 21 by inductive assumption. QED!

SOLUTION : The Fibonacci numbers defined as

$$
f_{1}=1, f_{2}=1, \text { and } f_{n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}, \text { for } n \geq 3,
$$

satisfy

$$
3 \mid f_{4 n}, \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} .
$$

PROOF: This is clearly true if $n=1$, because in that case

$$
f_{4 n}=f_{4}=f_{3}+f_{2}=\left(f_{2}+f_{1}\right)+f_{2}=(1+1)+1=3 .
$$

Inductively assume that for arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$we have $3 \mid f_{4 n}$.
We must show that $3 \mid f_{4(n+1)}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{4(n+1)} & =f_{4 n+4}=f_{4 n+3}+f_{4 n+2} \\
& =\left(f_{4 n+2}+f_{4 n+1}\right)+\left(f_{4 n+1}+f_{4 n}\right) \\
& =f_{4 n+2}+2 f_{4 n+1}+f_{4 n} \\
& =\left(f_{4 n+1}+f_{4 n}\right)+2 f_{4 n+1}+f_{4 n} \\
& =3 f_{4 n+1}+2 f_{4 n},
\end{aligned}
$$

where both terms in the final line are divisible by 3 .
QED!

Variations on the Principle of Induction.
Let $S=\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, \cdots\right\}$ be a countably infinite set and $P$ a predicate :

$$
P: S \longrightarrow\{T, F\} .
$$

VARIATION 1: ( as used so far $\cdots$ )

$$
\left(P\left(s_{1}\right) \wedge\left[\forall n \geq 1: P\left(s_{n}\right) \Rightarrow P\left(s_{n+1}\right)\right]\right) \Rightarrow \forall n: P\left(s_{n}\right)
$$

VARIATION 2 :
$\left(P\left(s_{1}\right) \wedge P\left(s_{2}\right) \wedge\left[\forall n \geq 2: P\left(s_{n-1}\right) \wedge P\left(s_{n}\right) \Rightarrow P\left(s_{n+1}\right)\right]\right) \Rightarrow \forall n: P\left(s_{n}\right)$.

## VARIATION ...

STRONG INDUCTION :
$\left(P\left(s_{1}\right) \wedge \forall n \geq 1:\left[P\left(s_{1}\right) \wedge P\left(s_{2}\right) \wedge \cdots \wedge P\left(s_{n}\right) \Rightarrow P\left(s_{n+1}\right)\right]\right) \Rightarrow \forall n: P\left(s_{n}\right)$.

EXAMPLE: The Fibonacci Numbers.

The Fibonacci numbers are defined recursively as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}=1 \\
& f_{2}=1 \\
& f_{n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}, \quad \text { for } n \geq 3 .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f_{1}=1 & f_{11}=89 & f_{21}=10946 \\
f_{2}=1 & f_{12}=144 & f_{22}=17711 \\
f_{3}=2 & f_{13}=233 & f_{23}=28657 \\
f_{4}=3 & f_{14}=377 & f_{24}=46368 \\
f_{5}=5 & f_{15}=610 & f_{25}=75025 \\
f_{6}=8 & f_{16}=987 & f_{26}=121393 \\
f_{7}=13 & f_{17}=1597 & f_{27}=196418 \\
f_{8}=21 & f_{18}=2584 & f_{28}=317811 \\
f_{9}=34 & f_{19}=4181 & f_{29}=514229 \\
f_{10}=55 & f_{20}=6765 & f_{30}=832040
\end{array}
$$

## PROPERTY:

There is an explicit formula for $f_{n}$, namely

$$
f_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}\right] .
$$

We can also write

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{n} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}\left[1-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{1+\sqrt{5}}\right)^{n}\right] \\
& \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}\left[1-\left(\frac{1-2.236}{1+2.236}\right)^{n}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}\left[1+(-1)^{n+1}(0.3819)^{n}\right] \\
& \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
f_{1}=1 & \approx 0.72361 & f_{11}=89 & \approx 88.99775 \\
f_{2}=1 & \approx 1.17082 & f_{12}=144 & \approx 144.00139 \\
f_{3}=2 & \approx 1.89443 & f_{13}=233 & \approx 332.99914 \\
f_{4}=3 & \approx 3.06525 & f_{14}=377 & \approx 477.00053 \\
f_{5}=5 & \approx 4.95967 & f_{15}=610 & \approx 509.99967 \\
f_{6}=8 & \approx 8.02492 & f_{16}=987 & \approx 987.00020 \\
f_{7}=13 & \approx 12.98460 & f_{17}=1597 & \approx 1596.99987 \\
f_{8}=21 & \approx 21.00952 & f_{18}=2584 & \approx 2584.00008 \\
f_{9}=34 & \approx 33.99412 & f_{19}=4181 & \approx 4180.99995 \\
f_{10}=55 & \approx 55.00364 & f_{20}=6765 & \approx 6765.00003
\end{array}
$$

$$
f_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}\right]
$$

PROOF (By Induction, using Variation 2) :

The formula is valid when $n=1$ :

$$
f_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}-\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right]=1 .
$$

The formula is also valid when $n=2$ :

$$
f_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{5}=1
$$

(Check!)

Inductively, assume that we have

$$
f_{n-1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\right]
$$

and

$$
f_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}\right] .
$$

We must show that

$$
f_{n+1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}\right] .
$$

Using the inductive hypothesis for $n$ and $n-1$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{n+1}=f_{n-1}+f_{n} \quad \text { (by definition) } \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}+\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}\right] \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(1+\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)\right] \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)\right] \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n-1}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left[\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}-\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n+1}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Direct solution of the Fibonacci recurrence relation.

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{1}=1, \quad f_{2}=1 \\
f_{k}=f_{k-1}+f_{k-2}, \quad \text { for } \quad k \geq 3
\end{gathered}
$$

Try solutions of the form

$$
f_{n}=c z^{n}
$$

This gives

$$
c z^{n}=c z^{n-1}+c z^{n-2}, \quad \text { or } \quad z^{n}-z^{n-1}-z^{n-2}=0
$$

from which we obtain the characteristic equation

$$
z^{2}-z-1=0
$$

$$
z^{2}-z-1=0
$$

The characteristic equation has solutions ("roots"):

$$
z=\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{1+4}}{2}
$$

that is,

$$
z_{1}=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \quad, \quad z_{2}=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

The general solution of the recurrence relation is then

$$
f_{n}=c_{1} z_{1}^{n}+c_{2} z_{2}^{n}
$$

$$
f_{n}=c_{1} z_{1}^{n}+c_{2} z_{2}^{n}
$$

The constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are determined by the initial conditions :

$$
f_{1}=1 \Rightarrow c_{1} z_{1}+c_{2} z_{2}=1
$$

and

$$
f_{2}=1 \Rightarrow c_{1} z_{1}^{2}+c_{2} z_{2}^{2}=1
$$

that is,

$$
c_{1} \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}+c_{2} \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}=1
$$

and

$$
c_{1}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2}+c_{2}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{2}=1
$$

from which we find

$$
c_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} . \quad(\text { Check }!)
$$

$$
f_{n}=c_{1} z_{1}^{n}+c_{2} z_{2}^{n}
$$

We found that

$$
z_{1}=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \quad, \quad z_{2}=\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} .
$$

and

$$
c_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \quad \text { and } \quad c_{2}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} . \quad(\text { Check }!)
$$

from which

$$
f_{n}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^{n}
$$

## REVIEW EXERCISES.

## Problem 1.

Prove that the Fibonacci numbers satisfy the following relations:

- $\quad \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{2 k-1}=f_{2 n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
- $f_{n-1} f_{n+1}-f_{n}^{2}=(-1)^{n}$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+},(n \geq 2)$.
- $\quad-f_{1}+f_{2}-f_{3}+\cdots-f_{2 n-1}+f_{2 n}=f_{2 n-1}-1$, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.


## SOLUTION: $\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{2 k-1}=f_{2 n}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

PROOF: This is true if $n=1$, because $f_{1}=f_{2}$.
Inductively, assume that for arbitrary $n$ we have

We must show

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{2 k-1}=f_{2 n}
$$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} f_{2 k-1}=f_{2 n+2} .
$$

To do this :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n+1} f_{2 k-1} & =\sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{2 k-1}+f_{2(n+1)-1} \\
& =f_{2 n}+f_{2 n+1}=f_{2 n+2}
\end{aligned}
$$

using the inductive assumption and definition of Fibonacci numbers.
QED!

SOLUTION: $f_{n-1} f_{n+1}-f_{n}^{2}=(-1)^{n}$ for $n \geq 2$.
PROOF: True if $n=2$, since $f_{1} f_{3}-f_{2}^{2}=2-1^{2}=(-1)^{2}$.
Inductively, assume that for arbitrary $n,(n \geq 2)$, we have

We must show

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n-1} f_{n+1}-f_{n}^{2}=(-1)^{n} \\
& f_{n} f_{n+2}-f_{n+1}^{2}=(-1)^{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that it suffices to show that

$$
\left(f_{n} f_{n+2}-f_{n+1}^{2}\right)+\left(f_{n-1} f_{n+1}-f_{n}^{2}\right)=0
$$

Indeed, the LHS of this expression can be rewritten as

$$
f_{n}\left(f_{n+2}-f_{n}\right)-f_{n+1}\left(f_{n+1}-f_{n-1}\right)=f_{n} f_{n+1}-f_{n+1} f_{n}=0 .
$$

QED!

## SOLUTION: $-f_{1}+f_{2}-f_{3}+\cdots-f_{2 n-1}+f_{2 n}=f_{2 n-1}-1$.

PROOF: True if $n=1:-f_{1}+f_{2}=0=f_{1}-1$.

Inductively, assume that for arbitrary $n$ we have

$$
-f_{1}+f_{2}-f_{3}+\cdots-f_{2 n-1}+f_{2 n}=f_{2 n-1}-1 .
$$

We must show

$$
-f_{1}+f_{2}-f_{3}+\cdots-f_{2 n-1}+f_{2 n}-f_{2 n+1}+f_{2 n+2}=f_{2 n+1}-1 .
$$

Indeed, using the inductive assumption and Fibonacci definitions,

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
\left(-f_{1}+f_{2}\right. & \left.-f_{3}+\cdots-f_{2 n-1}+f_{2 n}\right)-f_{2 n+1}+f_{2 n+2} \\
& =\left(f_{2 n-1}-1\right)-f_{2 n+1}+f_{2 n+2} \\
& =\left(f_{2 n-1}-1\right)+f_{2 n} \\
& =f_{2 n+1}-1 & \text { QED ! }
\end{array}
$$

Problem 2. The recurrence relation

$$
x_{n+1}=c x_{n}\left(1-x_{n}\right), \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots,
$$

known as the logistic equation, models population growth when there are limited resources.

Write a small computer program (using real arithmetic) to see what happens to the sequence $x_{n}, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots$, with $0<x_{1}<1$, for each of the following values of $c$ :
(a) 0.5
(b) 1.5
(c) 3.2
(d) 3.5
(e) 3.9

Problem 3. Find an explicit solution to the recurrence relation

$$
x_{n+1}=3 x_{n}-2 x_{n-1}, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots,
$$

with $x_{1}=1$ and $x_{2}=3$.

## RELATIONS

A binary relation relates elements of a set to elements of another set.

## EXAMPLE:

The operator " $\leq$ " relates elements of $\mathbb{Z}$ to elements of $\mathbb{Z}$. e.g.,

$$
2 \leq 5, \quad \text { and } \quad 3 \leq 3, \quad \text { but } \quad 7 \not \leq 2 .
$$

We can also view this relation as a function

$$
\leq: \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow\{T, F\}
$$

## RECALL:

Let

$$
A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n_{A}}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n_{B}}\right\} .
$$

The product set $A \times B$ is the set of all ordered pairs from $A$ and $B$.

More precisely,

$$
A \times B \equiv\{(a, b): a \in A, b \in B\}
$$

## NOTE :

- The product set $A \times B$ has $n_{A} \cdot n_{B}$ elements.
- If $A$ and $B$ are distinct and nonempty then $A \times B \neq B \times A$.


## EXAMPLE :

If

$$
A=\{!, ?\} \quad \text { and } \quad B=\{\bullet, \circ, \triangleright\},
$$

then

$$
A \times B=\{(!, \bullet),(!, \circ),(!, \text { व) },(?, \bullet),(?, \circ),(?, \text { व) }\} .
$$

We can now equivalently define :

## DEFINITION :

A binary relation $R$ from $A$ to $B$ is a subset of $A \times B$.

## NOTATION :

If $R \subseteq A \times B$, and

$$
(a, b) \in R,
$$

then we say that

$$
\text { "a is } R \text {-related to } b " \text { ", }
$$

and we also write

$$
a R b .
$$

## EXAMPLE :

Let $A=\{1,3\}$ and $B=\{3,5,9\}$.

Let $R$ denote the relation "divides" from $A$ to $B$, i.e.,

$$
a R b \quad \text { if and only if } a \mid b .
$$

Then

$$
1 R 3,1 R 5,1 R 9,3 R 3, \text { and } 3 R 9 .
$$

Thus

$$
R=\{(1,3),(1,5),(1,9),(3,3),(3,9)\}
$$

We can represent $R$ by the following diagram


This representation is an example of a directed bipartite graph. Note that $R$ is not a function, since it is multi-valued.

## REMARK :

We see that a relation generalizes the notion of a function.

Unlike functions from a set $A$ to a set $B$ :

- A relation does not have to be defined for all $a \in A$.
- A relation does not have to be single-valued.

A finite relation from a set $A$ into itself can be represented by an ordinary directed graph.

## EXAMPLE :

Let

$$
A=\{1,2,3,4,5,6\}
$$

and let $R$ denote the relation "divides" from $A$ to $A$.

We say that $R$ is a relation "on $A$ ".

This relation has the following directed graph representation :


The "divides" relation on the set $A$.

We can compose relations as follows :

Let $R$ be a relation from $A$ to $B$, and $S$ a relation from $B$ to $C$.


Then $S \circ R$ is the relation from $A$ to $C$ defined by

$$
a(S \circ R) c \quad \text { if and only if } \quad \exists b \in B: a R b \wedge b S c .
$$

## EXAMPLE:

Let

$$
A=\{1,2,3\}, \quad B=\{2,6\}, \quad \text { and } \quad C=\{1,9,15\},
$$

and define the relations $R$ and $S$ by

$$
a R b \quad \text { if and only if } a \mid b,
$$

and
$b S c \quad$ if and only if $\quad b+c$ is prime.

Define

$$
T=S \circ R
$$

Then from the diagram below we see that

$$
T=\{(1,1),(1,9),(1,15),(2,1),(2,9),(2,15),(3,1)\}
$$
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Let $A, B, C$, and $D$ be sets, and let $R S$, and $T$ be relations :

$$
R: A \longrightarrow B, \quad S: B \longrightarrow C, \quad T: C \longrightarrow D .
$$

## PROPOSITION :

The composition of relations is associative, i.e.,

$$
(T \circ S) \circ R=T \circ(S \circ R) .
$$
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$$
(T \circ S) \circ R=T \circ(S \circ R) .
$$

PROOF : Let $a \in A$ and $d \in D$. Then

$$
a(T \circ S) \circ R d \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \exists b \in B:(a R b \wedge b T \circ S d)
$$

$\Longleftrightarrow \exists b \in B, \exists c \in C:(a R b \wedge b S c \wedge c T d)$
$\Longleftrightarrow \exists c \in C, \exists b \in B:(a R b \wedge b S c \wedge c T d)$
$\Longleftrightarrow \exists c \in C:(a S \circ R c \wedge c T d) \Longleftrightarrow a T \circ(S \circ R) d$.
QED!

EXAMPLE : Let the relation $R$ on

$$
A=\{2,3,4,8,9,12\}
$$

be defined by

$$
(a, b) \in R \quad \text { if and only if } \quad(a \mid b \wedge a \neq b) .
$$

Then

$$
R=\{(2,4),(2,8),(2,12),(3,9),(3,12),(4,8),(4,12)\},
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{2} & \equiv R \circ R=\{(2,8),(2,12)\} \\
R^{3} & \equiv R^{2} \circ R=R \circ R \circ R=\{ \} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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## EXAMPLE :

Let $R$ be the relation on the set of all real numbers defined by

$$
x R y \quad \text { if and only if } \quad x y=1
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x R^{2} z & \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x R y \text { and } y R z \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x y=1 \text { and } y z=1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x=z \text { and } x \neq 0 . \quad \text { (Why ?) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equivalence in detail:

$$
\exists y: x y=1 \text { and } y z=1 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad x=z \text { and } x \neq 0 .
$$

PROOF: $(\Rightarrow) \quad$ Let $x$ and $z$ be real numbers, and assume that

$$
\exists y: x y=1 \text { and } y z=1 .
$$

Then $x$ and $z$ cannot equal zero.

Thus we can write

$$
y=\frac{1}{x} \quad \text { and } \quad y=\frac{1}{z} .
$$

Hence $1 / x=1 / z$, i.e., $x=z$.
Thus $x=z$ and $x \neq 0$.

$$
\exists y: x y=1 \text { and } y z=1 \quad \text { if and only if } \quad x=z \text { and } x \neq 0 .
$$

$(\Leftarrow)$
Conversely, suppose $x$ and $z$ are real numbers with

$$
x=z \quad \text { and } \quad x \neq 0 .
$$

Let $y=1 / x$.

Then $x y=1$ and $y z=1$.

QED!

Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
x R^{3} z & \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x R^{2} y \text { and } y R z \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x=y \text { and } x \neq 0 \text { and } y z=1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x z=1 \tag{Why?}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{3}=R \\
& R^{4}=R^{3} \circ R=R \circ R=R^{2}, \\
& R^{5}=R^{4} \circ R=R^{2} \circ R=R^{3}=R,
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on ...
Thus we see that

$$
R^{n}=R^{2} \quad \text { if } \quad n \text { is even }
$$

and

$$
R^{n}=R \quad \text { if } \quad n \text { is odd }
$$

## EXAMPLE:

Let $R$ be the relation on the real numbers defined by

$$
x R y \text { if and only if } x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
x R^{2} z & \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x R y \text { and } y R z \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \text { and } y^{2}+z^{2} \leq 1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x^{2} \leq 1 \text { and } z^{2} \leq 1  \tag{Why?}\\
& \Longleftrightarrow|x| \leq 1 \text { and }|z| \leq 1 .
\end{align*}
$$



The relations $R$ and $R^{2}$ as subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Similarly

$$
\begin{align*}
x R^{3} z & \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x R^{2} y \text { and } y R z \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists y:|x| \leq 1 \text { and }|y| \leq 1 \text { and } y^{2}+z^{2} \leq 1 \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists y:|x| \leq 1 \text { and } y^{2}+z^{2} \leq 1 \quad \text { (Why ?) } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow|x| \leq 1 \text { and }|z| \leq 1 \quad \text { (Why ?) } \tag{Why?}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus $R^{3}=R^{2}$.

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{4}=R^{3} \circ R=R^{2} \circ R=R^{3}=R^{2}, \\
& R^{5}=R^{4} \circ R=R^{2} \circ R=R^{3}=R^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

and so on ...

Thus we see that

$$
R^{n}=R^{2} \quad \text { for all } \quad n \geq 2 .
$$
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## The relation matrix.

A relation between finite sets can be represented by a relation matrix.
(Also known as the transition matrix).

For a relation $R$ from $A$ to $B$ the relation matrix $R$ has entries

$$
R_{i j}= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \quad\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) \notin R, \\ 1 & \text { if } \quad\left(a_{i}, b_{j}\right) \in R .\end{cases}
$$

## EXAMPLE :

Reconsider the example where

$$
A=\{1,2,3\}, \quad B=\{2,6\}, \quad \text { and } \quad C=\{1,9,15\},
$$

$a R b$ if and only if $a \mid b, \quad$ and $\quad \mathrm{bSc}$ if and only if $b+c$ is prime.
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The relation matrices of $R$ and $S$ are

$$
R=\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{1} \\
& \mathbf{2} \\
& \mathbf{3}
\end{aligned}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{2} & \mathbf{6} \\
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad S=\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{2} \\
\mathbf{2} \\
\mathbf{6}
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 15 \\
1 & 0
\end{array} 00\right)
$$

## We found that

$$
T=S \circ R=\{(1,1),(1,9),(1,15),(2,1),(2,9),(2,15),(3,1)\}
$$




The relation matrices of $R$ and $S$ were found to be

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad, \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The relation matrix of $T=S \circ R$ is

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## PROPOSITION :

Let $A, B$, and $C$ be finite sets.

Let $R$ be a relation from $A$ to $B$.

Let $S$ be a relation from $B$ to $C$.

Let $T=S \circ R$.

Then the relation matrix of $T$ has the same zero-structure as the matrix product $R S$.

## PROOF :

$$
T_{i j}=1 \Longleftrightarrow a_{i} T c_{j}
$$

$\Longleftrightarrow \quad a_{i} R b_{k}$ and $b_{k} S c_{j}$, for some $b_{k} \in B$
$\Longleftrightarrow \quad R_{i k}=1$ and $S_{k j}=1$ for some $k$
$\Longleftrightarrow \quad \sum_{\ell=1}^{n_{B}} R_{i \ell} S_{\ell j} \neq 0$
$\Longleftrightarrow[R S]_{i j} \neq 0 . \quad$ QED !

## REMARK :

If we use Boolean arithmetic, then $T=R S$.

## EXAMPLE:

The matrix product $R S$ in the preceding example is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
2 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Using Boolean arithmetic the matrix product is

$$
T=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

## The inverse of a relation.

Let $R$ be a relation from $A$ to $B$.

Then the inverse relation $R^{-1}$ is the relation from $B$ to $A$ defined by

$$
b R^{-1} a \quad \text { if and only if } a R b,
$$

or, in equivalent notation,

$$
(b, a) \in R^{-1} \quad \text { if and only if } \quad(a, b) \in R .
$$

Thus, unlike functions, relations are always invertible.

## EXAMPLE:



Here

$$
R=\{(1, a),(1, b),(2, a),(3, b)\},
$$

and

$$
R^{-1}=\{(a, 1),(a, 2),(b, 1),(b, 3)\}
$$

The relation matrices are

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \quad R^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that

$$
R^{-1}=R^{T} \quad(\text { transpose })
$$

This holds in general, because if

$$
A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n_{A}}\right\}, \quad B=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \cdots, b_{n_{B}}\right\},
$$

then, by definition of $R^{-1}$ we have for any $a_{i}, b_{j}$ that

$$
b_{j} R^{-1} a_{i} \Longleftrightarrow a_{i} R b_{j} .
$$

Hence $\left[R^{-1}\right]_{j i}=R_{i j}$.

EXERCISE : Let $R$ be the relation on the set

$$
A=\{1,2,3,4\}
$$

defined by

$$
a_{1} R a_{2} \text { if and only if } a_{1}<a_{2} .
$$

- Write down $R$ as a subset of $A \times A$.
- Show the relation matrix of $R$.
- Do the same for $R^{2}, R^{3}, \ldots$

EXERCISE: Do the same for $a_{1} R a_{2}$ if and only if $a_{1} \leq a_{2}$.
EXERCISE: Do the same for $a_{1} R a_{2}$ if and only if $a_{1}+a_{2}=5$.
EXERCISE: Do the same for the set $\mathbb{Z}$ instead of $A$.

DEFINITION: Let $R$ be a relation on $A$ (i.e., from $A$ to $A$ ).

- $R$ is called reflexive if

$$
\forall a \in A:(a, a) \in R, \quad \text { i.e., } \forall a \in A: a R a,
$$

i.e., if the relation matrix $R$ (for finite $A$ ) satisfies

$$
\forall i: R_{i i}=1
$$

## EXAMPLES:

The "divides" relation on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$is reflexive.
The " $\leq$ " relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ is reflexive.
The " $\subseteq$ " relation on a power set $2^{A}$ is reflexive.
The " $<$ " relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ is not reflexive.

- $R$ is symmetric if

$$
\forall a, b \in A: a R b \rightarrow b R a,
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
a R b \Rightarrow b R a,
$$

i.e., if the relation matrix (for finite $A$ ) is symmetric :

$$
\forall i, j: \quad R_{i j}=R_{j i} .
$$

## EXAMPLES:

The relation on the real numbers defined by

$$
x R y \text { if and only if } x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1,
$$

is symmetric.
The "divides" relation on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$is not symmetric.

- $R$ is antisymmetric if for all $a, b \in A$ we have

$$
a R b \wedge b R a \Rightarrow a=b
$$

or equivalently,

$$
a \neq b \Rightarrow \neg(a R b) \vee \neg(b R a),
$$

i.e., if the relation matrix (for finite $A$ ) satisfies

$$
\forall i, j \text { with } i \neq j: R_{i j} R_{j i} \neq 1 .
$$

## EXAMPLES :

The " $\leq$ " relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ is antisymmetric.

The "divides" relation on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$is antisymmetric.
The " $\subseteq$ " relation on a power set $2^{A}$ is antisymmetric.

- $R$ is transitive if

$$
a R b \wedge b R c \Rightarrow a R c
$$

We'll show later that $R$ is transitive if and only if

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} R^{k}=R
$$

in Boolean arithmetic

## EXAMPLES:

The "divides" relation on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$is transitive.
The " $\leq$ " relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ is transitive.
The " $\subseteq$ " relation on a power set $2^{S}$ is transitive.
The relation $a R b \Longleftrightarrow " a+b$ is prime" on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$is not transitive.

EXERCISE : Let $A$ be a set of $n$ elements.

- How many relations are there on $A$ ?

How many relations are there on $A$ that are :

- symmetric ?
- antisymmetric ?
- symmetric and antisymmetric ?
- reflexive?
- reflexive and symmetric ?
- transitive ${ }^{(*)}$ ?
${ }^{(*)}$ Hint: Search the web for "the number of transitive relations" !


## SOLUTIONS :

Let $A$ be a set of $n$ elements.

- The number of relations on $A: 2^{n^{2}}$

The number of relations on $A$ that are :

- symmetric: $2^{\frac{1}{2} n(n+1)}$
- antisymmetric : $2^{n} \cdot 3^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1) n}$
- symmetric and antisymmetric : $2^{n}$
- reflexive: $2^{n(n-1)}$
- reflexive and symmetric : $2^{\frac{1}{2}(n-1) n}$
- An equivalence relation is a relation that is
- reflexive
- symmetric
- transitive.


## EXAMPLE :

The following relation on $\mathbb{Z}$ is an equivalence relation : $a R b \quad$ if and only if $\quad a \bmod m=b \bmod m$.
(Here $m \geq 2$ is fixed.)

- A partial order is a relation that is
- reflexive
- antisymmetric
- transitive.


## EXAMPLES:

The "divides" relation on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

- The " $\leq$ " relation on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
- The " $\subseteq$ " relation on the power set $2^{S}$.
- The operator " $<$ " on $\mathbb{Z}$ is not a partial order: (It is antisymmetric (!) and transitive, but not reflexive.)
- A relation $R$ on a set $A$ is called a total order if
- $R$ is a partial order, and
- $\forall a, b \in A$ we have $a R b$ or $b R a$.


## EXAMPLES:

- The partial order " $\leq$ " is also a total order on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$.
- The partial order $m \mid n$ on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$is not a total order. (For example $5 \nless 7$ and 7 X5.)
- The partial order " $\subseteq$ " on $2^{S}$ is not a total order.


## Equivalence classes.

Let $A$ be a set and let $R$ be an equivalence relation on $A$.

Let $a_{1} \in A$.

Define

$$
\left[a_{1}\right]=\left\{a \in A: a R a_{1}\right\},
$$

that is

$$
\left[a_{1}\right]=\text { all elements of } A \text { that "are equivalent" to } a_{1} .
$$

Then $\left[a_{1}\right]$ is called the equivalence class generated by $a_{1}$.

## EXAMPLE:

Let $R$ be the relation "congruence modulo 3 " on $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$, i.e.,

$$
a R b \quad \text { if and only if } \quad a \bmod 3=b \bmod 3 .
$$

For example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 R 1,1 R 4,1 R 7,1 R 10, \cdots, \\
& 2 R 2,2 R 5,2 R 8,2 R 11, \\
& 3 R 3,3 R 6,3 R 9,3 R 12,
\end{aligned},
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[1]=\{1,4,7,10,13, \cdots\},} \\
& {[2]=\{2,5,8,11,14, \cdots\},} \\
& {[3]=\{3,6,9,12,15, \cdots\} .}
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that

$$
\mathbb{Z}^{+}=[1] \cup[2] \cup[3] .
$$

- The relation $R$ has partitioned $\mathbb{Z}^{+}$into the subsets [1], [2], [3].
- Any member of a subset can represent the subset, e.g.,

$$
[11]=[2]
$$

## EXAMPLE :

Consider $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, the set of all ordered pairs of integers.

Define a relation $R$ on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) R\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad a_{1}-b_{1}=a_{2}-b_{2} .
$$

Note that $R$ can be viewed as subset of

$$
(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}) \times(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})
$$

$$
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) R\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad a_{1}-b_{1}=a_{2}-b_{2}
$$

$R$ is an equivalence relation :

- $R$ is reflexive : $(a, b) R(a, b)$,
- $R$ is symmetric : $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) R\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \Rightarrow\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) R\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$,
- $R$ is transitive:

$$
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) R\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \wedge\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) R\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right) \Rightarrow\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) R\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)
$$

$$
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) R\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right) \quad \text { if and only if } \quad a_{1}-b_{1}=a_{2}-b_{2}
$$

The equivalence classes are

$$
A_{k}=\{(a, b): a-b=k\}
$$

For example,

$$
A_{1}=[(2,1)]=\{\cdots,(-1,-2),(0,-1),(1,0),(2,1), \cdots\}
$$

The sets $A_{k}$ partition the set $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, namely,

$$
\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}=\cup_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} A_{k}
$$
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## DEFINITION :

- The reflexive closure of $R$ is the smallest relation containing $R$ that is reflexive.
- The symmetric closure of $R$ is the smallest relation containing $R$ that is symmetric.
- The transitive closure of $R$ is the smallest relation containing $R$ that is transitive.


## EXAMPLE :

Let $A=\{1,2,3,4\}$, and let $R$ be the relation on $A$ defined by

$$
R=\{(1,4),(2,1),(2,2),(3,2),(4,1)\}
$$

Then $R$ is not reflexive, not symmetric, and not transitive :


The reflexive closure of $R$ is :


The symmetric closure of $R$ is :


To get the transitive closure of $R$ :


To get the transitive closure of $R$ :


To get the transitive closure of $R$ :


The transitive closure of $R$ is :


PROPERTY: The transitive closure $R^{*}$ of a relation $R$ is given by

$$
R^{*}=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k}
$$

PROOF: Later ...

PROPERTY: For a finite set of $n$ elements, the relation matrix of the transitive closure is

$$
R^{*}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} R^{k} \quad \text { (using Boolean arithmetic) }
$$

NOTE: It suffices to sum only the first $n$ powers of the matrix $R$ !

EXAMPLE: In the preceding example,

$$
R=\{(1,4),(2,1),(2,2),(3,2),(4,1)\}
$$


we have the relation matrix :

$$
R=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus, using Boolean arithmetic,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R^{2}=R \cdot R=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right), \\
& R^{3}=R \cdot R^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
& R^{4}=R \cdot R^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
R^{*}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} R^{k}=R+R^{2}+R^{3}+R^{4}=
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)+\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

The graph of $R^{*}$ (shown before) is :

which indeed has the relation matrix

$$
R^{*}=\sum_{k=1}^{4} R^{k}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

RECALL : The transitive closure $R^{*}$ of a relation $R$ is given by

$$
R^{*}=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k}
$$

(to be proved later ...)

EXAMPLE : Consider the relation $R$ on the real numbers

$$
x R y \text { if and only if } x y=1
$$

Earlier we found that
and

$$
x R^{2} y \text { if and only if } x=y \wedge x \neq 0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
R & =R^{3}=R^{5}=\cdots \\
R^{2} & =R^{4}=R^{6}=\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the transitive closure is

$$
x R^{*} y \text { if and only if } x y=1 \vee(x=y \wedge x \neq 0) .
$$

EXAMPLE : Again consider the relation $R$ on the real numbers

$$
x R y \text { if and only if } x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1
$$

Earlier we found that

$$
x R^{2} y \quad \text { if and only if } \quad|x| \leq 1 \wedge|y| \leq 1
$$

and

$$
R^{n}=R^{2}, \quad \text { for } n \geq 2
$$

Thus the transitive closure is

$$
x R^{*} y \quad \text { if and only if } \quad x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1 \vee(|x| \leq 1 \wedge|y| \leq 1),
$$

that is,
$x R^{*} y \quad$ if and only if $\quad|x| \leq 1 \wedge|y| \leq 1$.
(Why ?)

EXERCISE: Let $R$ be the relation on the real numbers given by

$$
x R y \text { if and only if } x^{2}+y^{2}=1
$$

- Draw $R$ as a subset of the real plane.
- Is $R$ reflexive?
- Is $R$ symmetric?
- Is $R$ antisymmetric?
- Is $R$ transitive?
- What is $R^{2}$ ? (Be careful!)
- What is $R^{3}$ ?
- What is the transitive closure of $R$ ?

EXERCISE: Let $R$ be the relation on the real numbers given by

$$
x R y \text { if and only if } x y \leq 1
$$

- Draw $R$ as a subset of the real plane.
- Is $R$ reflexive?
- Is $R$ symmetric?
- Is $R$ antisymmetric?
- Is $R$ transitive?
- What is $R^{2}$ ?
- What is $R^{3}$ ?
- What is the transitive closure of $R$ ?

EXERCISE : Let $R$ be the relation on the real numbers given by

$$
x R y \text { if and only if } x^{2} \leq y .
$$

- Draw $R$ as a subset of the real plane.
- Is $R$ reflexive?
- Is $R$ symmetric?
- Is $R$ antisymmetric?
- Is $R$ transitive?
- What is $R^{2}$ ?
- What is $R^{3}$ ?
- What is $R^{n}$ ? (Prove your formula for $R^{n}$ by induction.)
- What is the transitive closure of $R$ ?

$$
x R y \text { if and only if } x^{2} \leq y
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x R^{2} z & \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x R y \text { and } y R z \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x^{2} \leq y \text { and } y^{2} \leq z \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x^{4} \leq z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly

$$
\begin{aligned}
x R^{3} z & \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x R^{2} y \text { and } y R z \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists y: x^{4} \leq y \text { and } y^{2} \leq z \\
& \Longleftrightarrow x^{8} \leq z
\end{aligned}
$$

By induction one can prove that

$$
x R^{n} z \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad x^{2^{n}} \leq z .
$$

- The relation $R$ corresponds to the area of the $x, y$-plane that lies on or above the curve $y=x^{2}$.
- The relation $R^{2}$ corresponds to the area of the $x, y$-plane that lies on or above the curve $y=x^{4}$.
- The relation $R^{n}$ corresponds to the area of the $x, y$-plane that lies on or above the curve $y=x^{2^{n}}$.

The transitive closure is

$$
R^{*}=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k}
$$

(to be proved later ...)

We find that $R^{*}$ is the union of the following two regions:

- The area of the $x, y$-plane that lies on or above the curve $y=x^{2} \quad$ (i.e., the area that corresponds to the relation $\left.R\right)$.
- The area inside the rectangle whose corners are located at

$$
(x, y)=(-1,0),(1,0),(1,1),(-1,1),
$$

(excluding the border of this rectangle).

## ( Check! )

Let $R$ be a relation on a set $A$.

Recursively define

$$
R^{1}=R, \quad R^{n+1}=R^{n} \circ R, \quad n=1,2,3, \cdots .
$$

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$we have:
PROPERTY 1: $R^{n} \circ R=R \circ R^{n}$

PROPERTY 2: $R$ symmetric $\Rightarrow R^{n}$ is symmetric

EXERCISE: Use induction to prove these properties.

## PROPERTY 1: $\quad R^{n} \circ R=R \circ R^{n}, \quad$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$

PROOF: Clearly, the equality holds if $n=1$.
Inductive assumption :

$$
R^{n} \circ R=R \circ R^{n}, \quad \text { for some arbitrary } n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} .
$$

We must show that

$$
R^{n+1} \circ R=R \circ R^{n+1}
$$

To do this :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R^{n+1} \circ R & =\left(R^{n} \circ R\right) \circ R \\
& =\left(R \circ R^{n}\right) \circ R \\
& =R \circ(\text { by definition) } \\
& =R \circ\left(R^{n} \circ R\right) \quad \text { (by associativity) } \\
& =R \circ R^{n+1} \quad \text { (by definition). } \quad \text { QED }! \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

## PROPERTY 2: $R$ symmetric $\Rightarrow R^{n}$ is symmetric

PROOF: Clearly True if $n=1$.
Inductively assume that $R^{n}$ is symmetric.
We must show that $R^{n+1}$ is symmetric:

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
a R^{n+1} b & \Longleftrightarrow a R^{n} \circ R b & & \text { (by definition of power) } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists p\left(a R p \wedge p R^{n} b\right) & & \text { (by definition of composition) } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists p\left(p R a \wedge b R^{n} p\right) & \text { (since } R \text { and } R^{n} \text { are symmetric) } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow \exists p\left(b R^{n} p \wedge p R a\right) & \text { (commutative law of logic) } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow b R \circ R^{n} a & & \text { (by definition of composition) } \\
& \Longleftrightarrow b R^{n} \circ R a & & \text { (by Property } 1) \\
& \Longleftrightarrow b R^{n+1} a & & \text { (by definition of power) } . \quad \mathrm{QED}!
\end{array}
$$

Let $R$ be a relation on a set $A$ and let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

## PROPERTY 3: $R$ transitive $\Rightarrow R^{n}$ is transitive

## PROOF:

Let $R$ be transitive.

Obviously $R^{1}$ is transitive.

By induction assume that $R^{n}$ is transitive for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$.

We must show that $R^{n+1}$ is transitive, i.e., we must show that

$$
a R^{n+1} b \wedge b R^{n+1} c \Rightarrow a R^{n+1} c .
$$

Given $R$ and $R^{n}$ are transitive. Show $R^{n+1}$ is transitive
... continuation of proof ...

$$
\begin{aligned}
a R^{n+1} b & \wedge b R^{n+1} c & & \\
& \Rightarrow a R^{n} \circ R b \wedge b R^{n} \circ R c & & \text { (power) } \\
& \Rightarrow a R^{n} \circ R b \wedge b R \circ R^{n} c & & \text { (by Property 1) } \\
& \Rightarrow a R p \wedge p R^{n} b \wedge b R^{n} q \wedge q R c & & (\exists p, q: \text { composition) } \\
& \Rightarrow a R p \wedge p R^{n} q \wedge q R c & & \text { (inductive assumption) } \\
& \Rightarrow a R^{n} \circ R q \wedge q R c & & \text { (composition) } \\
& \Rightarrow a R \circ R^{n} q \wedge q R c & & \text { (by Property 1) }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { Given } R \text { and } R^{n} \text { are transitive. Show } R^{n+1} \text { is transitive. }
$$

... continuation of proof ...
$a R \circ R^{n} q \wedge q R c$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Rightarrow a R^{n} s \wedge s R q \wedge q R c & (\exists s: \text { composition }) \\
\Rightarrow a R^{n} s \wedge s R c & (\text { since } R \text { is transitive }) \\
\Rightarrow a R \circ R^{n} c & (\text { composition }) \\
\Rightarrow a R^{n} \circ R c & (\text { by Property } 1) \\
\Rightarrow a R^{n+1} c & \text { (power) } \quad \text { QED }! \tag{power}
\end{array}
$$

Let $R$ and $S$ be relations on a set $A$.
Recall that we can also think of $R$ as a subset of $A \times A$.
We have:

$$
\text { PROPERTY } 4: \quad R \subseteq S \Rightarrow R^{n} \subseteq S^{n}
$$

PROOF: The statement clearly holds when $n=1$.
Inductive step:

$$
\text { Given } R \subseteq S \text { and } R^{n} \subseteq S^{n} . \quad \text { Show } R^{n+1} \subseteq S^{n+1}
$$

To do this :
Suppose that $(x, z) \in R^{n+1}$.
Then $\exists y:(x, y) \in R$ and $(y, z) \in R^{n}$.
By the assumptions $(x, y) \in S$ and $(y, z) \in S^{n}$.
Hence $(x, z) \in S^{n+1}$. QED!

Let $S$ be a relation on a set $A$.

PROPERTY 5: $S$ is transitive if and only if $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: S^{n} \subseteq S$

## PROOF :

$(\Leftarrow)\left(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: S^{n} \subseteq S\right) \Rightarrow S$ is transitive

Let $(x, y) \in S$ and $(y, z) \in S$.
Then, by definition of composition, $(x, z) \in S^{2}$.
Since, in particular, $S^{2} \subseteq S$ it follows that $(x, z) \in S$.
Hence $S$ is transitive.
$(\Rightarrow) \quad S$ is transitive $\Rightarrow \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: S^{n} \subseteq S$

By induction :

Clearly $S^{n} \subseteq S$ if $n=1$.

Suppose that for some $n$ we have $S^{n} \subseteq S$.

We must show that $S^{n+1} \subseteq S$.

Given (1): $S$ is transitive, and (2): $S^{n} \subseteq S . \quad$ Show $S^{n+1} \subseteq S$

To do this, suppose that $(x, z) \in S^{n+1}$.
We must show that $(x, z) \in S$.

By definition of composition, $(x, z) \in S^{n} \circ S$, and hence

$$
\exists y:(x, y) \in S \quad \text { and } \quad(y, z) \in S^{n}
$$

By inductive hypothesis $(2) \quad(y, z) \in S$.
Thus $(x, y) \in S$ and $(y, z) \in S$.
By assumption (1) $S$ is transitive, so that $(x, z) \in S$.

## THEOREM :

The transitive closure $R^{*}$ of a relation $R$ is given by

$$
R^{*}=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k}
$$

PROOF: Let $U=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k}$.
We must show that
(1) $U$ is transitive.
(2) $U$ is the smallest transitive relation containing $R$.

If so, then $R^{*}=U$.

$$
U=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k}
$$

(1) We first show that $U$ is transitive :

Suppose $(x, y) \in U$ and $(y, z) \in U$.
We must show that $(x, z) \in U$.

From $\star$ it follows that

$$
(x, y) \in R^{m} \quad \text { and } \quad(y, z) \in R^{n}, \quad \text { for some } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+} .
$$

By definition of composition

$$
(x, z) \in R^{n+m} .
$$

Thus, using $\star$ again, it follows that

$$
(x, z) \in U .
$$

$$
U=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k}
$$

$$
\star
$$

(2) Show $U$ is the smallest transitive relation containing $R$ :

To do this it suffices to show that :
( $S$ transitive and $R \subseteq S$ ) $\Rightarrow U \subseteq S$.


347

| $U=\cup_{k=1}^{\infty} R^{k}$ | $\star$ |
| :---: | :--- |
| $R \subseteq S \Rightarrow R^{n} \subseteq S^{n}$ | Property 4 |
| $S$ is transitive $\Longleftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}: S^{n} \subseteq S$ | Property 5 |

To do:
Given $S$ transitive and $R \subseteq S$. Show $U \subseteq S$

Let $(x, y) \in U$. Then, by $\star$ we have

$$
(x, y) \in R^{n} \quad \text { for some } \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}
$$

By Property $4: \quad(x, y) \in S^{n}$.
By Property $5: \quad(x, y) \in S . \quad$ QED !

# REVIEW PROBLEMS 

and

# REVIEW CLICKER QUESTIONS 

## Review Problem 1.

Prove that for every integer $n$ we have

$$
n^{5}-n \equiv 0(\bmod 30)
$$

## Review Problem 2.

Suppose $m$ and $n$ are relatively prime integers; $m \geq 2, n \geq 2$.

Prove that $\log _{m} n$ is an irrational number.

Review Problem 3. If $A$ and $B$ are sets, and if

$$
f: A \longrightarrow B,
$$

then for any subset $S$ of $B$ we define the pre-image of $S$ as

$$
f^{-1}(S) \equiv\{a \in A: f(a) \in S\}
$$

NOTE : $f^{-1}(S)$ is defined even if $f$ does not have an inverse!

Let $S$ and $T$ be subsets of $B$.

Prove that

$$
f^{-1}(S \cap T)=f^{-1}(S) \cap f^{-1}(T)
$$

## Review Problem 4.

Prove that if $a, b$, and $c$ are integers such that

$$
m \geq 2 \quad \text { and } \quad a \equiv b(\bmod m)
$$

then

$$
\operatorname{gcd}(a, m)=\operatorname{gcd}(b, m) .
$$

## Review Problem 5.

Use mathematical induction to prove that

$$
21 \mid\left(4^{n+1}+5^{2 n-1}\right),
$$

whenever $n$ is a positive integer.

## Review Problem 6.

The Fibonacci numbers are defined as: $f_{1}=1, f_{2}=1$, and

$$
f_{n}=f_{n-1}+f_{n-2}, \quad \text { for } n \geq 3
$$

Use a proof by induction to show that

$$
f_{n-1} f_{n+1}-f_{n}^{2}=(-1)^{n}
$$

for all $n \geq 2$.

## Review Problem 7.

Let $A$ and $B$ be non-empty sets.

Let $f$ be a $1-1$ function from $A$ to $B$.

Suppose $S$ is an partial order on $B$.

Define a relation $R$ on $A$ as follows:

$$
\forall a_{1}, a_{2} \in R \quad: \quad a_{1} R a_{2} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad f\left(a_{1}\right) S f\left(a_{2}\right) .
$$

Prove that $R$ is an partial order on A .

