Assessment of Mechanical Properties of Tissue in Breast Cancer-Related Lymphedema Using Ultrasound Elastography

Hoda S. Hashemi, Stefanie Fallone, Mathieu Boily, Anna Towers, Robert D. Kilgour, and Hassan Rivaz

This supplementary material provides detailed comparison of GLUE and GLUE2 in simulation and phantom experiments (Tables I and II), as well as detailed numerical values of strain and strain ratio (SR) in the clinical data (Table III).

I. SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

SNR and CNR values are calculated using the background windows in Figure 1 (a) and (d) of the paper. CNR values are obtained from the target and background windows (16 CNR measurements). The histogram of Figure 1 below demonstrates the CNR values for GLUE and GLUE2 in axial and lateral strain images. These results also validate that GLUE2 outperforms GLUE especially in the axial strain, which is much more widely used compared to the lateral strain by providing a 190% improvement in average CNR (52.74 versus 18.15).

In the simulation experiment, SNR values of the strains are calculated using the background windows in Figure 1 (a) and (d) of paper and the average values are shown in Table 1 below. CNR values are obtained from the target and background windows (16 CNR measurements). These results also demostrate that GLUE2 outperforms GLUE.

In phantom results, the SNR and CNR are calculated for the 3 regions using the windows shown in Figure 4 (b) of the paper and the average is calculated in Table II for the GLUE and GLUE2 methods. The target and background windows for CNR estimation are placed in the tissue-mimicking phantom and the gel pad respectively. The proposed method has 28%

- H. S. Hashemi is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of British Columbia, V6T 1Z4, BC, Canada
- S. Fallone and R. D. Kilgour are with the Department of Exercise Science, Concordia University, Montreal, QC, H3G 1M8, Canada
- M. Boily is with the Department of Radiology, McGill University Health Centre (MUHC), Montreal, QC, H4A 3J1, Canada
- A. Towers is the Director of the Lymphedema program at the Department of Oncology, McGill University, Montreal, QC, H4A 3J1, Canada
- A. Towers and R. D. Kilgour are with the McGill Nutrition and Performance Laboratory, Montreal, QC, H4A 3J1, Canada
- H. Rivaz and R.D. Kilgour are PERFORM Centre researchers, Concordia University, Montreal, QC, H3G 1M8, Canada
- H. Rivaz is with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Concordia University, Montreal, QC, H3G 1M8, Canada
 - Email: H. S. Hashemi: hoda@ece.ubc.ca
 - Email: H. Rivaz: hrivaz@ece.concordia.ca
 - Manuscript received —-; revised —.

 TABLE I

 THE CNR AND SNR VALUES OF THE STRAIN IMAGES OF THE SIMULATION

 DATA IN FIGURE 1 OF THE PAPER. MAXIMUM VALUES ARE IN BOLD FONT.

	С	NR	SNR			
	GLUE	GLUE2	GLUE	GLUE2		
Axial	18.15	52.74	14.68	15.01		
Lateral	10.51	12.55	4.33	4.40		

TABLE II THE CNR AND SNR VALUES OF THE STRAIN IMAGES OF THE PHANTOM EXPERIMENT IN FIGURE 4 OF THE PAPER.

	GLUE	GLUE2
CNR1	9.06	12.76
CNR2	18.96	22.90
CNR3	18.12	23.34
CNR (Ave.)	15.38	19.67
SNR1	8.68	7.18
SNR2	7.53	10.85
SNR3	6.39	10.08
SNR(Ave.)	7.53	9.37

and 24% improvements in terms of CNR and SNR over the previous technique, GLUE.

Based on Table IV of the paper that reports the results on patient data, landmarks 2, 3 and 5 have the highest difference of strain values between the unaffected and affected arms. The S and SR values for these landmarks are reported in Table III below.



Fig. 1. CNR values of the lesion, obtained from GLUE and GLUE2 methods. The windows in Fig. 1 (a) & (d) are used to estimate 16 CNR measurements, which are shown in these histograms.

 $TABLE \ III \\ SR \ and \ S \ values \ for \ the \ Landmarks \ 2, \ 3, \ and \ 5 \ in \ skin, \ fat, \ and \ muscular \ tissues \ (U = unaffected \ and \ A = affected).$

	SR					_	S							
	SI	cin	F	at	Mu	Muscle		Skin		F	Fat		Muscle	
Location 2	U	А	U	А	U	А		U	А	U	А	U	А	
Patient 1	0.2569	0.0939	0.2298	0.1984	0.3632	0.3393		0.0032	0.0017	0.0028	0.0036	0.0045	0.0062	
Patient 2	0.4481	0.5086	0.7551	0.4741	1.104	0.6675		0.0047	0.005	0.0076	0.0047	0.0111	0.0066	
Patient 3	0.2949	0.1315	0.21	0.1783	0.5356	0.2834		0.0038	0.0023	0.0027	0.0031	0.007	0.0094	
Patient 4	0.4234	0.2832	0.4141	0.0591	0.7797	0.2714		0.006	0.0053	0.0059	0.0011	0.0111	0.005	
Patient 5	0.5634	0.4866	1.654	0.2715	0.755	0.1375		0.005	0.0102	0.0145	0.0057	0.0066	0.0029	
Patient 6	0.8404	0.3308	0.5432	0.1707	0.4831	0.3489		0.016	0.0098	0.0104	0.0051	0.0092	0.0104	
Patient 7	0.4327	0.2826	0.4343	0.3141	0.4218	0.1684		0.0069	0.0055	0.007	0.0061	0.0068	0.0032	
Average	0.47	0.30	0.60	0.24	0.64	0.32		0.0065	0.0056	0.0070	0.0042	0.0080	0.0062	
Location 3														
Patient 1	0.8289	0.103	0.6437	0.1616	1.2174	0.2207		0.0088	0.0021	0.0069	0.0032	0.013	0.0044	
Patient 2	0.6282	0.3282	0.4779	0.2299	0.5544	0.2848		0.0081	0.0074	0.0062	0.0052	0.0072	0.0064	
Patient 3	0.5488	0.3169	0.4796	0.2509	1.0987	0.748		0.0065	0.0054	0.0057	0.0043	0.013	0.0128	
Patient 4	0.268	0.2427	0.1786	0.1724	0.5451	0.4156		0.0057	0.0051	0.0038	0.0036	0.0117	0.0088	
Patient 5	0.5209	0.5038	0.6688	0.3108	1.1335	0.5181		0.0039	0.0086	0.005	0.0053	0.0085	0.0088	
Patient 6	1.4490	0.4443	0.7150	0.2698	1.0047	1.7480		0.0115	0.0055	0.0057	0.0034	0.008	0.0218	
Patient 7	0.4196	0.2802	0.2545	0.2033	0.7022	0.1544		0.0086	0.0116	0.0052	0.0084	0.0144	0.0064	
Average	0.67	0.32	0.49	0.23	0.89	0.59		0.0075	0.0065	0.0055	0.0047	0.0108	0.0099	
Location 5														
Patient 1	0.6451	0.5063	0.6169	0.3623	1.8268	0.5882		0.0041	0.0066	0.0039	0.0047	0.0116	0.0076	
Patient 2	0.5168	0.3337	1.1071	0.5452	1.475	0.4045		0.0052	0.0049	0.0112	0.0081	0.0149	0.006	
Patient 3	0.6173	0.1945	1.0203	0.4715	2.1202	1.4337		0.0048	0.0026	0.008	0.0063	0.0166	0.0196	
Patient 4	0.2467	0.2333	0.4975	0.1166	0.9293	0.2691		0.0034	0.0064	0.0069	0.0032	0.0129	0.0074	
Patient 5	0.5547	0.1935	1.1065	0.1873	0.9591	0.4493		0.0059	0.0046	0.0118	0.0044	0.0103	0.0106	
Patient 6	0.9160	0.6077	1.3439	0.8208	0.9089	0.8714		0.0089	0.0074	0.013	0.01	0.0088	0.0106	
Patient 7	0.4058	0.7646	1.0107	0.9715	1.0877	0.8498		0.0109	0.0033	0.0273	0.0042	0.0293	0.0036	
Average	0.56	0.40	0.95	0.50	1.32	0.69		0.0061	0.0051	0.0117	0.0058	0.0149	0.0093	